MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AND EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASE PRODUCING STRAINS AMONG CLINICAL ISOLATES OF PATIENTS FROM SHREE BIRENDRA HOSPITAL, CHHAUNI

A

Dissertation Submitted to the Central Department of Microbiology Tribhuvan University

In partial fulfillment of the Requirement for the Award of the Degree of Master of Science in Microbiology (Environment and Public Health)

> Submitted by Sushila Maharjan Central Department of Microbiology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2010

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that **Ms. Sushila Maharjan** has completed this dissertation work entitled "**MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AND EXTENDED SPECTRUM BETA-LACTAMASE PRODUCING STRAINS AMONG CLINICAL ISOLATES OF PATIENTS FROM SHREE BIRENDRA HOSPITAL, CHHAUNI** " as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science Degree in Microbiology under our supervision. To our knowledge this thesis work has not been submitted for any other degree.

.....

Dr. Anjana Singh, Ph.D Professor Central Department of Microbiology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu Ms. Shaila Basnyat Asst. Professor Central Department of Microbiology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu

.....

Dr. Sunil Kumar Singh Colonel, Pathologist Shree Birendra Hospital Chhauni, Kathmandu

Date:....

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of **Prof. Dr. Anjana Singh, Ms. Shaila Basnyat,** and **Dr. Sunil Kumar Singh,** this dissertation work by **Ms. Sushila Maharjan**, entitled has been approved for the examination and is submitted to the Tribhuvan University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for Master of Science Degree in Microbiology.

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta M.Sc., Ph.D in Microbiology Head of Department Central Department of Microbiology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date:-

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Recommended by:

Prof. Dr. Anjana Singh Supervisor

Ms. Shaila Basnyat Supervisor

Col. Dr. Sunil Kumar Singh Supervisor

Approved by:

Examined by:

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta Head of the Department

Prof. Dr. Bharat Mani Pokhrel Professor and Head of Department of Microbiology, Institute of Medicine Tribhuvan University, Maharajgung

Mr. Komal Raj Rijal Internal Examiner

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Respectfully, I am indebted to my supervisors, **Prof. Dr. Anjana Singh and Ms. Shaila Basnyat**, Assistant Professor of Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University for their guidance and co-operation for the completion of this dissertation.

I am grateful to my supervisor **Col. Dr. Sunil Singh**, Pathologist of Shree Birendra Hospital for providing a rewarding workplace and his inspiration and support. I am equally obliged to **Ms. Puja Shrestha**, Microbiologist of Shree Birendra Hospital for her guidance and constant encouragement for the accomplishment of this dissertation.

I am much obliged to Head of Department **Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta**, Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, for his invaluable assistance during the preparation of this thesis.

Furthermore, I am very thankful for all the rest of the faculties and staffs of Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, all of whom have been very generous and motivating. I wish to extend my sincere gratitude towards **Major Mr. Khagendra Sijapati**, Medical Technologist of Shree Birendra Hospital and all the staffs of Bacteriology department of the hospital, for their support, cooperation and encouragement in the process of completing this thesis work. I am also thankful to all the patients for their participation and cooperation during the work.

Finally, I admire my parents for their moral support and attention in achieving the present academic position. It gives me immense pleasure to express my heartfelt appreciation to all the people who helped me in one way or another to complete my research work.

Sushila Maharjan

Date:.....

ABSTRACT

The microbial resistance to various classes of drugs has increased a multitude of bacterial species which has complicated the therapeutic management of infections. For this reason, a six month study was conducted in order to analyze the prevalence of the Multidrug Resistant strains(MDR) and the Extended Spectrum of β -lactamase(ESBL) producing strains among the organisms, isolated from clinical specimens (urine, sputum and pus samples) received in the laboratory. Microorganisms from 388 clinical specimens were identified by conventional microbiological method and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial isolates was determined by CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute) recommended by Kirby-Bauer method. Among 388 clinical samples processed in the study, 207 were urine samples, 79 were sputum samples and 102 were pus samples. Out of 207 urine samples, 95 (45.89%) showed significant growth and among the 95 isolates, 62 (65.26%) were multi-drug resistant. In urine sample, Escherichia coli was the most predominant Gram-negative isolate. Out of 68 E. coli isolates, 47 (69.12%) were found to be MDR and 11 (16.18%) were ESBL-producers. Out of 79 sputum samples received, 77 (97.47%) met the American Society for Microbiology (ASM) criteria and thus were considered for further processing, whereas 2 (2.53%) of the samples didn't meet the criteria and were not included in this study. Out of 77 processed sputum samples, 20 (25.97%) samples showed significant growth, out of which, 9 (45%) were MDR and 3 isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae among 20 different isolates from the sputum samples were ESBLproducers. Likewise, out of 102 pus samples, 75 (73.53%) showed significant growth with 82 isolates (some samples showed more than one type of significant bacterial growth) and among 82 isolates, 40 (39.22%) were multi-drug resistant, additionally, 5 isolates (3 out of 23 isolates of Escherichia coli and 2 out of 8 isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae) were found to be ESBL-producers. In all the urine and pus specimens, the most predominant Gramnegative isolate was Escherichia coli while in case of sputum, it was Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The most predominant Gram-positive isolates were Staphlococcus aureus in all the studied specimens. Erythromycin and cloxacillin were highly effective towards Grampositive isolates, nitrofurantion towards Gram-negative urinary pathogens while gentamicin followed by ciprofloxacin and amikacin for sputum and pus isolates. Thus total of 386 samples were processed, out of which 190 (49.22%) samples showed positive growth with 197 (51.03%) of total isolates and among those bacterial isolates, 111 (57.21%) were found to be MDR-strains whereas 19 of them were found to be ESBL-producers. Significant association was found between multidrug resistance and hospitalization of patients in different wards (P<0.05), whereas no association was seen between multidrug resistance and gender (P>0.05).

Key words: Urine, Pus, Sputum, ASM, MDR, ESBL, DDST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Page	i
nmendation	ii
	iii
	iv
-	V
	vi-vii
	viii-xii
	xiii-xvi xvii
List of Tables	
•	xviii xix
PTER I INTRODUCTION	1-4
ATER II OBJECTIVES	5
ATER III LITERATURE REVIEW	6-40
Introduction of antibiotics	6
Classification of antibiotics	7-8
Mechanism of action of antimicrobial drugs	9-11
Bacterial resistance to antibiotics	12
Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance	13-18
Environmentally mediated antimicrobial resistance	13
Microorganism-mediated antimicrobial resistance	14
Genetic basis of antimicrobial resistance	15-16
Biochemical bases of resistance	17
Antibiotic susceptibility testing	19-26
Inoculum preparation	20
Selection of antimicrobial agents for testing	21-22
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing techniques	23-28
	f Figures f Photographs f Appendices PTER I INTRODUCTION ATER II OBJECTIVES ATER III LITERATURE REVIEW Introduction of antibiotics Classification of antibiotics Mechanism of action of antimicrobial drugs Bacterial resistance to antibiotics Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance Environmentally mediated antimicrobial resistance Environmentally mediated antimicrobial resistance Microorganism-mediated antimicrobial resistance Biochemical bases of resistance Antibiotic susceptibility testing Inoculum preparation Selection of antimicrobial agents for testing

3.7	Beta lactamases	28
3.7.1	Introduction	28
3.7.2	Evolution and dissemination of β -lactamases	30
3.7.3	Classification schemes	30
3.7.4	Structure and mechanism of action of β -lactamase	31
3.8	Extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs)	32
3.8.1	Definition of extended spectrum β -lactamases	32
3.8.2	Clinical importance of ESBLs	33
3.8.3	3 Laboratory detection of ESBLs	
3.8.4	4 Pitfalls and problems for ESBL tests	
3.8.5	Risk factors for ESBL infection	37
3.8.6	Reporting for ESBL producers	38
3.9	Urinary pathogens	39
3.10	Lower respiratory tract pathogens	40
3.11	Possible pathogens in pus samples	41
CHA	PTER IV MATERIALS AND METHODS	42-48
4	Methodology	42-48
4.1	Urine Sample	42
4.1.1	Specimen collection and transport	42
4.1.2	Describing the appearance of the specimen	42
4.1.3	Culture of specimen	42
4.2	Sputum Sample	43
4.2.1	Specimen collection and transport	43
4.2.2	Macroscopic examination of sample	43
4.2.3	Selection criteria for the acceptance of sputum sample	44
4.2.4	Homogenization of sample	44
4.2.5	Culture of specimen	44
4.3	Pus sample	45
4.3.1	Specimen collection and transport	45
4.3.2	Macroscopic examination	45
4.3.3	Microscopic examination	45

4.3.4	Culture of specimen	45
4.4	Identification of the isolates	45
4.5	Susceptibility testing	46
4.6	ESBL confirmation	47
4.7	Purity plate	47
4.8	Quality control for test	47
4.9	Data analysis	48
CHAI	PTER V RESULTS	49-70
5.1	Number of samples and result pattern	49
5.2	Urine Samples	50-58
5.2.1	Growth pattern in urine sample	50
5.2.2	Age and gender-wise distribution of in-patients	
	requesting for urine culture	50
5.2.3	Pattern of bacterial isolates from urine sample	51
5.2.4	Distribution of pathogens among in-patients from urine sample	52
5.2.5	Age-wise distribution of pathogens and	
	MDR-strains from urine sample	52
5.2.6	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-positive	
	isolates from urine sample	53
5.2.7	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-negative	
	isolates from urine sample	53
5.2.8	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates	
	from urine sample	54
5.2.9	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains	
	from urine sample	56
5.2.10	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacteria isolates	
	from urine sample	58

5.3	Sputum Samples	58-63
5.3.1	Percentage of sputum samples meeting ASM criteria	58
5.3.2 5.3.3	Growth pattern in sputum sample Age and gender-wise distribution of in-patients	59
	requesting for sputum culture	60
5.3.4	Pattern of bacterial isolates from sputum sample	60
5.3.5	Distribution of pathogens among in-patients	
	from sputum sample	60
5.3.6	Age-wise distribution of pathogens and	
	MDR-strains from sputum sample	61
5.3.7	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-positive	
	isolates from sputum	61
5.3.8	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-negative	
	isolates from sputum	61
5.3.9	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial	
	isolates from sputum sample	62
5.3.10	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains	
	from sputum sample	63
5.3.11	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacteria	
	isolated from sputum sample	63
5.4	Pus Samples	64-72
5.4.1	Growth pattern in pus sample	64
5.4.2	Age and gender-wise distribution of in-patients	
	requesting for pus culture	64
5.4.3	Pattern of bacterial isolates from pus sample	65
5.4.4	Distribution of pathogens among in-patients	
	from pus sample	66
5.4.5	Age-wise distribution of pathogens and	
	MDR-strains from pus sample	66
5.4.6	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-positive	
	isolates from pus sample	67
5.4.7	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-negative	
	isolates from pus sample	67

СНАР	TER IX APPENDICES I–VIII	I-XVIII
CHAP	TER VIII REFERENCES	91-104
7.2	Recommendations	90
7.1	Summary	87-89
СНАР	TER VII SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	87-90
CHAPTER VI DISSCUSSION		73-86
	from urine, sputum and pus samples	72
5.5	Pattern of suspected and confirmed cases of ESBL strains	
	isolated from pus sample	71
5.4.10	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacteria	
	from pus sample	70-71
5.4.9	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains	
	isolates from pus sample	68-69
5.4.8	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASM	American Society for Microbiology
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
ATP	Adenosine Triphosphate
BA	Blood Agar
BLNAR	Beta-lactamase Negative, Ampicillin Resistant
CA	Chocolate Agar
CAT	Chloramphenicol Acetyltransferase
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
CLED	Cystine Lactose Electrolyte-deficient
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute
CONS	Coagulase Negative Staphylococci
CRF	Coagulase Reacting Factor
DDST	Double Disk Synergy Test
DHFR	Dihydrofolate Reductase
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetra-acetic Acid
ESBL	Extended Spectrum Beta Lactamase
ICU	Intensive Care Unit
IS	Insertion Sequence
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
LRTI	Lower Respiratory Tract Infection
MA	MacConkey Agar
MBC	Minimum Bactericidal Concentration
MDR	Multi-drug Resistant
MHA	Mueller Hinton Agar
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

MLS	Macrolide-Lincosamide-Streptogramin
MRSA	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus
MRVP	Methyl Red Voges Proskauer
NA	Nutrient Agar
NAD	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide
NADP	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate
NB	Nutrient Broth
NCTC	National Collection Type Cultures
NFW	New Female Ward
ONPG	o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside
PBP	Penicillin Binding Protein
PDA	Phenylalanine Deaminase
QRDR	Quinolone Resistance-determining regions
QREC	Quinolone Resistant Escherichia coli
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
SIM	Sulfide Indole Motility
TPD	Tetramethyl p-phenylene diamine dihydrochloride
TSIA	Triple Sugar Iron Agar
TUTH	Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
VRE	Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci
VRSA	Vancomycin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1:Types of antibiotics based on their chemical structure
- Table 2:Basic sets of drugs for routine susceptibility
- Table 3:
 Pattern of clinical samples and status of MDR and ESBL strains
- Table 4:Growth pattern in urine sample
- Table 5:
 Age and Gender-wise distribution of in-patients requesting for urine culture
- Table 6:Pattern of bacterial isolates from urine sample
- Table 7:
 Distribution of pathogens among in-patients from urine sample
- Table 8:
 Age-wise distribution of pathogens and MDR-strains from urine sample
- Table 9:
 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates from urine sample
- Table 10:
 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains from urine sample
- Table 11:
 Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates from urine sample
- Table 12:
 Percentage of sputum samples meeting ASM criteria
- Table 13:Growth pattern in sputum sample
- Table 14:
 Age and Gender-wise distribution of in-patients requesting for sputum culture
- Table 15:
 Pattern of bacterial isolates from sputum sample
- Table 16:
 Distribution of pathogens among in-patients from sputum sample
- Table 17: Age-wise distribution of pathogens and MDR-strains from sputum sample
- Table 18:
 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates from sputum sample
- Table 19:
 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains from sputum sample
- Table 20:
 Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates from sputum sample
- Table 21:Growth pattern in pus sample
- Table 22: Age and Gender-wise distribution of in-patients requesting for pus culture
- Table 23:Pattern of bacterial isolates from pus sample
- Table 24:
 Distribution of pathogens among in-patients from pus sample
- Table 25:Age-wise distribution of pathogens and MDR-strains from pus sample

- Table 26:
 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates from pus sample
- Table 27:Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of MDR-strains from pus sample
- Table 28:
 Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates from pus sample
- Table 29:Pattern of suspected and confirmed cases of ESBL strains from urine,
sputum and pus samples

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Distribution of In-patients requesting for Urine Culture
- Figure 2: Distribution of MDR-strains in Urine Samples among patients of different wards
- Figure 3: Gender-wise distribution of MDR-strains in Urine Samples
- Figure 4: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-positive Bacterial isolates from Urine Samples
- Figure 5: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-negative Bacterial isolates from Urine Samples
- Figure 6: Distribution of In-patients requesting for Sputum Culture
- Figure 7: Distribution of MDR-strains in Sputum Samples among patients of different wards
- Figure 8: Gender-wise distribution of MDR-strains in Sputum Samples
- Figure 9: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-positive Bacterial isolates from Sputum Samples
- Figure 10: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-negative Bacterial isolates from Sputum Samples
- Figure 11: Distribution of In-patients requesting for Pus Culture
- Figure 12: Distribution of MDR-strains in Pus Samples among patients of different wards
- Figure 13: Gender-wise distribution of MDR-strains in Pus Samples
- Figure 14: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-positive Bacterial isolates from Pus Samples
- Figure 15: Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Gram-negative Bacterial isolates from Pus Samples

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

- Photograph 1Significant Growth of *Escherichia coli* isolated from urine sample on
(Cystine Lactose Electrolyte-deficient) CLED agar
- Photograph 2 Multidrug Resistant Gram-negative isolate (*Escherichia coli*) on Mueller Hinton agar
- Photograph 3 Positive ESBL (Extended-Sprectrum-Beta-Lactamase)-confirmation test of Gram-negative isolate (*Escherichia coli*)on Mueller-Hinton agar
- Photograph 4 Negative ESBL (Extended-Sprectrum-Beta-Lactamase)-confirmation test of Gram-negative isolate (*Escherichia coli*)on Mueller-Hinton agar

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX-I:	Questionnaire

APPENDIX-II:

- I. Composition and preparation of different culture media
- II. Biochemical test media
- III. Staining and test reagents
- APPENDIX-III: List of equipments and materials used during the study
- APPENDIX-IV: Chi-square tests
- APPENDIX-V: Distinguishing reactions of the commoner and pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae
- APPENDIX-VI: Zone size interpretative chart