

**AN ASSESSMENT OF SOIL FERTILITY STATUS AND CARBON STOCK ESTIMATION OF  
HASANTAR COMMUNITY FOREST AND ITS ADJOINING AREAS.**

A dissertation submitted to the Central Department of Environmental Science  
for the partial fulfillment of the requirement of the Degree of  
Master's of Science in Environmental Science

**Submitted by:  
Shyam Thapa  
Tribhuvan University  
2007**



**Tribhuvan University**  
Institute of Science and Technology  
**Central Department of Environmental Science**  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Date:21<sup>st</sup> June 2007

**LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION**

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled “**An Assessment of Soil Fertility Status and Carbon Stock Estimation of Hasantar Community Forest and its adjoining areas**” submitted by Mr. Shyam Thapa for the partial fulfillment of requirement on the completion of Master’s Degree in Environmental Science was based on the researcher’s original research work under my guidance and supervision. This is the best piece of work among those under my guidance. The data presented in this dissertation are original and has not been submitted for any other degrees. I therefore, recommend this dissertation for approval.

-----  
**Supervisor**

**Dr. Krishna Bahadur Karki**

**Chief Soil Scientist**

**Nepal Agricultural Research Council (NARC)**

**Nepal Government, Kathmandu, Nepal**



**Tribhuvan University**  
Institute of Science and Technology  
**Central Department of Environmental Science**  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu.

Date: 9<sup>th</sup> July 2007

**LETTER OF APPROVAL**

This dissertation paper entitled “**An Assessment of Soil Fertility Status and Carbon Stock Estimation of Hasantar Community Forest and its adjoining areas**” submitted by Mr. Shyam Thapa has been accepted as the partial fulfillment of M. Sc. Degree in Environmental Science.

-----  
**Prof. Dr. Uma Kant Ray Yadav**  
**Head of the Department**  
**Central Department of Environmental Science**  
**Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur, Kathmandu.**

-----  
**External Examiner**

-----  
**Supervisor**  
**Dr. Krishna Bahadur Karki**  
**Chief Soil Scientist**  
**Nepal Agricultural Research Council (NARC)**  
**Nepal Government, Kathmandu, Nepal.**

## **Acknowledgements**

It's an awesome experience on my part after finally being able to accomplish the challenging task. It would have been a mere dream without the patronage guidance, unremitting support and constant inspiration of some of the distinguished personnel. On this regard, I am deeply indebted to Dr. Krishna Bahadur Karki (Chief Soil Scientist, NARC) for his untiring support, comprehensiveness, constant nurturing and worthy directions.

I like to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Uma Kant Ray Yadav, head of Central Department of Environmental Science, for being the constant source of inspiration and to my reverent instructor Mr. Damodar Joshi for his outstanding job for helping me in amassing literatures and generating ideas related to botanical field of study.

I am grateful to Mr. M.L. Jayaswal and Dr. Bishnu Hari Pandit for their support and supplementary guidance on behalf of NEW ERA. I am delighted by the fascinating fellowship program launched by it and its benefaction. I am obliged to Mr. Bishnu Das Joshi (NARC), Miss Anju Thapa (CDES) for facilitating in laboratory works and to Mr. Chandra Risal (office of Agriculture directorate) and Mr. Kiran Lamichhane for their friendly advices.

I am thankful to Mr. Bhupendra Sharma, Mr. Janak Raj Pathak, Miss Laxmi Karki and Mr. Sagar Shrestha who vindicated a proverb, "A friend in need, is a friend indeed". I thankfully appreciate the warm welcome and hospitality shown by the Hasantar and adjacent Communities.

I highly acknowledge my parents for their love, compassion and full support on my entire undertakings in by-gone days and my brother, sisters and companions for their affection and utmost concern as forever.

At last, thanks to all my friends, well-wishers, individuals and institutions, who/which directly or indirectly became a part of my work.

Mr. Shyam Thapa

June, 2007

## Abstract

Peri-urban agriculture is the socio-economically and ecologically justified function for the food security, development and self sustainability of the urban society. Soil organic matter plays a vital role in the maintenance of soil fertility. It is concerned with the inherent capacity of the soil to provide nutrients for the growth of specified plants. pH, soil organic carbon and other macronutrients especially NPK has a major implications in soil health and exhibit considerable variability spatially both horizontally and vertically in the soil profiles based on land use, management microclimates and others.

Community forestry has been a successful policy initiative in controlling land degradation. It is the best strategy of the government that involves local people in forest management.

The present study was confined to the Hasantar Community Forest and its adjoining areas. The general objective of the study was to assess the fertility status in terms of organic matter or soil organic carbon (SOC), pH and NPK, next is the estimation of SOC pool and carbon in tree biomass (dry matter). The household survey was carried out in the month of November-December in order to supplement the study with ground reality.

The study showed that almost all sites were moderately acidic. The upland agriculture soil though had medium nitrogen fertility, was low to just medium in exchangeable potassium and low in available phosphorus. Similarly, forest soil was medium to high in N-fertility, almost low or low to medium in potassium fertility and insufficiently low in phosphorus nutrient. In most of the sites, the pH, SOC and NPK were found decreasing with depth but certain perturbations caused to bring variations in it. The total carbon stock of HCF (including SOC-pool and tree biomass carbon) was substantial even in a small forest area of 64 ha which was estimated to be 7562.85 t C. The study also showed that the carbon stored in the forest soil was 4-times more than that in tree biomass (dry matter). It also suggests that more carbon could be sequestered and stored in cultivated soil, forest soil and above ground tree biomass with efficient management.

*Key words: Soil fertility, Soil fertility status, Soil organic carbon pool, Above-ground tree biomass, Carbon stock.*

## Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b>No.</b>	
LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION	i
LETTER OF APPROVAL	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATION	iv-vi
ABSTRACT	vii
CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF PHOTO PLATES	xiii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1-17
1.1 Background	1
1.1.1 Soil fertility	1
1.1.2 Fertility Status of Nepal	2
1.1.3 Agriculture	3
1.1.4 Forest	4
1.1.5 Community forest	5
1.1.6 Livestock	6
1.1.7 Carbon stock	6
1.1.8 Vegetation and GHGs	7
1.1.9 Soil and GH-effect	8
1.2 JUSTIFICATION / RATIONAL OF THE STUDY	9
1.3 OBJECTIVES	11
1.4 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	11
1.5 STUDY AREA	12-17
1.5.1 Soil	12
1.5.2 Climate	12
1.5.3 Forest	13
1.5.4 History of HCF	13
1.5.5 Farming system	14
1.5.6 Geology	14

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	18-26
2.1 Soil nutrients and agricultural productivity	18
2.2 Forest and soil nutrients	21
2.3 Community forest	23
2.4 Forest Biomass	23
2.5 Carbon Stock / carbon sink	24
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	27-33
3.0 Methodology	27
3.1 Place and duration of study	27
3.2 Study area and Sampling sites	27
3.3 Sources of data	30
3.3 I Primary source	30
3.3.1 Key Informants' Interview	30
3.3.2 Questionnaire survey	30
3.3.3 GPS and Compass records	30
3.3.4 Soil analysis	30
3.3.4a pH	31
3.3.4b Organic carbon	31
3.3.4c Total nitrogen	31
3.3.4d Available phosphorus	31
3.3.4e Exchangeable potassium	32
3.3.5 Vegetation analysis	32
3.3 II Secondary sources	33
CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS	34-55
Soil fertility analysis	
4.1 Soil fertility analysis of different land uses and depths	34
4.1.1 Soil fertility of Hasantar Upland Agriculture land (HUA)	34
4.1.2 Soil fertility of Hasantar Community Forest (HCF)	37
4.1.3 Soil fertility of barren land soil	39
4.2 Assessment of soil fertility status	41
4.2.1 Fertility status of HUA	41

4.2.2	Fertility status of HCF	41
4.2.3	Fertility status of barren land	42
4.3	Soil Fertility Evaluation	42
4.4	Mean SOC-pool and Total Carbon stock	43-44
4.4.1	Mean SOC-pool of agriculture land	43
4.4.2	Mean SOC-pool and total Carbon stock of forest land	43
4.4.3	Mean SOC-pool of barren land	44
4.5	Estimation of tree biomass and Carbon stock of forest	45-46
5.0	House hold Survey Report	47-55
5.1	Demographic Study and Education State of People	47
5.2	Animal Husbandry in the Contiguous areas of HCF	48
5.3	Condition of Forest	48
5.4	Devices and Wood Consumption	49
5.5	Status of Knowledge of Households (HHs)	50
5.6	Services from Forest as acknowledged by Locals	52
5.7	Family Income	52
5.8	Productivity of Farmlands	53
5.9	Fertilizers and Compost use	54
5.10	Crop production with Inorganic fertilizers	55
CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION		56-77
6.1	Soil Fertility and Nutrient Status	56-65
6.2	Carbon stock in Forest Soil and tree biomass	66-69
6.2.1	Soil organic carbon	66
6.2.2	Biomass and Carbon stock	68
7.0	Interpretation of HHs survey Report	70-77
CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		78-80
8.1	Conclusions	78
8.2	Recommendations	80
REFERENCES		81-96
ANNEXES		97-109
APPENDICES		110-112



## List of Tables

	Page No.
Table 1: Soil Fertility Status of Nepal based on the sample-analysis done by the laboratories of Department of Agriculture	3
Table 2: Present situation of community Forest in Nepal	6
Table 3: Situation of CF in Kathmandu District and Study Area	13
Table 4: Sampling sites within the study area	28
Table 5: Soil fertility of Hasantar Upland Agriculture land	34
Table 6: Soil Fertility of Hasantar Community Forest	37
Table 7: Soil fertility of Barren land	40
Table 8: Fertility status of agriculture land (0-15 cm)	41
Table 9: Soil fertility status of HCF (0-15 cm)	41
Table 10: Fertility status of Barren land soil	42
Table 11: Estimation of mean carbon pool of agriculture soil	43
Table 12: Estimation of SOC and Total C-stock of forestland soil	43
Table 13: Estimation of C-pool of barren land	44
Table 14: DBH class and density of trees referred by dominant species name as forest type	45
Table 15: Biomass and C-stock estimation for each blocks of forest	46

## List of Figures

	Page No.
Fig. 1: VDC map of Seuchatar	16
Fig. 2: Geology of The Kathmandu Valley	17
Fig. 3: Location of Sampling sites in a map	29
Fig. 4: Education Status between genders	47
Fig. 5: Fig 2: Animal Husbandry in contiguous areas of HCF	48
Fig 6: Condition of forest as stated by the Respondents	49
Fig 7: Collection and supply of fuel wood by HHs	50
Fig 8: Respondents response towards knowledge on rules and regulation of CF	50
Fig 9: State of knowledge on linkages between forest and farmland	51
Fig.10: Fuel wood consumption per household per week	51
Fig 11: Response towards services obtained from forest	52
Fig 12: Family income of households	53
Fig 13: Response on productivity of this yr. as compared to previous yrs and 2-yrs before	53
Fig 14: Farm families using urea fertilizers	54
Fig. 15: Compost use by farm families in kg / rop.	55
Fig. 16: Respondents' witness of crops response to the inorganic fertilizers	55

## List of Photo Plates

	Page
Photo 1: A hill forest (HCF) with its lush vegetation and downhill farmland	110
Photo 2: Household Survey being carried out along with helping hand (right side)	110
Photo 3: Commonly used traditional chulo in Hasantar VDC	111
Photo 4: Deforestation during infrastructure development	111
Photo 5: Scene of forest after forest fire in pine forest	111
Photo 6: Performing laboratory testing of soil samples	112
Photo 7: Researcher performing DBH and height measurement	112
Photo 8: Potassium measurement being carried out using Flame Photometer	112

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AID	Agricultural Information and Communication Division
APP	Agriculture Perspective Plan
ARS	Agricultural Research Station
BD	Bulk Density
Ca	Calcium
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CFUGs	Community Forest User Groups
CHEA	Central Himalayan Environment Association.
cm	Centimeter
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
DAP	Di-ammonium phosphate
DBH /dbh	Diameter at Breast Height
DDC	District Development Committee
DFO	District Forest Office
DFRS	Department of Forest Research and Survey
DMG	Department of Mines and Geology
DoA	Department of Agriculture
DoF	Department of Forest
DSCO	District Soil Conservation Office
EC	Electrical Conductivity
ECOSOC	United Nations Economic and Social Council Commission on Sustainable Development
F.S.R.O	Forest Survey and Research Office
FADINAP	Fertilizer Advisory Development and Information Network for Asia and Pacific
FAO	Food and Agriculture organization.
FUGs	Forest User Groups
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Green House Gas
gm	Gram

GPS	Geographical Positioning System
H	High
Ha/ ha	Hactare
HCF	Hasantar Community Forest
HFDP	Hill Forest Development Project
HHs or hhs	Households
HMGN	His Majesty's Government of Nepal
HUA	Hasantar Upland Agriculture
ICIMOD	International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development
ICS	Improved Cooking Stoves
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPNMS	Integrated plant nutrient management system
ISRSC	Informal Sector Research and Study Center
IUCN	World Conservation Union
K	Potassium
Kg	Kilogram
kms	Kilometers
L	Low
LRMP	Land Resource Mapping Project
M	Medium
m	meter
MA	Moderately Acidic
masl	meters above sea level.
me	Moles Equivalent
MFSC	Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation
Mg	Magnesium
mg	milligram
MoAC	Ministry of Agricultue and Co-operatives
MOP	Muriate of potassium
MoPE	Ministry of Population and Environment
N	Nitrogen
na	not assessed
NARC	Nepal Agricultural Research Council
NBS	Nepal Biodiversity Strategy

OC	Organic Carbon
OM	Organic Matter
P	Phosphorus
Pg	Petagram $\sim 10^{15}$ gm
Ppm	Parts Per Million
QWM	Queen Mary and Westfield college
Sl. A	Slightly acidic
SOC	Soil Organic Carbon
SOM	Soil Organic Matter
sq.	square
SSD	Soil Science Division
t C	tons of carbon
t	tons
TC	Traditional Chulo
UN	United Nations
UNFCCC	UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
VDC	Village Development Committee
W <sub>B</sub>	branch weight
WECS	Water and Energy Commission Secretariat
W <sub>L</sub>	leaf weight
W <sub>s</sub>	Trunk stem weight
Yr	year
%	Percent