Socio-Economic Impact Of Community Forestry

(A Case Study of Balkumari Community Forestry of Ugratara VDC, Kavre)

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development (RD 510)

Prakash Sapkota

Exam Roll No: 3914 Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of Humanities & Social Sciences Tribhuvan University Kathmandu, Nepal

August, 2009

RECOMMENDATION

It is with great pleasure that I recommend, for approval, the dissertation entitled "Socio-Economic Impact of Community Forestry (A Case Study of Balkumari Community Forestry of Ugratara VDC, Kavre)" is completed by Mr. Prakash Sapkota under my supervision in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development. I, therefore forward it with recommendation for approval.

•••••••••••••••••

Mr. Umesh Acharya Lecturer Central Department of Rural Development Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu

APPROVAL CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the thesis entitled "Socio-Economic Impact of Community Forestry (A Case Study of Balkumari Community Forestry in Ugratara VDC, Kavre)" written and submitted by Prakash Sapkota has been examined. It has been declared successful for fulfillment of the academic requirements towards the completion of Master of Arts in Rural Development.

THESIS COMMITTEE

External Examiner

Thesis Supervisor Mr. Umesh Acharya Lecturer Central Dept. of Rural Development Tribhuwan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Head Central Department of Rural Development

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is the study for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development. For the purpose of this study, I had to consult with concerned offices and officials. I would like to express my heartily thanks to those all who provided me the required information as well as other necessary arrangements and help for this study without which this thesis could not be appeared in this style.

I am deeply indebted to my thesis guide, Mr. Umesh Acharya, Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathamandu for the supervision, guidance and encouragement during the preparation of the thesis successfully. His guidance during my thesis has been instrumental in preparing this thesis, without his proper guidance and supervision; this thesis would not have been in the present form.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka, Head of Central Department of Rural Development, for his encouragement.

I would like to express my due appreciation to Mrs. Kanchhi Khadka, FUGC chairman of Balkumari Ban, Mr. Jayram Khadka (FUGC Advisor), Mr. Bishnu Prasad Sapkota (VDC Secretary) for their valuable co-operation during the field work and data collection period. Similarly, I want to express my sincere thanks to all respondents of interview which was under taken during the field study period.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to all my colleagues for their valueable support and encouragement. I want to express May heartiest gratitude to my better half Niruta Sapkota for her help and suitable environment.

> Prakash Sapkota Central Department of Rural Development TU Kirtipur, Kathmandu, August, 2009

ABSTRACT

This is a micro level of study. It is a case study of CFUG, Balkumari forest of Ugratara VDC, Kavre. This study has attempted to highlight the socioeconomic impact evaluation aspect of community forest in Ugratara.

The general objectives of this study were to discover socio-economic impact as well as benefit of community forest. Both primary and secondary information were used in study.

The sample of households was selected randomly. Data were collected from household survey, interview and observation. The main tool of subsistence was agriculture of the selected respondents. Households kept large number of livestock such as buffalo, cow, goat, pigs etc. Among them Balkumari CFUG was established in 2058 B.S. which covers ward No.1 & 6 of Ugratara VDC. Among the user respondent, high majority of users were Brahmin & Chhetries. There were also other caste groups like Newar, Tamang, Gurung, etc. Users were using forest product on equal basis. Collecting fund from every household (users) was a significant aspect. One Jungle guard was selected form the users group. Income of the forest is found to mobilize in infrastructure development of the concerned area. The positive impact was found like incensement on livestock, cash crops and vegetables production, increment on water resources and natural beauty are other advantages of this area. The most important thing to highlight about Balkumari Community Forestry Users Group committee is that it is executed & managed totally by the women. All 13 members of the management committee are women and they are successfully executing the activities which have been the source of inspiration for the women of other societies too.

Users were identified on the basis of traditional use of forest. Most of the user respondents are found that they are only partially dependent upon forest products. FUGC is working as a main body of the forest. It is giving equal opportunity to women. Women participant in both FUGC and FFS looks significant. Positive impact has been seen in income generating activities through agriculture and animal husbandry. Apart from this, positive impact has been seen in education, participation, rural tourism and awareness enlistment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ii |
|------|
| iii |
| iv |
| v |
| vi |
| vii |
| viii |
| xi |
| |

Chapter I

| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1.1. Background of the study | 1 |
| 1.2. Statement of the Problem | 3 |
| 1.3. Objective of the Study | 4 |
| 1.4. Limitation of the Study | 4 |
| | |

Chapter II

| LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
|--|----|
| 2.1. Conseptual Literature Review | 6 |
| 2.2. Related Literature Review | 15 |
| 2.3. History and Evolution of Community Forestry | 16 |
| 2.4. Sustainability of Community Forestry in Nepal | 23 |
| 2.4.1. Economic/Financial Sustainability | 25 |
| 2.4.2. Environmental Sustainability | 29 |
| 2.4.3. Social nad Political Sustainability | 25 |

Chapter III

| RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 33 |
|--|----|
| 3.1. Research Design | 33 |
| 3.2. Selection of the Study Area | 33 |
| 3.3. Sources of Data | 33 |
| 3.3.1. Primary Data Collection | 34 |
| 3.3.2. Secondary Data Collection | 34 |
| 3.3.3. Sample Size | 34 |
| 3.4. Tools and Techniques of Data Collection | 35 |

| 3.4.1. The Household Survey (Questionaire) | 35 |
|--|----|
| 3.4.2. Interview | 35 |
| 3.4.3. Observation | 35 |
| 3.4.4. Selection of Key Information | 36 |
| 3.4.5. Field Dairy | 37 |

Chapter IV

| DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA | 38 |
|---|----|
| 4.1. Geographical Setting | 38 |
| 4.2. Climate | 38 |
| 4.3. Vegetation | 38 |
| 4.4. Socio-economic Condition | 39 |
| 4.4.1. Population | 39 |
| 4.4.2. Occupation | 40 |
| 4.4.3. Educational Status | 41 |
| 4.4.4. Literacy | 42 |
| 4.4.5. Ethnic Group | 43 |
| 4.4.6. Live Stocks | 44 |
| 4.4.7. Transport and Communication | 44 |
| 4.4.8. Marketing | 45 |
| 4.4.9.Community Forestry in Ugratara Area | 46 |
| 4.4.10. Balkumari Ban | |
| | |

Chapter V

| IMPACT EVALUATION OF BALKUMARI COMMUNITY FORESTRY | 48 |
|--|----|
| 5.1. Income | 48 |
| 5.1.1. Agriculture | 48 |
| 5.1.2. Animal Husbandary | 51 |
| 5.2. Education and Transportation | 52 |
| 5.3. Use of fuel wood and alterative sources of Energy | 54 |
| 5.4. Women Participation | 55 |
| 5.5. Rural Tourism | |
| 5.6. Awareness | |
| 5.7. Occupation | |
| 5.8. Management System of FUGC | |
| 5.9. Composition of FUG | |
| 5.10. Population | |
| 5.11. Economic Activities of FUGC | |
| 5.12. Yearly Income of FUGC | |
| 5.13. Construction Work | |
| 5.14. Problems | 62 |

Chapter VI

| SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 64 |
|--|------|
| 6.1. Summary of the Findings | 64 |
| 6.2. Conclusion | 65 |
| 6.2. Recommendations | 66 |
| References | . 68 |
| Apendix | |
| Questionnaires | . 70 |
| Maps | .73 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1 | 2 |
|--|----|
| Community Forestry National Profile Table 4.1 | 40 |
| Ward Wise Population Distribution Table 4.2 | 41 |
| Occupational Distribution of Population Table 4.3 | 42 |
| Condition of the Schools Table 4.4 | 42 |
| Status of Literacy Table 4.5 | 43 |
| Ward Wise Literacy and Illiteracy Table 4.6 | 43 |
| Ethnic Group Table 4.7 | 44 |
| Condition of the Live Stocks Table 4.8 | 45 |
| Road and Transport as per Ward Table 4.9 | 46 |
| No of the CF in Ugratara VDC Table 5.1 | 49 |
| Daily production of Vegetables in winter (In aggregate) Table 5.2 | 49 |
| Daily production of vegetables in summer (Aggreate) Table 5.3 | 50 |
| List of the Major Crops After and Before the CF Table 5.4 | 51 |
| Types and Number of Livestock reared in the study area Table 5.5 | 52 |
| Literacy Status of Households Table 5.6 | 53 |

| Enrollment ir Table 5.7 | n primary class before Community Forest | ry 53 |
|---------------------------------|---|----------|
| Enrollment in Table 5.8 | primary class after community Forestry | 54 |
| Energy Consu Table 5.9 | mption | 55 |
| Condition of V Table 5.10 | Women Participation | 56 |
| Tourism Visite Table 5.11 | ed in Balkumari CF | 57 |
| State of Famil Table 5.12 | ly Size among Respondents | 58 |
| The Condition Table 5.13 | n of Occupation | 59 |
| Composition of Table 5.14 | of FUG | 60 |
| Population of <i>Table 5.15</i> | FUG | 61 |
| Penalty System Table 5.16 | m Conducted by FUG | 61 |
| Income of FUG | GC | |

| Pie chart (Ward Wise Occupation) | 41 |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Bar Graph (Literacy Status in %) | 52 |

ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS

| CBS | : Central Bureau of Statistics |
|---------|--|
| CDRD | : Central Department of Rural Development |
| CF | : Community Forest |
| CFDO | : Community forestry Division Office |
| CFUG | : Community Forest Users Group |
| CFUGC | : Community Forestry Users Group Committee |
| DFO | : District Forest Office |
| DOF | : Department Of Forest |
| FECOFUN | : Federation of Comunity Forestry Users, Nepal |
| FFS | : Farmers Forest School |
| FUGC | : Forest Users Group Committee |
| HH | : House Holds |
| MAP | : Medicinal and Aromatic Plant |
| NAFP | : Nepal Australian Forestry Project |
| NGO | : Non-Governmental Organization |
| No. | : Number |
| NTFP | : Non-Timber Forest Plant |
| VDC | : Village Development Committee |