

Rural household's dependency on community forest in Lalitpur District of Nepal

A Thesis
Submitted to the Central Department of Rural Development
Tribhuvan University/Patan Multiple Campus,
Patan, Lalitpur, Nepal

In partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of MASTER OF ARTS in RURAL DEVELOPMENT

By
SUNIL POKHREL
Department of Rural Development
TU/Patan Multiple Campus, Patan, Lalitpur
Kathmandu, Nepal

December 2007

APPROVAL SHEET

We certify that this thesis entitled “Rural household’s dependency on community forest in Lalitpur District of Nepal” submitted by Sunil Pokhrel to the Central Department of Rural Development, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuvan University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF ARTS in RURAL DEVELOPMENT has been found satisfactory in scope and quality. Therefore, we accept this thesis as a part of the said degree.

Thesis Committee

Mansuri Malla
Chairman

Prof. Dr. Ram K. Dahal
External Examiner

Bharat Pokharel
Supervisor

Lal Babu Yadav
Coordinator (RD Department)

Date: 23 December 2007

Declaration

I hereby declare that the job reported in this thesis entitled “Rural household’s dependency on community forest in Lalitpur District of Nepal” submitted to Patan Multiple Campus, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science/Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, is my original work done in the form of partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master in Arts (MA) under the supervision of Mr. Bharat Pokharel, Patan Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University.

Sunil Pokhrel (Researcher)

Patan Multiple Campus/Rural Development

Acknowledgement

This thesis is the result of the assistance of many persons, to whom I owe profound gratitude.

Dr. Bharat Pokhrel guided the research work and provided me with instrumental help at all stages of the study. My sincere thanks go to Mr. Pokharel. I am also equally indebted towards Mr. Lal Babu Yadav, Head of Rural Department (HoD) and Campus Chief of the same campus who assigned me to work under an intellectual, brilliant and strong supervisor Mr. Pokharel.

The faculty at the Rural Development Department at Patan Multiple Campus deserve a special thank for being so helpful whenever I sought their assistance.

I am equally thankful to my parents, my wife and my children for being patient and helpful during the research tenure.

December 2007

Sunil Pokhrel
Lalitpur

Table of Content

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	VIII
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	X

INDRODUCTION

Background of the Study.....	1
Statement of the Problem.....	2
Objectives of the study.....	3
Significance of the study.....	4

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Achievements and contribution.....	9
Farm-forestry interrelationship.....	9
Impact of community forestry on livelihood.....	10

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study area.....	12
Methods.....	14
Sampling design.....	14
Statistical analysis.....	16

ANALYSIS OF DATA AND FINDINGS

Analysis of descriptive data.....	7
Results of χ^2 Tests.....	21
Dependency according to property regimes among forest users	25
Forest as a source of fuelwood.....	32
Forest as a source of fodder and grasses.....	33
Forest as a source of litter and bedding.....	34
Forest as a source of timber.....	35
Forest as a source of non-timber forest products.....	35.
Conclusion.....	36
Reference.....	40
Appendices.....	42

LIST OF TABLES

Table

		Page
1:	General information about the selected CFUGs.....	14
2:	Categorizing the economic regime of community forest users.....	15
3:	Forest product collection activities in different community forests by households by different economic status.....	17
4:	The rules and regulations of access to different forest products in different community forests of research sites.....	20
5:	Frequencies of fuelwood collection by households of different economic status.....	22
6:	Frequencies of litter and bedding materials collection by households of different economic status.....	23
7:	Frequencies of timber collection by households of different economic status.....	24
8:	Frequencies of non-timber forest products (NTFP) collection by households of different economic layer.....	25
9:	Grass and Fodder contribution from community, national forest and private land (percentage).....	28
10:	Fuelwood contribution from community, national forest and private land (percent)....	29
11:	Bedding and litter contribution from community, national forest, and private land (percent).....	30
12:	Timber contribution from community, national forest and private land (percent)...	31

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

I: Questionnaire for Household survey	42
II: Questionnaire for Committee members/key informants	46
III: Checklist or Issues for Group Discussions	48

ABBREVIATION

CF:	Community Forest
CFUG:	Community Forest User Group
DoF	Department of Forestry
NPC	National Planning Commission
NTFP	Non-timber Forest Products