LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY OF DALIT AND NON-DALIT STUDENTS

A Thesis Submitted to: the Department of English Language Education, University Campus, Kirtipur, in Partial Fulfillment for Master's Degree in Education (Specialization in English Education)

> By: Chuda Mani Timsina

Faculty of Education Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2006

LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY OF DALIT AND NON-DALIT STUDENTS

A Thesis Submitted to: the Department of English Language Education, University Campus, Kirtipur, in Partial Fulfillment for Master's Degree in Education (Specialization in English Education)

> By: Chuda Mani Timsina

Faculty of Education Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal 2006

T. U. Registration No. 9-1-9-378-96 Second Year Examination Roll No. 280172/061 Date of the Approval of the Thesis Proposal: 2062-8-2 Date of Submission: 2063-8-1

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that Mr. Chuda Mani Timsina has worked and completed this dissertation entitled 'Language Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students' under my guidance and supervision.

I recommend the dissertation for acceptation.

Date: <u>2063/ 7/ 24</u> 10 November, 2063 Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya (Guide) Professor of the Department of English Education Faculty of Education T.U., Kirtipur Kathmandu

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

This thesis has been recommended for the evaluation by the following Research Guidance Committee.

Dr. Chandreshwor Misra

Reader and Head of the Department of English Language Education T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya Professor of the Departmnt of English Language education, T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Dr. Anjana Bhattarai Lecturer of the Department of English Language Education, T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Date: 2062/ 8/ 2 17 December, 2006 (Chairperson)

(Member)

(Member)

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by following Thesis Evaluation Committee.

Dr. Chandreshwor Misra

Reader and Head of the Department of English Language Education T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Dr. Shanti Basnyat

Professor and Chair Person of English and other Foreign Language Subject Committee T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Dr. Tirth Raj Khaniya

Professor of the Departmnt of English Language education, T.U. Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date: 2062/ 8/ 5 20 December, 2006 -----

(Chairperson)

(Member)

(Member)

Dedication To My Parents And Brothers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very happy to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis guide **Dr. Tirth Raj** Khaniya, Professor of the Department of English Language Education, T.U., for his constant guidance and suggestions for completion of this thesis. I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Shishir Kumar Sthapit, Professor of the Department of English Language Education T.U. for providing me with greater insights into the field of linguistics. I am equally grateful to Dr. Santi Basnyat, Professor and Chairperson of English and other Foreign Languages Education Subject Committee for her suggestions and encouragements directly and indirectly. I would also like to express my gratitude to Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi, Professor of The Department of English Education, T.U. who helped me directly and indirectly to make this thesis in this form. Similarly, I would like to express my words of gratitude to Dr. Visnu S. **Rai**, Lecturer of the Department of English Education for providing me valuable suggestions, encouragements and co-operation for the preparation of this thesis. Similarly, I am indebted to my respected teacher **Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai**, Professor of the Department of English Education, Dr. Chandreshwor Misra, Reader and Head of the Department of English Language Education, Dr. Anjana Bhattarai, Lecturer of the Department of English Language Education, Dr. Bal Mukunda Bhandari, Lecturer of the Department of English Language Education, Mr. Padam Lal Vishwokarma, Lecturer of the Department of English Language Education and Mr. Ram Ekwal Singh, Lecturer of the Department of English Language Education for their constant help and for providing me with insights into the field of research.Furthermore, I would like to thank my friends Damodar Luitel, Narayan Dahal, Dipak Rajbansi, Gangaram Dhungana, Prem Karki, Tamkanta Luitel for helping me to complete this thesis. I am also indebted to my brothers Lok Nath Timsina, Jib Nath Timsina and Tirtha Prasad Timsina for providing me with insights in to the field of research. I am also thankful to Mrs. Madhabi Khanal who provided me with required materials whenever I needed.

> Chuda Mani Timsina 2063, Mangsir

ABSTRACT

The research entitled "Language Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students" is an attempt to evaluate Dalit and Non-Dalit students of secondary level of Tehrathum district. The main objective of this thesis is to evaluate the Dalit students in comparison to Non-Dalit students. To fulfill the objective the researcher has used the random sampling procedure technique for selecting the sample students. He has presented the four sets of questions for testing four language skills. He has done the analysis of data using some statistical tools such as Mean, Standard deviation and T- test. He found Dalit students poorer in comparison to Non-Dalit students after evaluating their English Language Proficiency.

The study has four chapters. The first chapter deals with introduction that consists of general background, language proficiency, testing language proficiency, aims of Secondary level education, the educational situation of Dalits, review of literature, objectives of the study, significant of the study and definition of specific terms.

The second chapter introduces methodology, which comprises of sources of data, sampling procedure, process of data collection and limitations of the study.

The third chapter deals with the analysis and interpretation of the collected data which has been done using those statistical tools in terms of individual skills.

Chapter four deals with the findings, conclusion and recommendations of the research work. The major finding of this study is that the students from lower working class (Dalit) have low English language proficiency in comparison to Non-Dalit students.

CONTENTS

Page No.

Recommendation for Acceptance

	•
	Ť
I	L

Recommendation for Evaluation		iii
Evaluation and Approval		iv
Dedication		v
Acknowledgement		vi
Abstract		vii
Contents		viii
List of Tables		xi
List of Figures		xii
Symbols and Abbreviations		xiii
CHAPTER - ONE		
INTRODUCTION 1.1 General Background	1	1
1.2 Language Proficiency		2
1.2.1 Ways of Testing Language Proficiency		4
- Testing Listening Comprehension		5
- Testing Speaking		5
- Testing Reading Skill		6
- Testing Writing Skill		7
1.3 The Aims of Secondary Education		7
1.3.1 The General objectives of Secondary Level		8

1.3.2 Marks Allocation in Different Skills of Language	8
1.3.3 Teaching Methods	8
1.4 The Educational Situation of Dalits in Nepal	9
1.4.1 The Educational Situation of Dalits in Tehrathum District	12
1.5 Review of Literature	13
1.6 Objectives of the Study	
1.7 Significance of the Study	
1.8 Definition of Specific Terms	15
CHAPTER - TWO	
METHODOLOGY	16
2.1 Sources of Data	16
2.1.1 Primary Sources of Data	16
2.1.2 Secondary Sources of Data	16
2.2 Sampling Procedure	16
2.3 Tools for Data Collection	
2.4 Processes of Data collection	17
2.5 Limitations of the Study	18
2.6 Expected Level of Language Proficiency of the Students	18
CHAPTER -THREE	
ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Analysis of Listening Proficiency Between Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	19
3.2.1 Dalit Students in Listening Skill	19
3.2.2 Non-Dalit Students in Listening Skill	21
3.3 Analysis of Speaking proficiency Between Dalit and non-Dalit Students	26
3.3.1 Speaking Proficiency of Dalit Students	26
3.3.2 Speaking Proficiency of Non-Dalit Students	28

3.4 Analysis of Reading Proficiency Between Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	32
3.4.1 Dalit Students in Reading Skill	32
3.4.2 Non-Dalit Students in Reading Skill	34
3.5 Analysis of Writing Proficiency Between Dalit and Non-Dalit Student	38
3.5.1 Dalit Students in Writing Skills	38
3.5.2 Non-Dalit Students in Writing Skill	40
3.6 Analysis of Language proficiency on the Basis of Four Language	44
Skills as a Whole	
3.6.1 Total Language Proficiency of Dalit Students	44
3.6.2 Total Language Proficiency of Non-Dalit Students	46
CHAPTER-FOUR	
FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
4.1 Findings	50
4.2. Conclusion	51
4.2 Recommendations	53
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Page No.

Table No. 3.1 Scores of Dalit Students in Listening Skill	20
Table No. 3.2 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	21
Table No. 3.3 Scores of Non-Dalit Students in Listening Skill	22
Table No. 3.4 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	23
Table No. 3.5 Scores of Dalit Students in Speaking Skill	27
Table No .3.6 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	26
Table No. 3.7 Scores of Non-Dalit Students in Speaking Skill	29
Table No. 3.8 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	29
Table No. 3.9 Scores of Dalit Students in Reading Skill	33
Table No. 3.10 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	33
Table No. 3.11 Scores of Non-Dalit Students in Reading Skill	35
Table No.3.12 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	36
Table No. 3.13 Scores of Dalit Students in Writing Skill	39
Table No. 3.14 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	39
Table No. 3.15 Scores of Non-Dalit Students in Writing Skill	41
Table No. 3.16 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	41
Table No. 3.17 Total Scores of Dalit Students	45
Table No. 3.18 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	45
Table No. 3.19 Total Scores of Non-Dalit Students	47
Table No. 3.20 Table for Calculating Standard Deviation	47

LISTS OF FIGURES

Page No.

Figure No. 3.1 Listening Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	25
Figure No. 3.2 Speaking Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	31
Figure No. 3.3 Reading Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	37
Figure No. 3.4 writing Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	43
Figure No. 3.5 Total Proficiency of Dalit and Non-Dalit Students	49

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

>	: Greater than
	: Not equal to
	: Summation
%	: Percentage
CDC	: Curriculum Development Centre
C.V.	: Curriculum Vitae
e.g.	: For example
et al	: And other people
E. U.	: European Union
Fig.	: Figure
i.e.	:That is
I.L.O.	: International Labour Organization
L2	:The second language
lg.	: Language
No.	: Number
Q.	: Question
SD	: Standard deviation
S.L.C.	:School Leaving Certificate
T.U.	: Tribhuvan University
U.N.	: United Nations
Viz.	: Namely
Vol.	:Volume
V.S.	: Vikram Sambat