#### **ROLE OF COMMUNITY FORESTS IN FAUNAL DIVERSITY CONSERVATION:** A CASE STUDY OF THE COMMUNITY FORESTS WITHIN SATBARIYA RANGE POST OF DANG DISTRICT, NEPAL

A Dissertation Submitted to the Institute of Science and Technology, Tribhuvan University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Masters Degree in Environmental Science (Wildlife Management)

> Submitted by GANESH KUMAR POKHREL M Sc, Environmental Science

#### **Khwopa College**

Dekocha, Bhaktapur 2007

### Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Karan Bahadur Shah for his close supervision and comments from preparation of the proposal to this entire study.

I am most grateful to WWF-Nepal program for financial supports to carry out the study in the area. I am also grateful to the Central Zoo, Jawalakhel, for the help it provided during conformation of wildlife species by matching pellets and scats.

My sincere thank goes to District Forest Office, Dang for giving me permission to conduct the study in community forests of Satbariya Range Post. I would like to thank Mr. Devi Chaudhari, Ranger, District Forest Office, Dang and Mr. Chandra Ranabhat, Ranger, Satbariya Range Post for providing information during the field study. And, I also thank the members of community forest user groups and all other local peoples who provided me valuable information during the field study.

I am most grateful to Dr. Dinesh Raj Bhuju for his regular encouragement and guidance. I am also grateful to Mr. Ghanshyam Pandey, Chairman of Federation of Community Forest User Groups, Nepal (FECOFUN); Mr. Nahakul K.C., Mid-West Coordinator of Livelihood & Forestry Program (LFP); Mr. Tej Bahadur Thapa, lecturer of Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuban University, for their incredible advice.

I would like to give special thank to Research Committee of Khwopa College for valuable suggestion and support. Similar thanks goes to Mr. Pramod Awal, Lecturer of Khwopa College for the help during statistical analysis of the data. I would like to thank my friend Resham Baniya, Susheel Dangol, Prativa Kaspal and my dear brothers Bashant Pokhrel and Bhesh Raj Pokhrel for their cooperation.

At last, I want to remember Mr. Aita Ram Baral, a resident of Uchanimbu village of Satabariya Village Development Committee who helped me during whole field work as my assistant.

# **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgements	
Table of contents	
Abbreviations and Acronyms Abstract	
CHAPER I	
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Rationale of the Study	2
1.3 Study Area	3
1.4 Literature Review	4
1.5 Objectives	6
1.6 Limitations	6
CHAPTER II	7
METHODOLOGY	7
2.1 Field Methods	7
2.1.1 Preliminary survey	7
2.1.2 General questionnaire survey	7
2.1.3 Group discussion and key informant survey	7
2.1.4 Faunal survey	7
2.1.5 Identification of wildlife signs	8
2.1.6 Vegetation survey	8
2.2 Data Analysis	9
2.2.1 Abundance and distribution pattern of wildlife	9
CHAPTER-III	12
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	12
3.1 Faunal Diversity	12
3.2 Comparison with Previous Faunal Diversity	14
3.3 Abundance and Distribution pattern	15
3.4 Vegetation type	18

3.5 Wildlife-People Conflict	19
3.5.1 Crop Damage	20
3.5.2 Livestock Depredation	22
3.5.3 Risk to Human Life	23
3.5.4 Compensation	25
3.5.5 Crop Protection Measures	26
3.6 Level of Awareness	26
3.7 Poaching	29
3.8 Other Human Impacts	31
CHAPTER-IV	33
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	33
4.1 Conclusion	33
4.2 Recommendations	36
REFERENCES	39

#### APPENDIXES

Appendix I:	Plates43
Appendix II:	Individual Questionnaire Survey46
Appendix III:	Field Observation Sheet for Wildlife49
Appendix IV:	Mammals Found in the Community Forests of Satbariya Range Post50
Appendix V:	Amphibians and Reptiles Found in the Community Forests of
	Satbariya Range Post51
Appendix VI:	Birds Recorded in the Community Forests of Satbariya Range Post52
Appendix VII:	Plant Species Recorded from the Community forests of
	Satbariya Range Post50
Appendix VII	I: List of the Studied Community forests58

# LIST OF THE TABLES

Table 1:	Information on transect lines in the study area11
Table 2:	Percentage of the wild animals recorded as threats to agricultural crop20
Table 3:	Percentage of the crop damage by the wildlife22
Table 4:	Percentage of livestock depredation by the wildlife23

# LIST OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1:	The study area4
Figure 2:	Transect lines drawn for the faunal survey in the study area10
Figure 3:	Frequency of occurrence of major wildlife species12
Figure 4:	Wildlife status after the establishment of the community forest14
Figure 5:	Showing the appearance of new wildlife species in the area15
Figure 6:	Abundance of wildlife species in the area based on the encounter rate16
Figure 7:	Percentage of Wildlife visit on the agricultural land19
Figure 8:	Percentage of severely damaged crop by the wildlife21
Figure 9:	Frequency of agricultural land visit by the wild animals21
Figure 10:	Respondents response to livestock depredation by the wild animal22

Figure 11:	Respondents response to killing or injuring the human being	24
Figure 12:	Cases of human injured by the wild animals	24
Figure 13:	Needs of compensation to the loss by the wild animals	25
Figure 14:	Different measures used to protect crop by local people	26
Figure 15:	Awareness level to the importance of wildlife conservation	27
Figure 16:	Views of local people towards protection and killing of the Wildlife	27
Figure 17:	Views of local people about why wildlife should be protected	28
Figure 18:	Views of local people about how wildlife should be protected	28
Figure 19:	Status of illegal hunting in the area	29
Figure 20:	Purpose for illegal hunting	29
Figure 21:	People agree with the disappearance of wildlife due to poaching	30

# LIST OF THE BOXES

Box 1: A case of tiger killing by local people	-13
Box 2: Case of poaching encountered in the area	31

# ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BCF	Barandabhar Corridor Forest
CF	Community Forest
CFUG	Community Forest User Group
DNPWC	Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation
GON	Government of Nepal
GPS	Geographical Positioning System
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources
MFSC	Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation
NP	National Park
NTFP	Non Timber Forest Product
PCP	Participatory Conservation Programme
SWR	Suklaphanta Wildlife Reserve
TAL	Terai Arc Landscape
TCL	Tiger Conservation Landscape
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
VDC	Village Development Committee
WWF	World Wide Fund for Nature

#### Abstract

This study was conducted to identify the role of community forests in the conservation of faunal diversity in various community forests practiced in Lamahi bottleneck area of Terai Arc Landscape in Dang district, Nepal. The study aimed to understand and evaluate the role of community forests in biodiversity, especially faunal conservation efforts. Different methods such as questionnaire survey, group discussion and faunal survey in transect line was used to collect data for the determination of faunal diversity, abundance and distribution pattern of wild animal, vegetation type and wildlife-people conflict. The variance to mean ratio was used to determine distribution pattern and chi-square test was used to test hypothesis that the prominent wildlife species were uniformly distributed in all habitat types in the study area.

Study shows that the major mammalian species found are; wild boar, barking deer, spotted deer, four horned antelope, sambar deer, common leopard, leopard cat, jungle cat, sloth bear, hyaena, and jackal. Tiger comes seasonally specially in the winter season in the area. A total of 251 signs of wild fauna was encountered in ten transect taken in the area. Among these signs, the highest signs encountered in the area are 75 which is of barking deer, 72 of wild boar, 23 of sloth bear, 14 of common leopard, 9 of hyaena, 6 of spotted deer, 5 of four horned antelope, 4 of sambar deer along with the sign of common monitor, common langur, porcupine, jungle cat, small civet and hare. The result shows two types of distribution pattern of major wildlife species such as barking deer, wild boar and sloth bear shows clumped type of distribution pattern and common leopard shows the uniform type of distribution pattern.

Seasonal visit of wild elephant and blue bull to the area are some positive sign of development of suitable habitat for wildlife as they are not seen before the establishment of the community forest. Almost 99% respondents agree with the appearance of wild elephant in their community forest and only 14.6% agree with blue bull. Blue bull is reported only in the western part of the study area i.e. Ameliya and Jalkundi area which is close to the extension area of Bardia National Park.

Major bird species found are; Indian Peafowl, Kalij Pheasant, Red jungle fowl, and other common birds (Appendix VI). Indian Grey hornbill and Oriental Pied hornbill are frequently

found besides, some people also told about the occurrence of great hornbill in the area. Cobra, Common krait, Asiatic rat-snake, Common monitor, Golden monitor etc are common reptiles found in the area. Rock python is also found in the area. Gharial corcodile and Mugger crocodile both are found in the Rapti River. Turtles are found in Rapti Rivers and also in forest areas during rainy season.

Major vegetation found in the area are; *Shorea robusta*, *Acacia catechu*, *Dalbergia latifolia*, *Anogeissus latifolius*, *Adina cordifolia*, *Terminalia alata*, *Mallotus philippensis*, *Phoenix sylvestris*, *Berberis* etc (Appendix VII). And based on the general observation three forest types such as Sal forest, Mixed forest and Riverine forest are recorded in the area. During the study it is found that spotted deer are distributed mainly eastern part of the study area where Sal forest is dominated. Barking deer and four horned antelope are distributed mainly western part of the area which has relatively rough terrain and Mixed forest type. However, other animals like leopard, wild boar and sloth bear are distributed in all the areas.

Peoples are suffered from economic loss due to the increasing number of wildlife in the community forest as the wild animal damages their crops and kill their livestock. Among the respondent, 93.8% told about the increase of wildlife in the area, 81.25% told about the agriculture land visit by wildlife and 89.2% told about the livestock damage. Wild boar, wild elephant, spotted deer, barking deer, leopard, jackal, jungle cat and sloth bear are the major animals due to which conflict rises in the community. Elephant comes seasonally but made large scale damage. The extent of people wildlife conflict is comparatively higher especially within the settlements located near the forest area.

Poaching is high in these community forests. Among the respondent, 72.9% were agreed with poaching that occurs in the area. During this study, groups of poachers were also encountered within the forest with gun and other weapons. Common langur and Rhesus monkey are disappeared from the community forest due to poaching. Their dried meat is sold in the market through their fake identity. Among the birds, vultures are disappearing nowadays. It is mainly due to two reasons first is poison, used in dead body of domestic animal and the second is felling down of large and tall trees by forest user groups which are very essential for the vultures for roosting and nesting.