

**Efficacy Assessment of Different Management Strategies on Root
Knot Nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.)
in Tomato Plant**

*A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Master's degree in Zoology with
special paper Parasitology*

Submitted by

Sarita Khadka

Submitted to

**Central Department of Zoology
Institute of Science and Technology
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
Nepal
2007**

Date:

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Miss **Sarita Khadka** has completed her dissertation work entitled “**EFFICACY ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON ROOT KNOT NEMATODE (*Meloidogyne spp.*) IN TOMATO PLANT**” under our supervision. This is the candidate’s original work, which brings out useful findings in the concerned field. To the best of our knowledge, this dissertation has not been submitted for any other degree in any institution. Hence, we recommend this dissertation to be accepted for the partial fulfillment of requirement for the degree of Master’s of Science in Zoology (Parasitology).

Supervisor

Dr. Ranjana Gupta
Associate Professor
Central Department of Zoology
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Co- Supervisor

Mr. Suraj Baidya
Technical Officer
Plant Pathology Division
NARC
Khumaltar, Lalitpur

Date:

LETTER OF APPROVAL

On the recommendation of Supervisor Dr. Ranjana Gupta, Associate Professor, Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University, the dissertation work entitled **“EFFICACY ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON ROOT KNOT NEMATODE (*Meloidogyne* spp.) IN TOMATO PLANT”**, submitted by **Sarita Khadka** has been approved for the examination.

Prof. Dr. Vasanta Kumar Thapa
Department Head
Central Department of Zoology
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Date:

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

The dissertation work entitled “**EFFICACY ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES ON ROOT KNOT NEMATODE (*Meloidogyne spp.*) IN TOMATO PLANT**” submitted by **Sarita Khadka** has been accepted as the partial fulfillment of the requirement for Master’s Degree of Science in Zoology with “Parasitology” as special paper.

EXPERT COMMITTEE

Head of Department

Internal Examiner

External Examiner

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research work has been accomplished by the kind support, valuable suggestions and constant encouragement from many individuals.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude and deep respect to my supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Ranjana Gupta for having the pleasure of working under her noble guidance and well supervision. I am highly indebted to my co-supervisor Mr. Suraj Baidya, Technical Officer of Plant Pathology Division (NARC) for his constant encouragement, support and his availability thereby creating an excellent working environment. I am grateful to him for his noble guidance and also for editing the manuscript with his valuable comments.

I am thankful to Prof. Dr. Tej Kumar Shrestha former Head and Prof. Dr. Vasanta Kumar Thapa present Head of the Central Department of Zoology for providing lab facilities and encouragement to conduct the present work.

I extend my sincere thanks to Dr. Hira Kaji Manandhar, Head of the Planning Division of NARC for allowing us to involve in the regular project of NARC.

My special thanks are due to Dr. Budhi Ratna Khadge, Head of Plant Pathology Division, Dr. Kedar Budatthoki, Former Head of Horticulture Research Division (HRD) and Dr. Madhusudhan Ghale, present Head of HRD for providing the lab facilities, field, greenhouse and their valuable comments and suggestions for the completion of the present work.

I would also like to thank, Mr. Purushottam Khatiwada, Mr. Nabin Pradhan and all the other staff of Plant Pathology Division and Horticulture Research Division for their kind suggestion and help during this work.

My thanks go to Mr. Janak Raj Subedi, Mr. Pitambar Dhakal, Mr. Ashok Bahadur Bam and all the other teaching and non-teaching staff of Central Dept. of Zoology for their valuable support, guidance and help.

I am deeply indebted to and sincerely express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents and family for their love, inspiration and support during my entire life so far. Special thanks go to my friends Saroj Shrestha, Purni, Bharati, Aradhana, Sampa, Suchitra and Ujjwal for their continuous help and moral support. Finally, I would like to ask for excuse and thanks to all whom I forgot to acknowledge despite their contribution to this research.

Sarita Khadka

Roll No. 1182

T.U. Regd. No. 5-1-48-196-98

Batch No. 2061/062

ABSTRACT

Root knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. are important pathogens affecting vegetable production including tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*); which is a popular vegetable crop grown in Nepal. Very little work has been done regarding its management. Studies were conducted in the greenhouse under pot conditions to assess the efficacy of fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* @300g/pot, fungus *Paecilomyces lilacinus* @ 10ml/pot, mustard cake @30g/kg soil, mixture of cow dung and urine @300g/pot, poultry manure @250g/pot and chemical Furadan (Carbofuran) @1g/kg soil, against root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp. in tomato. All together 35 pots with five replication of each treatment inoculated with 4 eggs per gram of soil were placed in RCBD. Nematodes were extracted from the whole root system and 100g-soil sample after 36 days of inoculation. All the treatments except Furadan showed significant reduction in root galling and suppression of the reproduction factor (*Rf*) of the nematodes; in comparison to control. Analysis of the data showed that the mixture of cow dung and urine, mustard cake and poultry manure application reduced root galling and reproduction factor significantly than the treatment of *P. lilacinus*, *T. harzianum* and Furadan. Mustard cake was highly effective than other treatments while the chemical Furadan did not seem to function properly with the highest *Rf* nearing to the control treatments and *GI* even greater than the control treatments.

Key words : *Meloidogyne* spp., Tomato, *T. harzianum*, *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, Mustard cake, Furadan, Poultry manure, Cow dung and urine.

CONTENTS

	page
Letter of recommendation	i
Letter of approval	ii
Letter of acceptance	iii
Contents	iv
List of figures	vi
List of tables	vii
Abbreviations and acronyms	viii
Acknowledgement	ix
Abstract	x
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. INTRODUCTION OF <i>MELOIDOGYNE</i> SPP.	4
Taxonomic position of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.	4
Morphological description of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.	4
Host range	7
General symptoms and feeding behavior	8
Life cycle	10
Reproduction	11
Sex determination	12
3. OBJECTIVE	13
3.1 General objective	13
3.2 Specific objectives	13
4. LITERATURE REVIEW	14
4.1 General review of the plant parasitic nematodes	14
4.2 Review on management of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp	14
4.3 Management of <i>Meloidogyne</i> through Biological agents	15
4.4 Application of different organic amendment for the management of <i>Meloidogyne</i>	17
4.5 Review on screening of cultivar against <i>Meloidogyne</i>	19
4.6 Review on chemical management for <i>Meloidogyne</i>	20
4.7 Review on cultural and other management practices	22
4.7.1 Crop rotation	22
4.7.2 Trap crops	23
4.7.3 Cover crops	23
4.7.4 Antagonistic crops	23
4.7.5 Soil solarization	24
4.7.6 Flooding	24

4.7.7	Fallow	24
4.7.8	Soil tillage	25
4.7.9	Root destruction	25
4.8	General review on plant parasitic nematodes in Nepal	25
5.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	27
5.1	Materials	27
5.1.1	Lab equipment	27
5.1.2	Glassware	28
5.1.3	Chemicals	28
5.1.4	Farm materials	29
5.2	Method	30
5.2.1	Preparation of inoculum	30
5.2.2	Counting of the nematode eggs	31
5.2.3	Preparation of the soil.	31
5.2.4	Crop cultivar	31
5.2.6	Treatment details	31
5.2.7	Inoculation of eggs	32
5.2.8	Caring of the plants	32
5.2.9	Extraction and estimation of final density of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp	33
5.2.10	Data collection and statistical analysis	34
6.	RESULTS	37
6.1	Experimental analysis	37
6.2	Determination of reproduction factor	39
7.	DISCUSSION	43
8.	CONCLUSION	48
9.	RECOMMENDATIONS	49
	REFERENCE	51
	Appendices	66
	<i>Annex 1</i> Classification of species of <i>Meloidogyne</i> Goeldi, 1887	66
	<i>Annex 2</i> Key species of <i>Meloidogyne</i> Goeldi, 1887	68
	<i>Annex 3</i> Distribution of RKN, <i>Meloidogyne</i> species by continent and order of economic importance	71
	<i>Annex 4</i> Tabular key to <i>Meloidogyne</i> female	72
	<i>Annex 5</i> Summary of important diagnostic of perinneal patterns of the agriculturally most important RKN, <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.	73
	<i>Annex 6</i> Summary of important diagnostic characters of stylet of female	74
	<i>Annex 7</i> Summary of important diagnostic characters of head shape of male	75
	<i>Annex 8</i> Summary of important diagnostic characters of second stage juveniles of the root knot nematodes	76

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1. Summary of cytogenetic information related to root knot nematodes.....	11
Table 2. Differential host test identification of the most common <i>Meloidogyne</i> species and races.....	22
Table 3. Influence of different treatments on the gall index of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp on tomato.....	38
Table 4. Influence of different treatments on the reproduction factor of <i>Meloidogyne</i>	40

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1.1 Figures showing egg, juvenile, male, female and root gall in tomato	6
Fig. 1.2. Life cycle of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.....	10
Fig. 2 Preparation of nursery bed at HRD, Khumaltar.....	35
Fig. 3 Transplanting tomato plants in screen house at PPD, Khumaltar.....	35
Fig. 4 <i>T. harzianum</i> culture in Potato dextrose agar (PDA).....	35
Fig. 5 Sample collection during field visits at Hemja, Pokhara.....	35
Fig. 6 Inoculation of <i>Meloidogyne</i> eggs in tomato plants at PPD, Khumaltar.....	35
Fig. 7 Inoculated Plants in screen house at PPD, Khumaltar.....	36
Fig. 8 Caring of the plants.....	36
Fig. 9 Harvesting of the roots with galls of <i>Meloidogyne</i>	36
Fig. 10 Staining of the roots in the solution of Phloxine B (0.015%).....	36
Fig. 11 Counting of eggs and juveniles in stereoscope microscope at PPD laboratory.....	36
Fig. 12 Graphical representation of gall index of different treatments against <i>Meloidogyne</i> gall formation in root of tomato.....	38
Fig. 13 Effect of treatments on the reduction factor of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp in tomato.....	40
Fig. 14 Interaction of gall index with reproduction factor of <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. in tomato.....	41
Fig. 15 Relation between gall index and its level of reproduction factor in different treatment against root knot nematode	41

Abbreviations and Acronyms

@ :	At the rate
μ :	Micron
AMF :	Arbuscular micorrhizal fungi
CMA:	Corn meal agar
Cv :	Cultivar
DEGO :	Dorsal oesophageal gland orifice
e.g :	Example
GI :	Gall index
GM :	Gelatinous matrix
HRD :	Horticulture Research Division
i.e :	That is
J ₂ :	Second stage juvenile
J ₄ :	Fourth stage juvenile
Kg :	Kilogram
LSD:	Least significant difference.
NaCl :	Sodium chloride
NaOCl:	Sodium hypochloride
NARC:	National Agriculture Research Council
Pf :	Final population
Pi :	Initial population
PPD:	Plant Pathology Division
ppm:	Parts per million
RBCD :	Randomized Complete Block Design
Rf :	Reproduction factor
SE :	Standard error
SEM :	Scanning electron microscope
Spp :	Species
USA :	United States of America
Var.	Variety