

**Governance Processes of Community Forestry and Its Impact: A
Sociological Study of Andheribhajana Community Forest User Group of
Sankhuwasabha District**

**Submitted to:
Central Department of Sociology and Anthropology
The faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
Tribhuvan University**

**For the Fulfillment of the Partial Requirements of
Master Degree in Sociology**

**Submitted by:
Manik Ram Maharjan**

April, 2008

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY/ANTHROPOLOGY
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU
NEPAL

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that Mr. Manik Ram Maharjan has completed his dissertation entitled **“Governance Processes of Community Forestry and Its Impact: A Sociological Study of Andheribhajana Community Forest User Group of Sankhuwasabha District”** under my guidance and supervision. I, therefore, recommend this dissertation for final approval and acceptance.

Date: _____

Sambhu Prasad Kattel
Lecturer
Central Department of Sociology/Anthropology,
University Campus
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur
Nepal

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY/ANTHROPOLOGY
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU
NEPAL

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

This dissertation entitled “**Governance Processes of Community Forestry and Its Impact: A Sociological Study of Andheribhajana Community Forest User Group of Sankhuwasabha District**” submitted by Mr. Manik Ram Maharjan has been accepted as the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master Degree of Arts in sociology.

Approved by:

External Examiner :

Internal Examiner :

Chairperson :

Date: _____

Kathmandu

Dissertation Committee

This Thesis is Dedicated to My Son, Late Samip Maharjan



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All successful research works are the result of contribution made by a wide range of co-operative hands. This study is no exception either. During the entire period of the study, I received innovative advice and encouragement from my respected guide Mr. Sambhu Prasad Kattel. His invaluable guidance, feedback and suggestion were instrumental in shaping this study and have greatly helped to me to improve the quality of this thesis. First of all, I would therefore, like to express my sincere and heartfelt gratitude to him.

My sincere gratitude goes to Dr. Om Gurung, Head of the Central Department of Sociology/Anthropology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu for his suggestion and encouragement to be carried out this research work. Also I wish to acknowledge my gratefulness to Dr. Laya Prasad Uprety, all the respected teachers and staff of the Central Department of Sociology/anthropology for their sympathy and cooperation during my association with the department.

I would like to express my sincere and kind appreciation to the following people and organizations for helping me in completing this thesis. This thesis is not a single effort; it is the outcome of mental, physical and financial investments from officials and individuals that deserve my sincere acknowledgement. I would like to express my cordial thanks and gratitude to my Project Director Dr. Bishnu Hari Pandit and my friend Mr. Naveen Kumar Lama who perpetually encouraged me in completing this thesis and gave valuable time and guidance, suggestions and feedback until the thesis was completed. In organizational level, I would also like to express my gratitude to New ERA and CIFOR for their cooperation to use information for my thesis purpose.

I wish to extend my heartfelt appreciation and gratitude all the users of Andheribhajana Community Forest User Group and the key informants who in one way or another, helped me in this study by making available their valuable time, information and suggestion. Obviously, without their cooperation, company, patience and necessary support, this study would not have been completed. Finally, I would like to thank and pay my heartiest respect to my all family members for their encouragement and help rendered to me in the course of working on this venture.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION	i
LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF MAP	x
LIST OF ACRONYMS	xi
CHAPTER-1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Sociological Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4 Conceptual Frame Work	7
1.5 Organization of the Study	8
CHAPTER-2: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	9
2.1 Governance in Community Forestry	9
2.2 Background of Forest Management System	10
2.3 Community Forest	11
2.4 Current Approach	14
2.5 Definitions of Main Terminologies Used in the Thesis	14
CHAPTER-3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	19
3.1 Study Area and Reasons for Selection	19
3.2 Research Design	20
3.3 Nature and Source of Data	20
3.4 Universe and Sampling Procedure	21
3.5 Data Collection Techniques	21
3.5.1 Interview	21
3.5.2. Key Informants Interview	21
3.5.3 Observation Method	22
3.5.4 Group Discussion	22
3.5.5 Focus group discussion	22
3.5.6 Case Studies	22
3.6 Variables and their Measurements	22
3.7 Analysis of Data	23
3.8 Limitation of the Study	23
CHAPTER-4: GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA	24
4.1 Forest Resources of Sankhuwasabha District and Formation of the FUGs	24

	<u>Page</u>	
4.2	Background of Sankhuwasabha District	25
4.3	Socio-economic Situation of the Users	27
4.4	General Characteristic of the Study CF	30
	4.4.1 Andheribhajana CF Area	30
	4.4.2 Information about Forest Condition	31
	4.4.3 Characteristics of FUG/EC	33
4.5	History of Andheribhajana Forest	34
4.6	Formation of Andheribhajana CFUG	36
 CHAPTER – 5: STAKEHOLDERS AND GOVERNANCE PROCESSES		 39
5.1	Types of Stakeholders	39
	5.1.1 Relation of CFUG with External Stakeholders	39
	5.1.2 Network Dynamics of FUG with Outside SHs	43
	5.1.3 Relations between local level Stakeholders within the CFUG	43
5.2	Governance Processes: Institutions, Actors, and Degree of ‘ACMness’	46
	5.2.1 CFUG Structure	46
	5.2.2 CFUG Actors	47
5.3	Governance & Management Processes	49
	5.3.1 Long Term (5 years) Planning Process	49
	5.3.2 Annual Planning Process	50
	5.3.3 Activity Level Planning Process	53
5.4	ACMness in CFUG Governance and Management	55
	5.4.1 ACMness in Long term Planning	55
	5.4.2 Overall Synthesis	58
 CHAPTER – 6: ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION AND LIVELIHOOD STRATEGIES		 59
6.5	Environmental/Ecological Condition	59
	6.5.1 Description of CF: 2007	59
	6.5.2 Abundance and Value of Forest Products	59
	6.5.3 Changes in Forest Condition	60
	6.5.4 Comparison of Estimated Changes in Forest Cover, Bio-diversity Over Time	61
	6.5.5 Nursery and Plantation Rates	62
	6.5.6 Changes in Trends and Strategies	62
	6.5.7 Future Trends	63
6.6	Livelihood Strategies of Users	64
	6.6.1 Occupation/Sources of Livelihood (Subsistence and income)	64
	6.6.2 Needs of Forest Product for Each Wealth Group	66
	6.6.3 Estimated Percentage of Needs met for Key FPs for Each Wealth Group	66
	6.6.4 Amount of FP Used by Wealth Group	67
	6.6.5 Silvicultural Practices and Monitoring System	68
	6.6.6 Mobilization of CFUG Funds for Livelihood Investments	68
	6.6.7 Marginalized Users Livelihood Security	69

	<u>Page</u>
6.7 Perception of Equity regarding Distribution of CF Fees and Levies	70
6.8 Level of CFUG Satisfaction with their Own Participation	70
 CHAPTER – 7: LEADERSHIP, PARTICIPATION AND ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT INDICATORS	 71
7.1 Leadership Development	71
7.2 Role and Responsibilities, and Activeness of CAs	73
7.3 Participation	73
7.4 Assessment of Impact Indicators	75
7.4.1 Changes in Livelihood Indicators	76
7.4.2 Change in Social Indicators	76
7.4.3 Change in Institutional Indicators	77
7.4.4 Indicators for Changes in Human Capital	78
7.4.5 Indicators of Ecological Change	79
7.4.6 Indicators of Financial Change	79
 CHAPTER-8: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	 81
8.1 Summary	81
8.2 Conclusion	82
8.3 Recommendation	83
 REFERENCES	 85
 APPENDICES	 90
Appendix-A1: Checklist for Interview (Household level)	90
Appendix-A2: Checklist for Key Informant Interviews	91
Appendix-A3: Checklist for Focus Group Discussion	93
Appendix-A4: Checklist for Group Discussion for Assessment of Impact Indicators	95
Appendix A5: Checklist for Group Interviews	97
Appendix-B1: List of Key Informants	100
Appendix-B2: List of Focus Group Discussion Participants	101
Appendix-B3: List of Group Interview/Discussion Participants	102

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 4.2.1: Topographical Distribution of Land	24
Table 4.3.1: Number of User Households of Andheribhajana CFUG by Caste/Ethnicity	28
Table 4.3.2: Number of User Households by Sex	28
Table 4.3.3: Socio-economic Situation of the Members of Andheribhajana CFUG (Well-being Ranking)	29
Table 4.3.4: Socio-economic Status of the Andheribhajana CFUG Households by Caste/Ethnicity	30
Table 4.4.1.1: Some Background Information about Andheribhajana CF Area	31
Table 4.4.2.1: Some Basic Characteristics of the Andheribhajana CF	32
Table 4.4.3.1: Some Background Information of the Andheribhajana CF	33
Table 4.5.1: Historical Time-line of Andheribhajana CFUG	34
Table 5.1.2.1: Network Dynamics of Andheribhajana CFUG with Outside SHs	43
Table 5.2.1: Institutional Arrangement Forum for Decision Making	46
Table 5.3.2.1: Planning Processes, Goals and Achievements of Andheribhajana CF	52
Table 5.3.3.1: Activity Plans and related Sub-Committees	54
Table 5.4.1.1: ACMness in Long Term Planning	55
Table 6.5.2.1: Abundance and Value of Main Species in CF: Trees	60
Table 6.5.3.1: Changing Trend of CF Condition	61
Table 6.5.4.1: Estimated Changes (%) of Trees	61
Table 6.5.4.2: Estimated Changes (%) of NTFP	62
Table 6.5.4.3: Estimated Changes of Wildlife	62
Table 6.5.6.1: Changing Trend of CF Condition	63
Table 6.5.7.1: Future Direction of Change in CFUG	64
Table 6.6.1.1: Sources of Livelihoods by Caste/Ethnicity and Wealth Status in 2002	65
Table 6.6.1.2: Sources of Livelihoods by Caste/Ethnicity and Wealth Status in 2007	66
Table 6.6.3.1: Estimated Percentage of Needs met for Key FP by Wealth Group	67
Table 6.6.4.1: Amount of FP Used by Wealth Group	67
Table 6.8.1: Level of Satisfaction by Different Groups (EC/general Users and Marginalized Users) in Andheribhajana CFUG	70
Table 7.1.1: Introduction of Change Agents	72
Table 7.2.1: Degree of Activeness of CAs	73
Table 7.3.1: Composition of the EC of Andheribhajana CFUG-2007	74
Table 7.3.2: Composition of Tole Committees/Leader in 2007	74
Table 7.3.3: Number of Participation by Different Users in Various Meetings in 2007	75
Table 7.4.1.1: Livelihood Capital	76
Table 7.4.2.1: Social Capital	77
Table 7.4.3.1: Institutional Capital	77
Table 7.4.4.1: Human Capital	78
Table 7.4.5.1: Natural Capital	79
Table 7.4.6.1: Financial Capital	80

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.4: Conceptual Frame Work	7
Figure 5.1.1.1: Venn Diagram Showing the Relation of FUG with External Stakeholders	40
Figure 5.1.3.1: Venn Diagram Showing the Relation of CFUG with Internal Stakeholders	44
Figure 5.2.2.1: Institutional Arrangements and Planning Processes in Andheribhajana CFUG	48

LIST OF MAP

	<u>Page</u>
Map 4.2.1 Map Showing the Study District and Site	26
Map 4.3.1 Social Map of Andheribhajana CFUG	27

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACM	Adaptive and Collaborative Management
ADB	Asian Development Bank
CA	Change Agent
C&I	Criteria and Indicators
CDP	Community Development Programme
CF	Community Forest/Forestry
CFDP	Community Forestry Development Programme
CFUG	Community Forest Users' Group
CIFOR	Centre for International Forestry Research
DAG	Disadvantaged Group
DDC	District Development Committee
DFO	District Forest Office/Officer
DOF	Department of Forest
EC	Executive Committee
FDP	Forest Development Programme
FECOFUN	Federation of Community Forest Users of Nepal
FUC	Forest Users' Committee
FUG	Forest Users' Group
GOs	Governmental Organizations
Ha	Hector
HH	Household
HMG/N	His Majesty Government of Nepal
IDRC	International Development Research Centre
IGA	Income Generation Activities
INGO	International Non-governmental Organization
KAP	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice
KHARDEP	Koshi Hill Area Development Project
LFP	Livelihood and Forestry Programme
MOFSC	Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization

NTFP	Non-timber Forest Products
OP	Operational Plan
PAR	Participatory Action Research
PRA	Participatory Rural Appraisal
PF	Panchayat Forest
PPF	Panchayat Protected Forest
RP	Range Post
RGCC	Range Post Co-ordination Committee
RRA	Rural Rapid Appraisal
RRN	Rural Reconstruction Nepal
SDC	Swiss Development Co-operation
SFM	Sustainable Forest Management
SODEC	Society Development Center
SHs	Stakeholders
TEF	The East Foundation
UG	Users' Group
VDC	Village Development Committee
WDP	Women Development Programme