PARTICIPATION OF WOMEN IN COMMUNITY FORESTRY: A Case Study of Pawannagar VDC, Dang

A Thesis Submitted to Central Department of Rural Development Faculty of Humanities and Social Science Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Submitted By: SHANTA B K

Exam Roll No.: 2653
Regd. No. 6-1-38-906-99
Tribhuvan University
November, 2011

RECOMMENDATION LETTER

| This thesis on Participation of Women in Community Forestry: A Case Study |
|--|
| of Pawannagar VDC, Dang prepared by Shanta B K for the partial fulfillment of |
| the requirements of Master of Arts in Rural Development, under my supervision is |
| recommended for the final evaluation. |

.....

16 November 2011 Dr. Prem Sharma
Supervisor

APPROVAL LETTER

This is certified that the thesis submitted by **Shanta B K** entitled **Participation of Women in Community Forestry: A Case Study of Pawannagar VDC, Dang** has been approved by the department in the prescribed format of the faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences.

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Prof. Pradeep K. Khadka Head

External

Dr. Prem Sharma

Supervisor November 2011

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Central Department of Rural Development, University Campus TU, Kirtipur deserves my acknowledgement for providing me an opportunity to study on Participation of women in Takiyapur Community Forestry user group, Pawannagar VDC, Dang. I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Prem Sharma for providing me valuable suggestion, continual encouragement and guidance in completion of this thesis. I would like to express thank to Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka, Head of the Development and other staffs as well as all members of Takigapur Community Forest Organization and others who helped me directly or indirectly in this study.

I would like to extend gratitude to my family members for their kind Co-operation, encouragement and financial support. Finally, I would like to thank my friends who helped me to complete this thesis

November 2011 Shanta BK

ABSTRACTS

This thesis entitled Participation of Women in Community Forestry: A Case Study of Pawannagar VDC, Dang was targeted to examine the real situation of women's participation. The objectives of this study were (i) to examine the representation status of women in community forest user group committee (ii) To find out the problems faced by women in community forest activities (iii) To assess the women's participation in different activities of the community forestry. The research design of this study was both descriptive and analytic. Regarding the sample size 60 respondents were taken from 240 households. Different methods i.e. household survey, observation and through structured questionnaire were adopted for data collection. The data collected from primary and secondary sources are presented in tables to make the comprehensive analysis.

The study has found that in Takiyapur CFUG, respondents are the age between 25 to 35 years the users are from different caste and ethnic group. The study has further found that women are mainly engaged in primary sector which has very low productivity. Similarly their educational status is also very poor; according to them this is the main cause of low representation of women in CF executive committee. Women's participation in regular group meeting is also found very low though they use to attain some specific meetings regularly. It is quite surprising that more than half, 60 percent of the total respondents are not only non familiar with executive committee, they also don't have any idea regarding executive committee. Consequently, womens role in conservation, policy formulating and benefit sharing is relatively very poor as compared to men.

So far as the forest conservation is concerned, plantation is not took place for many years. Mean while various operational activities like punning, thinning and fire-line conservation are also not carried out in this particular CFUG. By contrast, user groups are involved in different activities inside the forest such as firewood and fodder collection, grazing cattles etc which are some of the hindrances in the growth of N/TFPs.

List of Figures

| Figure No. | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| 5.1 Composition of sex | 21 |
| 5.2 Age group of respondents | 22 |
| 5.3 Marital Status of respondents | 23 |
| 5.4 Occupation of respondents | 24 |
| 5.5 Education level of respondents | 28 |
| 5.6 Cast/ethnicity of respondents | 29 |
| 5.7 Women in executive committee | 31 |
| 5.8 familiar with executive committee | 32 |
| 5.9 Group meeting | 33 |
| 5.10 participation in forest protection | 35 |
| 5.11 participation in distribution forest product | 36 |
| 5.12 participation in bushes cleaning | 38 |
| 5.13 Participation in singling activities | 39 |
| 5.14 Involvement in fire wood collection | 41 |
| 5.15 Involvement in fodder collection | 42 |
| 5.16 Involvement in grazing collection | 43 |
| 5.17 Basis problems of respondents | 45 |
| 5.18 Problem faced by respondents | 46 |
| 5.19 Advantage of community forest | 47 |
| 5.20 Encouraging factors for participating in community fores | t 48 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|---|----------|
| RECOMMENDATION | |
| APPROVAL LETTER | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | |
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | |
| ABSTRACT | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | |
| CHAPTER- I: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| 1.3 Objective of the Study | 5 |
| 1.4 Significance of the Study | 5 |
| 1.5 Limitation of the Study | 6 |
| 1.6 Organization of the Study | 6 |
| CHAPTER- II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 7 |
| 2.1 Origin of the Community Forest | 7 |
| 2.2 Concept of Community Forest | 7 |
| 2.3 Community Forestry in Nepal | 9 |
| 2.4 Concept of Participation | 10 |
| 2.5 Women Participation in Community Forest | 10 |
| 2.6 Review of Related Studies | 11 |

| CHAPTER- III: METHODOLOGY | 14 |
|---|----|
| 3.1 Rational of the Selection of Study Area | 14 |
| 3.2 Research Design | 14 |
| 3.3 Universe and Sampling | 15 |
| 3.4 Nature and Source of Data | 15 |
| 3.5 Data Collection Technique and Tools | 15 |
| 3.5.1 Household Survey Schedule | 15 |
| 3.5.2 Observation | 16 |
| 3.5.3 Questionnaire Designed | 16 |
| 3.6 Data Analysis | 16 |
| | |
| CHAPTER- IV: THE STUDY AREA | 17 |
| 4.1 General Introduction of Dang District | 17 |
| 4.2 Introduction of Pawannagar VDC | 18 |
| 4.3 Description of Takiyapur Community Forest User Group (Study Area) | 18 |
| | |
| CHAPTER- V: DATA ANALYSIS AND PRESENTATION | 20 |
| 5.1 Demographic and Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents | 20 |
| 5.1.1 Age, Sex Structure of Household Population | 20 |
| 5.1.2 Age Structure of the Respondents | 21 |
| 5.1.3 Marital Status of the Respondent | 22 |
| 5.1.4 Occupational Status | 23 |

5.1.6 Status of Cultivation Ownership Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1.7 Financial Status Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1.8 Status of Livestock Domesticating by Respondents Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1.9 Educational Status Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.1.10 Caste/Ethnic Composition Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2 Situation of Women's Participation Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.1 Representation of Women in CFU Executive Committee Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.2 Familiarity with Executive Committee Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.3 Participation of Women in Regular Group Meeting Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.4 Participation of Women in Various CF Activities Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.4.1 Participation of Women in Forest Protection Error! Bookmark not defined.

<u>5.2.4.2 Women's Participation in Distribution of Forest Product</u>Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.2.4.3 Women's Participation in Plantation Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.3 Involvement of Women in Different Forest Related WorkError! Bookmark not defined.

5.3.1 Firewood Collection Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.3.2 Fodder Collection Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.3.3 Grazing Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.4 Problem Faced by Women to Participate in Community Forestry Activities Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.5 Benefits of Community Forestry to the Respondents Error! Bookmark not defined.

5.6 Motivation to Participation in Community ForestError! Bookmark not defined.

<u>CHAPTER- VI: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>Error! Bookmark not defined.

6.1 Conclusion Error! Bookmark not defined.

<u>6.2 Recommendations</u> Error! Bookmark not defined.

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | | Page N | 0. |
|-----------|---|--------------|--------------|
| 5.1 | Distribution of Household Population by Sex | 20 | |
| 5.2 | Distribution of Respondent by Age Group | 22 | |
| 5.3 | Distribution of Respondents by Marital Status | 23 | |
| 5.4 | Distribution of Respondents By Occupation | 24 | |
| 5.5 | Distribution of Land Possessing by Household | 25 | |
| 5.6 | Distribution of Population by Cultivating | 26 | |
| 5.7 | Annual Income of Household | 26 | |
| 5.8 | No. of Livestock owned by Respondent | 27 | |
| 5.9 | Distribution of Respondents by Educational Level | 28 | |
| 5.10 | Distribution of Respondents by Caste/Ethnicity | 29 | |
| 5.11 | Representation of Women in Executive Committee | 30 | |
| 5.12 | Distribution of Respondents by Familiar the Executive Committee | 31 | |
| 5.13 | Participation of Respondents in Regular Group Meeting | 33 | |
| 5.14 | Distribution of Respondents by Frequency of Participation in Forest | Protection | n 34 |
| 5.15 | Distribution of Respondent's by their Frequency of Participation in I | Distribution | on of Forest |
| | Products | 36 | |
| 5.16 | Distribution of Respondents by Participation on Bushes Cleaning Ac | tivities37 | 7 |
| 5.17 | Distribution of Respondents by Participation on Singling Activities | 39 | |
| 5.18 | Distribution of Respondents by Frequency of Involvement in Fire | ewood | Collection |
| | | 40 | |
| 5.19 | Distribution of Respondents by Frequency of Involvement in Fodder | Collection | on 42 |
| 5.20 | Distribution of Respondents by Frequency of Involvement in Grazing | g 43 | |
| 5.21 | Distribution of Respondent on the Basic of Problem | 44 | |
| 5.22 | Distribution of the Problem Faced by Respondents | 45 | |
| 5.23 | Advantages of Community Forestry | 47 | |
| 5.24 | Distribution of Respondents by Encouraging Factors for Participati | on in | Community |
| | Forestry | 48 | |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistics

CF : Community Forest

CFDP : Community Forest Division Babarmahal

CFUG : Community Forest User Group

CIFOR : Center for International Forestry Research

DFO : District Forest Office

FAO : Food and Agricultural Organization

FUG : Forest User's Group

HMG/N : His Majesty's Government of Nepal

INGO : International Non-Governmental Organization

MPFS : Master Plan for Forestry Sector

NAFP : Nepal-Australia Forest Project

NGO : Non-Governmental Organizations

NPC : National Planning Commission

NTFP : Non-timber Forest Product

VDC : Village Development Committee