

**MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AMONG VARIOUS CLINICAL  
BACTERIAL ISOLATES AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT  
TYPES OF  $\beta$ -LACTAMASES WITH SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER  
MECHANISM BY PLASMID DNA ANALYSIS**



**A**

**Dissertation**

**Presented to the Central Department of Microbiology  
Tribhuvan University**

**In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Award of the Degree of  
Master of Science in Microbiology (Medical)**



**by**

**Pankaj Baral**

**Central Department of Microbiology  
Tribhuvan University  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal  
2008**

## **RECOMMENDATION**

This is to certify that **Mr. Pankaj Baral** has completed this dissertation work entitled “**MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AMONG VARIOUS CLINICAL BACTERIAL ISOLATES AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF -LACTAMASES WITH SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER MECHANISM BY PLASMID DNA ANALYSIS**” as a partial fulfillment of M. Sc. Degree in Microbiology under our supervision. To our knowledge this thesis work has not been submitted for any other degree.

---

**Mr. Binod Lekhak**  
Asst. Professor  
Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University,  
Kathmandu, Nepal

---

**Mrs. Basudha Shrestha**  
Microbiologist  
Pathology Department,  
Kathmandu Model Hospital  
Pradarshani Marg, Kathmandu,  
Nepal

**Date:** .....

**Date:** .....

# CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of **Mr. Binod Lekhak** and **Mrs. Basudha Shrestha** this dissertation work by **Mr. Pankaj Baral**, entitled “**MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE AMONG VARIOUS CLINICAL BACTERIAL ISOLATES AND PRODUCTION OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF  $\beta$ -LACTAMASES WITH SUBSEQUENT TRANSFER MECHANISM BY PLASMID DNA ANALYSIS**” has been approved for the examination and is submitted to the Tribhuvan University in partial fulfillment of the requirement for M. Sc. Degree in Microbiology.

---

Dr. Anjana Singh, Ph. D.  
Head of the Department  
Central Department of Microbiology,  
Tribhuvan University,  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Date:- .....

# BOARD OF EXAMINERS

**Recommended by:**

---

**Mr. Binod Lekhak**

Supervisor

---

**Mrs. Basudha Shrestha**

Supervisor

**Approved by:**

---

**Dr. Anjana Singh**

Head of the Department

**Examined by:**

---

**Prof. Nuchhe Ratna Tuladhar, TUTH**

External Examiner

---

**Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta**

Internal Examiner

**Date: .....**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation and profound gratitude to all the people who helped me in one way or another to complete this dissertation.

Respectfully, I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my supervisor **Mr. Binod Lekhak**, Asst. Prof, Central Department of Microbiology, Trhibhuvan University, Kirtipur for his superb guidance, generosity, encouragements, invaluable suggestions and enlightenment during whole of this research work.

I am indebted to my supervisor, **Mrs. Basudha Shrestha**, microbiologist, Kathmandu Model Hospital, Kathmandu for her meticulous guidance and tremendous support for accomplishment of this work. My special gratefulness also goes to Mr. Kiran Babu Tiwari, Asst. Prof. Pokhara University for his expert guidance, moral support and encouragement for doing and accomplishment of this work.

I am much obliged to honorable Head of Department **Dr. Anjana Singh**, Central Department of Microbiology, Mr. Megha Raj Banjara and all the teachers and staffs of Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University.

I am thankful to Dr. Dwij Raj Bhatta, Dr. Prakash Ghimire, Dr. Shreekant Adhikari, Ms. Shaila Basnyat and all the teachers and staffs of Central Department of Microbiology for their valuable idea, moral support, and kind cooperation during this study. I acknowledge profound gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ronald H. Baeurle, Senior Fulbright professor for his scrupulous guidance, moral support and kind cooperation.

My special thanks goes to colleagues Mr. Sanjiv Neupane, Mr. Kashi Ram Ghimire, Mr. Bishnu Prasad Marasini, Mr. Navaraj Dahal and Mr. Girdhari Rijal for their support and cooperation for completion of this work.

I wish to extend my sincere gratitude to all participating patients during the study for their good cooperation and response during the study and my family for their moral support, patience and encouragement.

---

**Pankaj Baral**

# ABSTRACT

In the present study 710 urine, 551 blood, 91 pus, 28 fluid, 59 sputum, 13 CSF, 2 tissue, 16 stool, 30 throat swab and 3 perianal swab samples were collected from symptomatic patients visiting Kathmandu Model Hospital from May 2007 to August 2007. The samples were processed for routine culture. The isolated bacteria were subjected for antimicrobial susceptibility testing by modified Kirby-Bauer method. The production of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs), AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases (ABLs) and metallo- $\beta$ -lactamases (MBLs) in the multidrug resistant isolates likely to produce these  $\beta$ -lactamases were determined by Double Disk Synergy test (DDST), AmpC Disk test (ADT) and EDTA-Disk Synergy test (EDST) methods respectively.

Plasmid DNA analysis of the multidrug resistant ESBL, ABL and MBL producing isolates of the bacteria were performed. The plasmid encoded  $\beta$ -lactamases and multidrug resistance transfer mechanism/s were also studied by conjugation and transformation method. The work was carried out at the laboratory of Central Department of Microbiology, Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal.

All together, 1503 samples were received in the laboratory, of which predominant were urine 710 (47.24%) samples. Out of 1503 samples, 336 (22.35%) showed bacterial growth (urine -30.84 %, blood-9.25 %, pus-61.53 %, fluid-7.14 %, sputum-1.69 %, CSF-7.69 %, tissue-100.0 %, stool-0.0 %, throat swab-3.34 % and perianal swab-100.0 %). The most predominant bacterial pathogen among all these samples was *E. coli* with 193/336 (57.44 %) isolates.

Imipenem was the drug of choice with a susceptibility of 98.43% for all Gram negative isolates, whereas amikacin and vancomycin were the drugs of choice with susceptibility 100.0% each for Gram positive isolates. Out of 336 isolates, 41.07 % (138/336) MDR isolates were found. *E. coli* was found most predominant MDR isolates with 46.12% (89/193) MDR strains among all samples. *E. coli* was found most predominant ESBL producers with 100.0% (27/27) ESBL producing MDR strains, ABL producers with 81.03 % (47/58) ABL producing MDR strains and MBL producers with 75.75% (25/33) MBL producing MDR strains.

All MDR  $\beta$ -lactamase producing isolates of *E. coli* (30) and *C. freundii* (3) selected for plasmid DNA analysis harbored megaplasmid with other small size plasmids. Most of them were found responsible for the transfer of plasmid mediated  $\beta$ -lactamase production with MDR traits frequently via conjugation. The most common plasmid type responsible for different  $\beta$ -lactamase production and multidrug resistance was 32.5 kb.

**Key Words:** Double Disk Synergy test, AmpC Disk test, EDTA Disk Synergy test, Plasmid, Conjugation

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<b>Title Page</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Certificate of Approval</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>vii-xi</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>xii-xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Photographs</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>List of Appendices</b>	<b>xvii-xviii</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-4</b>
<b>CHPATER II: OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>CHPATER III: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6-43</b>
3.1 Bacterial infections and multidrug resistance	6-15
3.1.1 Urinary tract infection (UTI)	6-8
3.1.2 Skin, soft tissue, and wound infections	8-11
a. Infections in or around hair follicles	8
b. Infections in the deeper layers of the epidermis and the dermis	8
c. Postoperative infections	9-10
d. Bites and burns	10
3.1.3 Upper respiratory tract infection (URTI)	11-12
3.1.4 Lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI)	12-13
3.1.5 Bloodstream Infection	13-14
3.1.6 Other bacterial infections	14-15
3.2 Antibiotic resistance	16-23
3.2.1 Definition	16
3.2.2 Risk factors for the development of antibiotic resistance	16
3.2.3 History of antibiotic resistance	17
3.2.4 Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance	17-23
3.2.4.1 Clinical resistance	18
3.2.4.2 Environmentally mediated antimicrobial resistance	18
3.2.4.3 Microorganism-mediated antimicrobial resistance	18
A, Intrinsic resistance (inherent;natural)	19-23
B. Acquired resistance	19-23

3.3 Multidrug resistance	23-30
3.3.1 Definition	23
3.3.2 Mechanisms of multidrug resistance	24-26
3.3.2.1 Genetic acquisition of MDR genes	24-25
3.3.2.2 Chromosomal multiple-antibiotic resistance (Mar) locus	25
3.3.2.3 Reduced cellular uptake	25
3.3.3.4 Multidrug efflux pumps	25-26
3.3.3 Multidrug resistance in global context	27-28
3.3.4 Multidrug resistance trend in Nepal	29-30
3.4 Plasmid and multidrug resistance	30-33
3.4.1 Host range and plasmid epidemics	30=31
3.4.2 Types of plasmids from MDR strains	31-32
3.4.3 Multidrug resistance mediated by plasmid	32-33
3.5 $\beta$ -lactams	33-35
3.5.1 Types of $\beta$ -lactams	33
3.5.2 Mode of action	33-34
3.5.3 Applications	34-35
3.6 $\beta$ -lactamases	35- 41
3.6.1 Classification of $\beta$ -lactamases	36
3.6.2 Action of $\beta$ -lactamase	36
3.6.3 Extended Spectrum of Beta-Lactamase (ESBL)	37-38
3.6.4 AmpC $\beta$ -lactamase (ABL)	39-40
3.6.5 Metallo $\beta$ -lactamase (MBL)	40-41
3.7 Approaches to analyse R-plasmid of bacterial strains	41-43
3.7.1 Analysis of intact and digested fragments of R-plasmid	41-42
3.7.2 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA sequencing	42
3.7.3 Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)	42-43
3.7.4 Isoelectric focusing (IEF)	43
3.7.5 Southern hybridization analysis	43
3.7.6 Electron microscopy	43

## **CHAPTER IV: MATERIALS AND METHODS** **48-59**

4.1 Materials	44
4.2 Methods	44-55
4.2.1 Data collection	44
4.2.2 Sample collection	44-47
4.2.2.1 Collection of urine sample	45
4.2.2.2 Collection of sputum	45
4.2.2.3 Collection of throat swab	45
4.2.2.4 Collection of pus sample	45-46
4.2.2.5 Collection of CSF	46
4.2.2.6 Collection of blood	46
4.2.2.7 Collection of stool sample	46
4.2.2.8 Collection of perianal swab	47



4.2.2.9 Collection of tissue sample	47
4.2.2.10 Collection of fluid sample	47
4.2.3 Sample evaluation	47
4.2.4 Macroscopic examination	47-48
4.2.5 Homogenization of Sample	48
4.2.6 Microscopic examination	48
4.2.7 Culture of specimen	48-50
4.2.8 Identification of isolates	50
4.2.9 Antibiotic susceptibility testing	50-51
4.2.10 Screening and confirmation of ESBL producers	51
4.2.11 Screening and confirmation of ABL producers	51-52
4.2.12 Screening and confirmation of MBL producers	52
4.2.13 Purity Plate	52
4.2.14 Quality control	53
4.2.15 Preservation of ESBL, ABL and MBL producing isolates	53
4.2.16 Plasmid DNA analysis	53-55
4.2.16.1 Extraction of plasmids DNA from ESBL, ABL and MBL producing MDR <i>E. coli</i> and <i>C. freundii</i>	53
4.2.16.2 Conjugation between MDR <i>E. coli</i> (donor) and <i>E. coli</i> HB101	53-54
4.2.16.3 Transformation of plasmid DNA in Recipient <i>E. coli</i> (TB1)	54
4.2.16.4 Extraction of plasmids DNA from transconjugant and transformant	54
4.2.16.5..Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)of Donors transconjugants and transformants	54
4.2.16.6 Electrophoresis of plasmid DNA from MDR <i>E. coli</i> , transconjugant and transformant	54
4.2.16.7 Decontamination of ethidium bromide	54
4.2.16.8 Making standard curve	54-55
4.2.16.9 Determination of size of DNA by semi log plot	55
4.2.16.10 Data analysis	55
<b>CHAPTER V: RESULTS</b>	<b>56-</b>
5.1 Clinical pattern of results	56-57
5.1.1 Number of samples, pattern of growth, MDR, ESBL, ABL and MBL producing strains	56
5.1.2 Pattern of type of patients, isolates and MDR strains in different samples	56
5.1.3 Gender and age wise distribution of patients requesting for culture and their growth pattern	57
5.2. Pattern of bacterial isolates	57-59

5.2.1	Pattern of bacterial growth according to Gram's stain	57
5.2.2	Pattern of bacterial isolates from different samples	57-58
5.2.3	Age wise distribution of pathogens and MDR strains from different samples	59
5.2.4	Pattern of isolates and MDR in outdoor and indoor Patients from different samples	59
5.3	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the isolates	60-63
5.3.1	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative isolates from different samples	60-61
5.3.2	Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of Gram-positive isolates from different samples	61-62
5.3.1	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of <i>E. coli</i> isolated from different samples	62
5.3.2	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of <i>S. aureus</i> isolated from different samples	63
5.4	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the isolates	63-65
5.4.1	Antibiotics Resistance pattern of the isolates from different samples	63
5.4.2	Distribution of MDR among gender and type of patients	63
5.4.3	Pattern of MDR strains and non-MDR strains among age of patients	65
5.5	Pattern of ESBL producing strains from different samples	65-66
5.6	Pattern of ABL producing strains from different samples	66
5.7	Pattern of MBL producing strains from different samples	67-68
5.8	Pattern of spectrum of MDR among ESBL, ABL and MBL producing isolates	68-69
5.9	Pattern of MDR isolates resistant to carbapenems among different samples	69
5.10	Plasmid DNA analysis	70-72
5.10.1	Plasmid DNA profiles from ESBL, ABL and MBL producing MDR <i>E. coli</i> and <i>C. reundii</i>	70
5.10.2	Plasmid DNA profiles and resistance patterns of donors and transconjugants	70
5.10.3	Conjugation frequency	72
5.10.4	Transformation	72
5.10.5	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of donors, transconjugants and transformants	74
6.	Statistical pattern of results	74
<b>CHAPTER VI: DISSCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>		<b>76-89</b>
6.1	Discussion	76-88
6.2	Conclusion	88-89

<b>CHAPTER VII: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>90-93</b>
7.1 Summary	90-92
7.2 Recommendations	92-93
<b>CHAPTER VIII: REFERENCES</b>	<b>94-114</b>
<b>APPENDICES I–XI</b>	<b>i-xlv</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Common infections of epidermis and dermis and their aetiologies
Table 2:	General characteristics of multidrug-resistant organisms
Table 3:	MDR Efflux systems of clinically important bacteria
Table 4:	Reference plasmids of different Inc. groups from <i>E. coli</i>
Table 5:	Classification schemes for bacterial $\beta$ -lactamases
Table 6:	Pattern of different clinical samples, status of growth, MDR strains and status of $\beta$ -lactamase producers
Table 7:	Pattern of isolates and MDR in outdoor and indoor patients from different samples
Table 8:	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative isolates from different samples
Table 9:	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-positive isolates from different samples
Table 10:	Antibiotic resistance pattern of the organisms
Table 11:	Distribution of MDR strains among gender and type of patients
Table 12:	Pattern of ESBL producing, suspected and confirmed cases of ESBL producing strains from different samples
Table 13:	Pattern of ABL producing, suspected and confirmed cases of ABL producing strains from different samples
Table 14:	Pattern of MBL producing strains and carbapenem resistant strains
Table 15:	Pattern of spectrum of MDR among ESBL, ABL and MBL producing isolates
Table 16:	Pattern of MDR isolates resistant to carbapenem
Table 17:	Plasmid DNA profiles and resistance patterns of donors and transconjugants
Table 18:	Transformation study with <i>E. coli</i> TB1 using supercoiled plasmid DNA
Table 19:	Conjugation Frequency of transconjugants on different selective media
Table 20:	Statistical pattern of the results

# LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Action of a serine  $\beta$ -lactamase (classes A, C, and D)
- Figure 1: Flow chart of processing of different specimens and identification of ESBL ABL and MBL
- Figure 3: Plasmid DNA analysis from ESBL, ABL and MBL producing strains
- Figure 4: Percentage pattern of pathogens isolated from diffeent samples

## LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

- Photograph 1      Significant Growth of *Escherichia coli* isolated from urine sample on Blood agar
- Photograph 2      Biochemical tests of *E. coli*
- Photograph 3      Antibiotic susceptibility test of *E. coli*: MDR strain
- Photograph 4      Positive ESBL-confirmation and suspected ABL production test on Mueller-Hinton agar
- Photograph 5      Positive hyper AmpC and AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase production test on Mueller-Hinton agar
- Photograph 6      Positive weak and non AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase production test on MHA
- Photograph 7      Positive MBL producing MRSA isolate resistant to carbapenem
- Photograph 8      Plasmid DNA profiles from different  $\beta$ -lactamase producing MDR isolates
- Photograph 9      Plasmid profiles of MDR *E. coli* and their transconjugants

# LIST OF APPENDICES

**APPENDIX-I:** Questionnaire

**APPENDIX-II:**

- A. List of Equipments and Materials used during the Study
- B. Microbiological Media
- C. Chemicals and Reagents
- D. Antibiotics Discs
- E. Antibiotics powder
- F. Miscellaneous

**APPENDIX-III:**

- I. Composition and Preparation of Different Culture Media
- II. Biochemical Test Media
- III. Staining and Test Reagents

**APPENDIX-IV:**

- A. Gram-staining Procedure

**APPENDIX-V:**

- 1. Biochemical tests for Identification of bacteria

**APPENDIX-VI:**

Distinguishing reactions of the commoner and pathogenic  
Enterobacteriaceae

**APPENDIX-VII:** Zone Size Interpretative Chart

**APPENDIX-VIII:**

- A. Double Disc Synergy Test (DDST) for ESBL confirmation
- B. AmpC Disc test for Confirmation of ABL
- C. Result Interpretation
- D. Preparation of AmpC disc
- E. EDTA Disc Synergy Test (EDST) for MBL confirmation
- F. Result interpretation

## **APPENDIX-IX:**

- A. Extraction of plasmid DNA
- B. Agarose gel electrophoresis:
- C. Transformation
- D. Conjugation
- E. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

## **APPENDIX-X:**

- Table 1: Pattern of type of patients, isolates and MDR strains in different samples
- Table 2: Age and gender wise distribution of patients requesting for culture and their growth pattern
- Table 3: Pattern of bacterial isolates from different samples
- Table 4: Pattern of bacterial growth according to Gram's stain
- Table 5: Age wise distribution of pathogens and MDR strains from different samples
- Table 6: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-negative bacterial pathogens
- Table 7: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* isolated from different samples
- Table 8: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of Gram-positive bacterial pathogens
- Table 9: Pattern of MDR strains from different samples among different age groups of patients
- Table 10: Plasmid DNA profiles of ESBL, ABL and MBL producing MDR *E. coli* and *C. freundii*
- Table 11: Minimum inhibitory concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ) of donors, transconjugants and transformants

## **APPENDIX-XI:**

- Chi –square test
- Odds ratio



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABL	AmpC $\beta$ -lactamase
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
BA	Blood Agar
CA	Chocolate Agar
CAT	Chloramphenicol Acetyltransferase
CFU	Colony Forming Units
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
CONS	Coagulase Negative Staphylococci
CRF	Coagulase Reacting Factor
DDST	Double Disk Synergy Test
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetra-acetic acid
ESBL	Extended Spectrum of Beta Lactamase
IS	Insertion Sequence
LRTI	Lower Respiratory Tract Infection
MA	MacConkey Agar
MBC	Minimum Bactericidal Concentration
MBL	Metallo $\beta$ -lactamase
MDR	Multi-drug Resistant
MHA	Mueller Hinton Agar
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
MRSA	Methicillin Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
MRVP	Methyl Red Voges Proskauer
NA	Nutrient Agar
NB	Nutrient Broth
NCCLS	National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards
NCTC	National Collection of Type Cultures
PBP	Penicillin Binding Protein

RAPD	Randomely amplified polymorphic DNA
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfonate
SIM	Sulfide Indole Motility
TPD	Tetramethyl <i>p</i> -phenylene diamine dihydrochloride
TSIA	Triple Sugar Iron Agar
TCBS	Thiosulfate Citrate Bile salt Sucrose
TUTH	Tribhuvan University Teaching Hospital
UTI	Urinary Tract Infection
VRE	Vancomycin Resistant enterococci
VRSA	Vancomycin Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>