GRADE X STUDENTS' PROFICIENCY IN THE USE OF COMMUNICATIVE FUNCTIONS

A Thesis Submitted to The Department of English Language
Education, University Campus, Kirtipur in Partial fulfillment
of Master's Degree in Education
(Specialization in English Language Education)

<u>By</u> Shusil Chandra Jnawali

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu 2007

GRADE X STUDENTS' PROFICIENCY IN THE USE OF COMMUNICATIVE FUNCTIONS

A Thesis Submitted to The Department of English Education, University Campus, Kirtipur in Partial fulfillment of Master's Degree in Education (Specialization in English Language Education)

By

Shusil Chandra Jnawali

Faculty of Education

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

2007

T.U. Regd. No. 15629-94

Date of Approval of the Thesis

Campus Roll No. 168(2057)
Second Year Examination

Proposal: 2061-12-30

Date of Submission: 2063-11-21

Roll No. 28293(2059)

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that Mr. Shusil Chandra Jnawali has prepared this
dissertation entitled 'A Study on the Proficiency in the Use of Language Functions
by Grade Ten Students' under my guidance and supervision.
I recommend this dissertation for acceptance.

Govinda Raj Bhattarai

(Guide)

Professor

Department of English Education Faculty of Education

Date:

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following Research Guidance Committee.

Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra Reader and Head Department of English Education	Chairman
Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai Professor of English Department of English Education	Member
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai Lecturer of English Department of English Education	Member
Date:	

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following Thesis Evaluation Committee.

Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra Reader and Head	Chairman
Department of English Language Education	
Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi Professor of English Department of English Language Education	Member
Dr. Anjana Bhattarai Lecturer of English Department of English Education	 Member
Date:	

DEDICATION

This work has been dedicated to my mother late Durpata Jnawali who had lived her whole life for my education and betterment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extent my sincere gratitude to my venerable teacher and guide Prof. **Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai**, Professor of English, T.U. Kritipur. I have got no words to weigh the worth of his suggestions, instructions, constant guidance and insightful comments. His strong inspiration helped me a lot to accomplish this thesis.

I would like to offer my deep gratitude to my respected guru Prof. **Dr. Shisir Kumar Sthapit,** Department of English Education for his suggestions and inspiration to bring this thesis to this state. I would also like to offer my sincere gratitude to my guruma Prof. **Dr. Shanti Basnyat,** The Chairperson of English and Other Foreign Languages, Education Subject Committee, T.U. Kirtipur for her kind help to form the skeleton of the proposal and to accomplish this thesis. Similarly I am very much indebted to Prof. **Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi**, Department of English Language Education, T.U. Kirtipur for his sound guidance and framing my study with various comments.

I am also indebted to Prof. **Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya**, Department of English Language Education, T.U. Kirtipur, for his sound guidance to reframe my thesis proposal in order to accomplish this work.

Similarly, my acknowledgement goes to my respected Gurus Dr. Chandreshwar Mishra, Reader and Head of English Language Education Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari and Vishnu Prasad Singh Rai for their rigorous inspiration.

Likewise, I would like to extend my heart-felt thanks to my Guruma

Dr. Anjana Bhattarai, Lecture, Department of English Language Education, T.U.

Kirtipur for her invaluable suggestions and patience even I went her home early in

the morning.

I am extremely obliged to Mr. Shasidhar Belbase, Lecture, Department of

Mathematics Education, K.U. for his invaluable help to select the topic and draw

the outline of this work. I would also like to thank my friend Mr. Mohan Bahadur

Budhathoki for his help in collecting data and analyzing errors. I also want to

acknowledge Madhavi Khanal for providing necessary information from the

department even in the difficult situations. Similarly I am also grateful to my wife

Yama Jnawali for helping me to draw this thesis in this form by locating errors and

providing enough time for completion of this work. Last but not the least, my due

thanks goes to Mr. Arjun Ghimire, Ashma Computer for his neat and attractive

typewriting of this dissertation.

Shusil Chandra Jnawali

February 2007

viii

ABSTRACT

This study attempts to identify Grade X students' proficiency in the use of communicative functions studying in public schools of Kathmandu and Lalitpur districts. For the study, the researcher took sample population from ten public schools, five from each Kathmandu and Lalitpur district. Six students consisting of 50% boys and 50 % girls from each school were selected as the subjects of the study. A set of test items was prepared consisting of 22 questions from each language function i.e. two questions from one function from Grade IX curriculum. The responses of the respondents were recorded in audiocassette for interpretation.

This study is divided into four chapters.

The first chapter consists of the basic theoretical aspect of the research which includes general background, communicative functions of language, language functions used in data collection, relationship between structures and functions, literature review, objectives of the study and significance of the study.

The second chapter tries to give an account of the methodology applied to carry out the research work along with the limitations of the study.

The third chapter deals with the analysis of data using statistical tools to make the study more objective and accurate. It mainly includes schools wise analysis of the students' proficiency, function wise analysis of the students' proficiency and item wise analysis of errors.

The fourth chapter presents the list of findings and some recommendations made on the basis of the analysis and interpretation of the data.

The most striking feature of this study is its findings. Based on the collected data, the researcher came to the conclusion that the students are weaker in relating language functions with their correct exponents.

It has also been showed that most of the students use essay type expression when they are asked questions of certain situations.

CONTENTS

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION EVALUATION AND APPROVAL DEDICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ABSTRACT CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

CHAPTER - ONE

1	INTR	RODUCTION	1-18
1.1	Gene 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6.		1 6 10 13 16 18
	1.7	Significance of the Study	18
		CHAPTER - TWO	
ME	THODO	LOGY	19-21
2.	Intro	duction	19
	2.1 Sou	rces of Data	19
	2.1.1	Primary Sources of Data	19
	2.1.2	Secondary Sources of Data	19
	2.1.3	Population	20
	2.1.4	Tools for Data Collection	20
	2.2	Process of Data Collection	20
	2.3	Limitation of the Study	21

CHPTER - THREE

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION 3. Introduction		22-72 22
3.1	Schoolwise Analysis of Students' Proficiency	22
3.1.1	Total Proficiency of the Students	23
3.1.2	Students' Proficiency of Panchakannya Ma. Vi. Chhauni	24
3.1.3	Students' Proficiency of Sitaram Higher Secondary School Ramkot	25
3.1.4	Students' Proficiency of Nandi Ma. Vi. Naxal	26
3.1.5	Students' Proficiency of Arunodaya Higher Secondary School	
	Pharping	27
3.1.6	Students' Proficiency of Navayug Ma Vi. Lagan	28
3.1.7	Students' Proficiency of Mahendra Adarsha Ma. Vi. Imadol	29
3.1.8	Students' Proficiency of Harisiddhi Ma. Vi. Harisiddhi	30
3.1.9	Students' Proficiency of Yashodhara Ma. Vi. Thainatol	31
3.1.10	Students Proficiency of Patan Ma. Vi. Patandhoka	32
3.1.11	Students' Proficiency of Namuna Machhindra Ma.Vi. Lagankhel	33
3.1.12	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 1	34
3.1.13	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 2	35
3.1.14	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 3	37
3.1.15	Comparison of Schools in Item No 4	38
3.1.16	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 5	39
3.1.17	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 6	40
3.1.18	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 7	42
3.1.19	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 8	43
3.1.20	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 9	44
3.1.21	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 10	45
3.1.22	Comparison of Schools in Item No. 11	46
3.1.23	Comparison of Schools in Nutshell	47

3.2	Functionwise Analysis of Proficiency	49
3.2.1	Students' Proficiency in Making Plans and Expressing Intentions	49
3.2.2	Students' Proficiency in Suggesting and Advising	49
3.2.3	Students' Proficiency in Making Requests	50
3.2.4	Students' Proficiency in Expressing Condolence and Sympathy	50
3.2.5	Students' Proficiency in Apologizing and Responding to an Apolog	gy 50
3.2.6	Students' Proficiency in Asking for Permission	51
3.2.7	Students' Proficiency in Making Offers	51
3.2.8	Students' proficiency in Accepting and Rejecting Offers	51
3.2.9	Students' Proficiency in Giving Advice and Warning	52
3.2.10	Students' proficiency in Persuading Someone to do Something	52
3.2.11	Students' Proficiency in Expressing Ability to do Something	52
3.2.12	Functionwise Analysis of Errors in a nutshell in descending order	53
3.3	Itemwise Analysis of Errors	54
3.3.1	Analysis of Errors in Item No-1	55
3.3.2	Analysis of Errors in Item No-2	56
3.3.3	Analysis of Errors in Item No-3	58
3.3.4	Analysis of Errors in Item No-4	59
3.3.5	Analysis of Errors in Item No-5	61
3.3.6	Analysis of Errors in Item No-6	62
3.3.7	Analysis of Errors in Item No-7	63
3.3.8	Analysis of Errors in Item No-8	66
3.3.9	Analysis of Errors in Item No-9	67
3.3.10	Analysis of Errors in Item No-10	69
3.3.11	Analysis of Errors in Item No-11	70
	CHAPTER – FOUR	
	IGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	73-79
4.1. 4.2	Finding Recommendations	73 75
T. ८		76-77
		78-79

LIST OF TABLES

S.N.	Description	Page No.
Table No.1	Total Proficiency of the Students	23
Table No. 2	Students' Proficiency of Panchakannya Ma. Vi. Chhauni	24
Table No. 3	Students' Proficiency of Sitaram Higher Secondary School	25
	Ramkot	
Table No. 4	Students' Proficiency of Nandi Ma.Vi. Naxal	26
Table No. 5	Students' Proficiency of Arunodaya Higher Secondary	27
	School Pharping	
Table No. 6	Students' Proficiency of Navayug Ma. Vi. Lagan	28
Table No. 7	Students' Proficiency of Mahendra Adarsha Ma. Vi. Imadol	29
Table No. 8	Students' Proficiency of Harisiddhi Ma. Vi. Harisiddhi	30
Table No. 9	Students' Proficiency of Yashodhara Ma. Vi. Thainatol	31
Table No. 10	Students' Proficiency of Patan Ma. Vi. Patandhoka	32
Table No.11	Students' Proficiency of Namuna Machhindra Ma. Vi.	33
	Lagankhel	
Table No. 12	Comparison of Schools in Item No-1	34
Table No. 13	Comparison of Schools in Item No-2	35
Table No. 14	Comparison of Schools in Item No-3	37
Table No. 15	Comparison of Schools in Item No-4	38
Table No. 16	Comparison of Schools in Item No-5	39
Table No. 17	Comparison of Schools in Item No-6	40
Table No. 18	Comparison of Schools in Item No-7	42
Table No. 19	Comparison of Schools in Item No-8	43
Table No. 20	Comparison of Schools in Item No-9	44
Table No. 21	Comparison of Schools in Item No-10	45
Table No.22	Comparison of Schools in Item No-11	46
Table No.23	Comparison of Schools in a Nutshell	47
Table No.24	Students' Proficiency in Making Plans and Expressing	49
	Intentions	
Table No.25	Students' Proficiency in Suggesting and Advising	49
Table No.26	Students' Proficiency in Making Requests	50
Table No.27	Students' Proficiency in Expressing Condolence and	50
	Sympathy	
Table No.28	Students' Proficiency in Apologizing and Responding to an	50
	Apology	
Table No.29	Students' Proficiency in Asking for Permission	51
Table No.30	Students' Proficiency in Making Offers	51
Table No.31	Students' Proficiency in Accepting and Rejecting Offers	51
Table No.32	Students' Proficiency in Giving Advice and Warning	52
Table No.33	Students' Proficiency in Persuading Someone to Do	52
	Something	
Table No.34	Students' Proficiency in Expressing Ability to Do Something	52
Table No.35	Functionwise analysis of errors in a Nutshell in descending	53
	order	
Table No.36	Types of errors in a nutshell	71

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

i.e. - that is

Etc. - et cetera

M.Ed - Masters in Education

T.U. - Tribhuvan University

NELTA - Nepal English Language Teachers' Association

Ma.Vi. - Madhyamik Vidhalaya

P.K. Ma.Vi. - Panchayakanya Ma.Vi.

S.R.H.S.S. - Sitaram Higher Secondary School

N.Ma.Vi. - Nandi Ma.Vi.

A.H.S.S. - Arunodaya Higher Secondary School

N.Y. Ma.Vi. - Navayug Ma.Vi.

M.A. Ma.Vi. - Mahendra Adarsha Ma.Vi.

H.S. Ma.Vi. - Harisiddhi Ma.Vi.

Y.D. Ma.Vi. - Yashodhara Ma. Vi.

P. Ma.Vi. - Patan Ma.Vi.

N.M. Ma.Vi. - Namuna Machhindra Ma.Vi.

- ♦ = Wrong Sentences
- = Correct Sentences