

**INCIDENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN CANCER
PATIENTS UNDER CHEMOTHERAPY AND THE
PREVALENCE OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANT STRAINS
AMONG THE ISOLATED BACTERIAL PATHOGENS**

A

DISSERTATION

**SUBMITTED TO THE CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF MICROBIOLOGY
TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY**

**IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MICROBIOLOGY
(MEDICAL)**

BY

Sunila Manandhar

**CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF MICROBIOLOGY
TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU, NEPAL**

2010

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that **Ms. Sunila Manandhar** has completed this dissertation work entitled “**INCIDENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN CANCER PATIENTS UNDER CHEMOTHERPY AND THE PREVALENCE OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANT STRAINS AMONG THE ISOLATED BACTERIAL PATHOGENS**” as a partial fulfillment of Masters of Science Degree in Microbiology under our supervision.

Prof. Bharat Jha
Head of Biochemistry Department
T.U. Teaching Hospital
Maharajgunj, Kathmandu

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta
Head of Central Dept. of Microbiology
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date:

Date:.....

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of **Prof. Bharat Jha and Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta** this dissertation work by **Ms. Sunila Manandhar** entitled “**INCIDENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN CANCER PATIENTS UNDER CHEMOTHERAPY AND THE PREVALENCE OF MULTIDRUG RESISTANT STRAINS AMONG THE ISOLATED BACTERIAL PATHOGENS**” has been approved for the examination and is submitted to the Tribhuvan University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for Masters of Science Degree in Microbiology.

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta

Head of the Department

Central Department of Microbiology

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date:-

BOARD OF EXAMINERS

Recommended by:

Prof. Bharat Jha
Supervisor

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta
Supervisor

Approved by:

Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta
Head of the Department

Examined by:

Internal Examiner

External Examiner

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It gives me immense pleasure to express my heartfelt appreciation to all the people who have helped me in one way or another to complete this research work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and heartfelt appreciation to my respected supervisor **Prof. Bharat Jha**, Head of Biochemistry Department, Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital for providing me with the laboratory facilities, for his inspiration, continuous guidance and tremendous support during this research work. I am equally indebted to my supervisor, **Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta**, Head of the Department, Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University for his proper guidance, valuable suggestions and encouragement for the completion of this dissertation.

I also wish to extend my sincere gratitude towards all the staffs of the laboratory and Cancer unit of OM hospital and research centre, especially to **Mr. Ram Krishna Shrestha**, Technologist for his overwhelming support and guidance in the process of completion of this study. I am also equally thankful to all the patients for their participation and cooperation during this work.

I am also thankful to all my friends for their support and encouragement especially to **Manish Thapaliya, Shardulendra Psd. Sherchand, Sushma Subedi and Sushila Maharjan** for sharing their knowledge on the subject matter and their help during the study period.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude towards my family for their moral support and constant encouragement.

Date:

Sunila Manandhar

ABSTRACT

Cancer and its treatment causes both direct and indirect effect on a patient's immune system which increases the susceptibility of immunosuppressed cancer patients to infections. UTI is the common bacterial infection generally detected in cancer patients specially in those who are on chemotherapy. UTI in cancer patients should be considered complicated when it occurs as a result of suppressed immunity.

This study was conducted in OM Hospital and Research Center, Kathmandu among cancer patients under chemotherapy from February 2009 to July 2009. The aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of UTI in these patients, establish an antibiotic susceptibility profile of the isolated organisms and determine the prevalence of MDR strains. This study was also carried out with an objective to find the possible association between the blood cell count and occurrence of UTI among these patients.

Altogether, 127 mid-stream urine samples from cancer patients under chemotherapy were investigated by conventional semi-quantitative culture technique, pus cell count, and albumin test for detection of UTI. Meanwhile blood samples were also collected from all the patients to determine their total WBC count and absolute neutrophil count.

Out of 127 urine samples, 26 (20.5%) samples showed significant bacterial growth. Status of significant bacteriuria was found higher in females (65.4%) than in males (34.6%). However, association between significant bacteriuria and gender of the patients was found to be statistically insignificant ($P > 0.05$). The highest number of growth positive samples belonged to the age group 70-80 (38.5%). Maximum occurrence of UTI ($n=13$, 50%) were found in those patients who had received 6-8 chemotherapy cycles followed by those who had received 4-6 cycles ($n=10$, 38.5%). No significant association was found between the total WBC count and the occurrence of UTI ($P > 0.05$). Similarly, no significant association could be established between UTI and the condition when ANC < 1500 ($P > 0.05$).

Out of the 26 bacterial isolates, 25 were gram negatives and the remaining one isolate was a gram positive cocci. *Escherichia coli* was found to be the most predominant isolate (73%). Other bacterial isolates which were found in the study were *Citrobacter freundii* (7.7%), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (7.7%), *P. aeruginosa* (3.9%), *Acinetobacter* spp. (3.9%) and *S. aureus* (3.9%).

Among the Gram negative organisms, Amikacin was found to be the most effective antibiotic followed by Ceftazidime and Nitrofurantoin. Fluoroquinolones were found to be highly resistant towards the majority of gram negative isolates. The only isolate of *S. aureus* was found susceptible towards Amikacin and Novobiocin.

Multidrug resistance (MDR) was observed in 88.5% (23/26) of the total bacterial isolates. Among the 19 *E. coli* isolates, 16 (84.2%) were MDR-strains. All the other bacterial isolates were also found to be multi-drug resistant.

Key words: UTI, cancer, chemotherapy, MDR, Total WBC count and ANC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
Title Page	i
Recommendation	ii
Certificate of Approval	iii
Board of examiners	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Abbreviations	xi
List of Tables	xiii
List of Photographs	xiv
List of Appendices	xv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1-3
CHPATER II OBJECTIVES	4
CHPATER III LITERATURE REVIEW	5-30
3.1 Cancer	5
3.2 Causes of cancer	5
3.3 Cancer Treatment	6-8
3.3.1 Surgery	6
3.3.2 Radiation treatment	7
3.3.3 Chemotherapy	7
3.3.4 Adverse hematological effects of chemotherapy	8-10
3.3.4.1 Myelosuppression	8
3.3.4.2 Leucopenia	8
3.3.4.3 Neutropenia	9
3.3.4.4 Common sites of infection in cancer patients	10

3.4	Infection in cancer patients	10-12
3.4.1	Some common causative agents of bacterial infections in cancer patients	
3.5	Urinary tract infection	13
3.5.1	Significance of bacteriuria	13
3.5.2	Classification of UTI based on symptoms and levels of infections	14
3.5.3	Etiological agents of urinary tract infection	14
3.5.4	Routes of infection	15
3.5.5	Pathogenesis	16
3.6	Urinary tract infections in cancer patients	18
3.7	Factors that predispose the cancer patients to UTI	19
3.8	International Scenario on UTI in cancer patients	19-22
3.9	Laboratory diagnosis of UTI	22-25
3.9.1	Methods of specimen collection and transport	23
3.9.2	Routine examination of urine	23
3.9.3	Macroscopic examination of urine	24
3.9.4	Microscopic examination of urine	24
3.9.5	Bacteriological examination of urine	25
3.10	Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing	26
3.11	Antibiotics used in UTI	27
3.13	Antibiotic resistance	29
3.14	Antibiotic resistance in cancer patients	29
CHAPTER IV MATERIALS AND METHODS		31-37
4.1	Materials	31
4.2	Methods	
4.2.1	Selection of patients	31
4.2.2	Blood sample	32
4.2.2.1	Specimen collection	32

4.2.2.2	Calculation of blood cell count	32
4.2.3	Urine sample	
4.2.3.1	Specimen collection	32
4.2.3.2	Routine examination	32
4.2.3.3	Macroscopic examination	33
4.2.3.4	Detection of sugar and albumin in urine	33
4.2.3.5	Microscopic examination	33
4.2.3.6	Culture of Specimen	33
4.2.3.7	Identification of the isolates	34
4.2.4	Antibiotic susceptibility testing	35
4.2.5	Purity Plate	36
4.2.6	Quality Control for test	36
4.2.7	Flowchart	37
CHAPTER V RESULTS		38-53
5.1	Study Population	38
5.2	Age and gender wise distribution of the cancer patients	39
5.3	Clinical pattern of results	
5.3.1	Growth pattern of bacteria in urine samples	39
5.3.2	Genderwise distribution of significant bacterial growth from urine samples	40
5.3.3	Pattern of age and sex wise distribution of significant bacteriuric cases	40
5.3.4	Prevalence of UTI according to the type of cancer	41
5.3.5	Distribution of significant bacteriuric cases with the number of chemotherapy cycles	42
5.4	Microscopic observation of urine	
5.4.1	Microscopic observation of pus cells against the culture result	42

5.5	Biochemical Pattern of Results	43-44
5.5.1	Comparision of albuminuria with culture result	43
5.5.2	Correlation of total WBC count with the culture result	43
5.5.3	Correlation of Absolute neutrophil count with the culture result	44
5.6	Microbiological pattern of the results	44-45
5.6.1	Pattern of bacteria isolated from culture positive urine samples according to gram stain	44
5.6.2	Pattern of microbial isolates from urine samples	45
5.7	Antibiotic Susceptibility Pattern of Isolates	46-53
5.7.1	Antibiotic susceptbility pattern of the Gram positive isolate	46
5.7.2	Antibiotic susceptbility pattern of Gram negative isolates	47
5.7.3	Antibiotic susceptbility pattern of individual bacterial isolates from urine samples	48-52
5.7.4	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates	53
CHAPTER VI DISSCUSSION AND CONCLUSION		56-63
6.1	Discussion	
6.2	Conclusion	
CHAPTER VII SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS		64-66
7.1	Summary	
7.2	Recommendations	
CHAPTER VIII REFERENCES		67-75
CHAPTER IX APPENDICES I-IX		

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANC	Absolute Neutrophil Count
BA	Blood Agar
CC-MSU	Clean Catch Mid Stream Urine
CFU	Colony Forming Units
CONS	Coagulase Negative Staphylococci
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
HPF	High Power Field
L	Litre
LPF	Low Power Field
MA	MacConkey Agar
MDR	Multi-drug Resistant
MHA	Mueller Hinton Agar
µl	Microlitre
MRSA	Methicillin Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
MRVP	Methyl Red Voges Proskauer
MSU	Mid-Stream Urine
NA	Nutrient Agar
NB	Nutrient Broth
nm	Nano Meter
NCCLS	National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards
ONPG	<i>o</i> -nitrophenyl- -D-galactopyranoside
PAF	Prostatic Antibacterial Factor
PDA	Phenylalanine Deaminase
RBC	Red Blood Cell
SIM	Sulfide Indole Motility
TC	Total Count
TMP-SMX	Trimethoprim-Sulfomethaxole

TPD	Tetramethyl <i>p</i> -phenylene diamine dihydrochloride
TSIA	Triple Sugar Iron Agar
UPEC	Uropathogenic <i>E. coli</i>
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
WBC	White Blood Cell
WHO	World Health Organization

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1 Distribution of patients according to the type of cancer:
- Table 2 Age and sex-wise distribution of the cancer patients
- Table 3 Pattern of significant bacteriuria in cancer patients
- Table 4 Pattern of genderwise significant bacterial growth from urine samples
- Table 5 Age and sex-wise distribution of the significant bacteriuric cases:
- Table 6 Pattern of significant bacterial growth according to the type of cancer
- Table 7 Distribution of significant bacteriuric cases with the number of chemotherapy Cycles
- Table 8 Microscopic observation of pus cells against the culture result
- Table 9 Correlation of albuminuria with the culture result
- Table 10 Correlation of UTI with the Total WBC Count
- Table 11 Correlation of UTI with the Absolute Neutrophil Count
- Table 12 Pattern of bacteria isolated from culture positive urine samples according to Gram's staining
- Table 13 Pattern of different species of bacteria isolated from urine of cancer patients
- Table 14 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the gram positive isolate
- Table 15 Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the gram negative isolates
- Table 16 Antibiotic Susceptibility pattern of *E. coli*
- Table 17 Antibiotic Susceptibility pattern of *Citrobacter freundii*
- Table 18 Antibiotic Susceptibility pattern of *Klebsiella oxytoca*
- Table 19 Antibiotic Susceptibility pattern of *Acinetobacter spp*
- Table 20 Antibiotic Susceptibility pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
- Table 21 Antibiotic resistance pattern of the bacterial isolates from urine samples

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

- Photograph 1 Significant growth of *E. coli* on MacConkey agar
- Photograph 2 Antibiotic susceptibility test of MDR strain of *Escherichia coli*
- Photograph 3 Biochemical tests for *E. coli*
- Photograph 4 Automated Hematology Analyzer (Sysmex KX-21)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX-I Questionnaire

APPENDIX-II

- I. Composition and preparation of different culture media
- II. Biochemical test media
- III. Staining and test reagents

APPENDIX-III

- A. Gram staining procedure
- B. Standardization of loop

APPENDIX-IV Method of collection of midstream urine

APPENDIX-V Biochemical tests for identification of bacteria

APPENDIX-VI List of equipments and materials used during the study

APPENDIX-VII Morphology and cultural characteristics of bacteria isolated from urine sample

APPENDIX-VIII Distinguishing reactions of members of Enterobacteriaceae

APPENDIX-IX Protocol for automated hematology analyzer (Sysmex KX-21)