

FERTILITY BEHAVIOUR OF MAJHI
COMMUNITY
(A Case Study of Karmaiya VDC, Sarlahi)

By

Bed Nath Shibakoti

A Dissertation Submitted to
The Central Department of Population Studies
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
For the Partial Fulfillment of Master's Degree in Population
Studies

Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
April, 2007

RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled "**Fertility Behaviour of Majhi Community**" (A Case Study of Karmaiya VDC, Sarlahi) is prepared by Bed Nath Shibakoti under my supervision for partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master of Arts in Population Studies. To the best of my knowledge the study is original. I recommend the Dissertation Committee to evaluate the dissertation.

.....

Dr. Puspa Lal Joshi

(Supervisor)

Central Department of Population Studies

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur

**Central Department of Population Studies
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu
Nepal**

APPROVAL SHEET

This dissertation entitled "Fertility Behaviour of Majhi Community" (A Case Study of Karmaiya VDC of Sarlahi by Bed Nath Shibakoti has been evaluated, accepted and approved as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree in Population Studies.

Approved by:

.....
Dr. Bal Kumar K.C.
(Prof. and Head of the CDPS)
Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur

.....
Mohan Sapkota
(External Examiner)

.....
Dr. Puspa Lal Joshi
(Supervisor)
Visiting Professor of the Central
Department of Population Studies
TU, Kirtipur

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very grateful to **Prof. Dr. Bal Kumar K.C.**, Head of the Central Department of Population Studies (CDPS), T.U. for his valuable suggestions and encouragement. I feel grateful gratitude and sincere thanks to **Visiting Prof. Dr. Puspa Lal Joshi** in CDPS, T.U. for his supervision of this work and for his generous co-operation in many aspects during this study.

I am highly indebt to my father and mother for their patience and support. I am grateful to my friends Mr. Alok Paudel, Mr. Purusothom Thapa, Mr. Himlal, Balkrishna Upreti and others who directly and indirectly helped me to complete this work.

I would like to express my thanks to all the respondents of study area for their valuable response.

I extend my grateful thanks to the genius staffs of **TU.Com Computer and Communication Service**, Naya Bazar, Kirtipur, who helped me, typing and designing of this dissertation neat and clean. I would also like to express my thanks to all friends of CDPS for the kind co-operation to complete this study in time.

Finally, I extend my heartfelt thanks to the Central Department of Population Studies for providing me physical and logistic support to carry out this study.

Bed Nath Shibakoti

April 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
<i>Recommendation</i>	
<i>Approval Sheet</i>	
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	
<i>Contents</i>	
<i>List of Tables</i>	
<i>List of Figures</i>	
<i>Abbreviations</i>	
CHAPTER – I	
INTRODUCTION	1-8
1.1 General Background	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	4
1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
1.4 Significance of the Study	6
1.5 Limitation of the Study	7
1.6 Organization of the Study	7
CHAPTER - II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	9-20
2.1 Theoretical Literature Review	9
2.2 Empirical Literature Review	13
2.2.1 Education and Fertility	13
2.2.2 Occupation and Fertility	14
2.2.3 Age at Marriage and Fertility	15
2.2.4 Infant and Child Mortality and Fertility	17
2.2.5 Family Planning and Fertility	18
2.3 Conceptual Framework	20
CHAPTER - III	
METHODOLOGY	21-23
3.1 Framework for the Study	21
3.2 Source of Data	22

3.3 Sample Design	22
3.4 Questionnaire Design	22
3.5 Methods of Data Collection	23
3.6 Data Tabulation and Analysis	23

CHAPTER – IV

SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA 24-37

4.1 Selection of the Study Area	24
4.2 Introduction of the Study Area	24
4.3 Demographic Characteristics	25
4.3.1 Age–Sex Composition	25
4.3.2 Family Size	26
4.3.3 Sex Composition and Family Size	27
4.4 Socio-Economic Characteristics of the Study Population	28
4.4.1 Religion	28
4.4.2 Educational Status	29
4.4.3 Economic Status	29
4.4.3.1 Occupation	30
4.4.3.2 House Holding Status	30
4.4.3.3 Land Holding Status	31
4.4.3.4 Household by Domestic Animals	32
4.4.3.5 Household Facilities	33
4.4.3.6 Household Income	34
4.4.3.7 Access to Drinking Water and Sanitary Facility	35

CHAPTER – V

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESPONDENTS 38-50

5.1 Age	38
5.2 Age at First Menstruation	39
5.3 Age at Marriage	39

5.4 Age at First Birth	40
5.5 Number of Children Ever Born	41
5.6 Child Loss Experience	42
5.7 Knowledge of FP Methods	43
5.8 Heard of FP Methods	44
5.9 Source of Information on FP Methods	45
5.10 Ever Use of FP Methods	46
5.11 Ideal Number of Children	47
5.12 Place of Delivery	48
5.13 Prenatal Check-Up Status	49

CHAPTER - VI

RELATIONSHIP OF CEB WITH DIFFERENT VARIABLES

51-55

6.1 Age and CEB	51
6.2 Family Size and CEB	52
6.3 Education and CEB	52
6.4 Occupation and CEB	53
6.5 Age at Marriage and CEB	54
6.6 Use of FP and CEB	55

CHAPTER – VII

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

56-61

7.1 Summary of the Findings	56
7.2 Conclusions	59
7.3 Recommendations	60

Reference

Appendix

LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.
Table 4.1: Percentage Distribution of Household Population by Age-Sex Composition in the Study Area	25
Table 4.2: Percentage Distribution of Households by Family Size in the Study Area	26
Table 4.3: Percentage Distribution of Households by Sex Composition in the Study Area	27
Table 4.4: Percentage Distribution of Households by Religion in the Study Area	28
Table 4.5: Percentage Distribution of the 6 years and above population by Education in the Study Area	29
Table 4.6: Percentage Distribution of the Population above 10 Years by Occupation in the Study Area	30
Table 4.7: Percentage Distribution of Households by Type of House in the Study Area	31
Table 4.8: Percentage Distribution of Households by Land Holding Status in the Study Area	32
Table 4.9: Percentage Distribution of Households by Domestic Animals in the Study Area	33
Table 4.10: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Households facility in the Households Facility in the Study Area	34
Table 4.11: Percentage Distribution of the Households by Monthly Income in the Study Area	35
Table 4.12: Percentage Distribution of the Households by Sources of Drinking Water in the Study Area	36
Table 4.13: Percentage Distribution of the Households by Toilet Facility in the Study Area	37

Table 5.1: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Five Year Age Group in the Study Area	38
Table 5.2: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Age at Menstruation in the Study Area	39
Table 5.3: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Age at Marriage in the Study Area	40
Table 5.4: Percentage Distribution of Respondents by Age at First Birth in the Study Area	41
Table 5.5: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Number of Children Born Alive Till the Time of Survey	42
Table 5.6: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Child Loss Experience in the Study Area	43
Table 5.7: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Knowledge of FP Methods in the Study Area	44
Table 5.8: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Heard of FP Method in the Study Area	45
Table 5.9: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Source of Information on FP in the Study Area	46
Table 5.10: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Ever Use of FP Methods in the Study Area	47
Table 5.11: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by the View on the Number of Children in the Study Area	48
Table 5.12: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Place of Delivery in the Study Area	49
Table 5.13: Percentage Distribution of the Respondents by Status of Prenatal Check up in the Study Area	50
Table 6.1: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents Age Group in the Study Area	51

Table 6.2: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents	
Family Size in the Study Area	52
Table 6.3: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents	
Education in the Study Area	53
Table 6.4: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents	
Occupation in the Study Area	54
Table 6.5: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents Age	
Group and Age at Marriage in the Study Area	55
Table 6.6: Percentage Distribution of CEB by Respondents	
Use and Non Use of FP in the Study Area	55

LIST OF FIGURE

	Page No.
Figure 2.1: Sociological Framework of Fertility	12
Figure 2.2: Proximate Determinant Framework	12
Figure 2.3: Conceptual Framework	20

ABBREVIATIONS

CBR	: Crude Birth Rate
CBS	: Central Bureau of Statistics
CDPS	: Central Department of Population Studies
CDR	: Crude Death Rate
CEB	: Children Ever Born
CMR	: Child Mortality Rate
CPR	: Contraceptive Prevalence Rate
FP	: Family Planning
HMG	: His Majesty's Government
ICPD	: International Conference on Population and Development
IEC	: Information, Education and Communication
IMR	: Infant Mortality Rate
INGO	: International Non-Government Organization
IUD	: Intra-Uterine Devices
MOPE	: Ministry of Population and Environment
NDHS	: Nepal Demographic and Health Survey
NFHS	: Nepal Family Planning and Health Survey
NGO	: Non-Government Organization
NLSS	: Nepal living Standard Survey
PGR	: Population Growth Rate
PRB	: Population Reference Bureau
SMAM	: Singulate Mean Age at Marriage
TFR	: Total Fertility Rate
TU	: Tribhuvan University
UN	: United Nations
UNFPA	: United Nations Fund for Population Activities
USA	: United States of America
VDC	: Village Development Committee