# PARTICIPATION OF DISADVANTAGED GROUPS IN RURAL DEVELOPMENT

(A Case Study of the Satar Community in Surunga VDC, Jhapa)

## A Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

Central Department of Rural Development in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts in Rural Development

By Khyam Prasad Shiwakoty Regd. No.: 5-1-8-93-96

Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur,
Kathmandu, Nepal
August, 2006

## **RECOMMENDATION LETTER**

The thesis entitled "Participation of Disadvantaged Group in Rural Development: A Case Study of the Satar Community in Surunga VDC, Jhapa" was prepared by Khyam Prasad Shiwakoty under my supervision for the partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Arts in Rural Development. To the best of my knowledge the study is original and provides useful information to the field of participation of disadvantaged group in rural development.

I, therefore, recommend it for evaluation to the dissertation committee.

7<sup>th</sup> August, 2006

Dr. Damodar Jnawali (Associate Professor)

## APPROVAL SHEET

The thesis entitled "Participation of Disadvantaged Group in Rural Development: A Case Study of the Satar Community in Surunga VDC, Jhapa" submitted to the Central Department of Rural Development, Tribhuvan University, by Khyam Prasad Shiwakoty has been approved by the undersigned members of the Dissertation Committee.

#### **Dissertation Committee**

Prof. Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka

(Head of the Department)

Prof. Dr. Puskhar Pradhan (External)

\_\_\_\_\_

Dr. Damodar Jnawali Associate Professor (Supervisor)

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2006

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The present study "Participation of Disadvantaged Group (Satar Community) in Rural Development: A Case Study of Surunga V.D.C, Jhapa" was carried out for the partial fulfillment of Master's Degree in Rural Development. A number of persons and institutions have made a contribution to this research. I am extremely grateful to all of them.

I express my special gratitude and thanks to Dr. Damodar Jnawali, Associate Professor of Geography who provided me invaluable insights and guidance to carry out this fieldwork. His kind co-operation, keen interest and indefatigable suggestions during writing this project work will remain in my memory forever.

I am equally indebted to Dr. Pradeep Kumar Khadka, Professor and Head of the Central Department of Rural Development and other respectable teachers for their co-operation, inspiration and guidance that remain invaluable in the completion of this work.

I am very grateful to the VDC Secretary, school teachers, volunteer and the respondents who helped me much by providing actual information required for this study.

I offer my deep special gratitude to my parents and family members for their love sincere co-operation and support in my study and enlightening me to lead academic career.

I am deeply indebted to Mr. Ratnamani Nepal and my colleagues Mr. Hom Nath Pokharel, Mr. Amrit Kumar Chapagin, Mr. Hem Raj Kafle, Ms. Ranjana Nepal and others for their regular help and suggestion. I am also thankful to Ramesh and Tara of Rcomputer service, Kirtipur for their help and computerizing the manuscript.

Lastly, I would like to thank all who have directly and indirectly assisted me to make this endeavor a success.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Present study was carried out in order to deal with the participation of a disadvantaged ethnic group in development with reference to the Satar community of Surunga VDC, Jhapa. The specific objectives of the study were stated as: to study the participation of the Satar Community in local organizations i.e. NGOs, CBOs, VDC and ward committee, to evaluate their participation in decision-making process, to assess the accessibility of the Satar Community to the services and facilities provided by the HMG, NGOs and other formal and informal organizations and to identify the major obstacles in participation of development work.

The study was based on primary data collected from the field survey. The household heads and elected members from the Satar Community were the real respondents of this study. Simple random sampling was adopted for the selection of the households. Household questionnaire was the main tool of the data collection. Key informants' interview, focus group discussion and published and unpublished documents were also used in this study.

The study indicates that the participation of the Satars status in local institutions like NGOs/INGOs and development process is not satisfactory. Their representation in the community based organizations is nominal. The Satars are hard workers and they have no significant role in decision making process. They are socially and economically backward. They should be mainstreamed in sociopolitical affairs of the nation. Based on the findings of the study, the following major recommendations are made:

1. Educational status of the disadvantaged group (Satar community) should be improved through targeted programmes like informal education.

- 2. Implementation of VDC level programmes by the representatives of disadvantaged groups can develop their confidence in decision making process.
- 3. Social inclusion programs will be more helpful in changing the attitudes of the disadvantaged groups.
- 4. Political culture should positively be discriminated for the disadvantaged groups
- 5. Easy access to citizenship will encourage them in every activity of the society as well as nation.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No	
Ackı	nowledgement	I	
Abst		II	
Tabl	e of Contents	IV	
List	of Tables	VII	
List	of Figures	VIII	
	of Maps	VIII	
Acro	onyms	IX	
	CHAPTER-ONE		
	INTRODUCTION	1-7	
		1 /	
1.1.	Background of the Study	1	
1.2.	Statement of the Problem	4	
1.3.	Objectives of the Study	4	
1.4.	Limitation of the Study	5	
1.5.	Significance of the Study	5	
1.6.	Operational Definition of Concepts	6	
1.7.	Organization of the Study	7	
		8-32	
	CHAPTER-TWO	0-32	
	LITERATURE REVIEW		
O 1		0	
2.1.	1	8	
	Concept of Peoples Participation	14	
	Peoples Participation and Disadvantaged Groups	17 22	
	Laws and Acts Associated with Participation Studies on the Satars	25	
2.3.	2.5.1. Origin of Satar	25 25	
	2.5.2. Distribution of the Satar	23 27	
	2.5.3. Population	27	
	2.5.4. Social Life and Culture	28	
	2.5.5. Occupation	30	
	2.5.6. Language and Literature	31	
	2.5.7. Community Structure	32	
	•	22.25	
	CHAPTER-THREE	33-37	
	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
		•-	
3.1.	Research Design	33 33	
	3.2. Selection of the Study Area		
<i>3.3.</i>	Sources of Data	34	

3.4.	Universe and population of the Study	34
3.5.	Sampling Procedure and Sample Size	34
	Tools of Data Collection	35
	3.6.1. Household Interview Questionnaire	35
	3.6.2. Key Informant Interview Questionnaire	35
	3.6.3. Focus Group Discussion (FGD)	35
	3.6.4. Published and Unpublished Documents	36
3.7.	Data Processing, Analysis and Interpretation	36
	Selection of Dependent and Independent Variables	36
	Conceptual Framework of the Study	37
	CHAPTER-FOUR	38-43
	INTERODUCTION TO THE STUDY AREA	
4.1.	The Jhapa District	38
4.2.	•	39
	4.2.1. Physical Setting	39
	4.2.2. Climate	39
	4.2.3. Natural Resources	39
	4.2.3.1. Land and Soil	40
	4.2.3.2. Flora and Fauna	40
	4.2.3.3. Rivers and Rivulets	40
	4.2.4. Health Facilities	40
	4.2.5. Education	41
	4.2.6 Economy of the Village	41
	4.2.7 Population Distribution in the Study Area	42
	CHAPTER-FIVE	44-86
	ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DAT	$\Gamma \mathbf{A}$
5.1.	Socio Economic Background of the Respondents	44
	5.1.1. Age and Sex structure of the Respondents	44
	5.1.2. Respondents Living in the Study Area	45
	5.1.3. Housing Pattern of the Respondents	46
	5.1.4. Literacy Level of the respondents	48
	5.1.5. Family Type of the Respondents	48
	5.1.6. Religion of the Respondents	51
	5.1.7. Occupation of the Respondents	51
	5.1.8. Land Holding Pattern of the Respondents	53
<i>5</i> 2	5.1.9. Annual Income and expenditure of the Respondent	
5.2.		57 57
	5.2.1. Participation in CBOs	57 58
	5.2.1.1. Participation in Different CBOs	38

	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND	87-91
	CHAPTER-SIX	0= 01
	J.J.O. HISHIUHOHAI FIOVISIOH	04
	5.5.6. Institutional Provision	83 84
	5.5.4. Political Culture 5.5.5. Social Structure	81 83
	5.5.3. Population Size 5.5.4. Political Culture	81 81
	5.5.2.3. Occupation	80
	5.5.2.2. Annual Income	79
	5.5.2.1. Land Holding Pattern	78 70
	5.5.2. Economic Status	78 78
	5.5.1. Level of Education	77
	in Rural Development	77
5.5.	Obstacles of the Respondents in the Active participation	
	5.4.6. Access to Administrative Facilities	76
	5.4.5. Access to Communication Media	75
	5.4.4. Access of Educational Support	74
	5.4.3. Access to Drinking Water	73
	5.4.2. Access to Electricity	72
	5.4.1. Access to Transportation	72
5.4.	Accessibility of the Respondents to the Services and Facil	ities 71
	Development work	71
	5.3.3.6. Responsible Factors for Participation in	
	5.3.3.5. Support Provided by the Government	70
	5.3.3.4. Role of Language for their Participation	70
	5.3.3.3. Reason for Backwardness	69
	Development Activities	68
	5.3.3.2. Participatory Status of the Satar Communi	
	5.3.3.1. Social Status and Occupation of Key Infor	
	5.3.3. Analysis of the Views of the Key Informants	67
	5.3.2. Participation of the Respondents in Programme For	
	5.3.1.2. Role and Participation of Respondents in Sudget Preparation	VDC's 64
	Respondents	62
	5.3.1.1. Provision of Meetings and Participation of	the
	the VDC	62
	5.3.1. Participation of Respondents and Decision Making	
5.3.	• •	62
	5.2.5. Participation of Respondents in the Political parties	61
	(2054-2058) 5.2.4. Participation of Satar in VDC Council (2054-2058)	59 60
	5.2.3. Participation of Satar in VDC working Committee	50
	5.2.2. Participation of Respondents in NGOs/INGOs	59

## RECOMMENDATION

6.1.	Summary	87
6.2.	Conclusion	89
6.3	Recommendations	90
Bibliography		92-97
Annexes		98-107
Annex-I		98
Annex-II		104

## **List of Tables**

Tables Headings		Page No
2.1.	Model of Participation	21
3.1.	Distribution of Households and Sample Size	34
4.1.	Population Distribution by Religion of VDC	42
4.2.	Population Distribution by Caste/ethnicity	42
5.1.	Distribution of Respondents by Sex and Age Group	44
5.2.	Respondents Living in the Study Area	45
5.3.	Housing Pattern of the Respondents	47
5.4.	Literacy Level of the respondents	48
5.5.	Family Type of the Respondents	48
5.6.	Distribution of the Respondents by Religion	51
5.7.	Occupation of the Respondents	52
5.8.	Land Holding Pattern of the Respondents	54
5.9.	Annual Income and expenditure of the Respondents	55
5.10.	Participation of Respondents in CBOs	57
5.11.	Participation of Respondents in Different CBOs	58
5.12.	Participation of Respondents in NGOs/INGOs	59
5.13.	Representation of the Satar People in VDC Council	60
5.14.	Participation of Respondents in Political Parties	61
5.15.	Participation in the VDC Meetings	63
5.16.	Role and Participation of Respondents in VDC's Budget	
	Preparation	64
5.17.	Participation of Respondents in Programme Formulation	65
5.18.	Social Status and Occupation of the Key Informants	67
5.19.	Participatory Status of the Satar Community in Development	
	Activities	68
5.20.	Role of Language for their Participation	70
5.21.	Support Provided by the Government	70
5.22.	Accessibility of Transportation	72
5.23.	Access of Electricity	73
5.24.	Access of Drinking Water	73
5.25.	Accessibility of Educational Facilities	74
5.26.	Access to Communication Media	75
5.27.	Services Obtained from VDC	76
5.28.	Level of Education	77
5.29.	Land Holding Size of the Respondents	79
5.30.	Annual Income of the Respondents	79
5.31.	Occupation of the Respondents	80
5.32.	Political Culture of the Study Area	82

# **List of Figures**

Figu	res Headings	Page No
1.	Conceptual Framework of the Study	37
2.	Distribution of Respondents by Sex and Age Group	45
3.	Respondents Living in the Study Area	46
4.	Housing Pattern of the Respondents	47
5.	Family Type of the Respondents	49
6.	Land Holding Pattern of the Respondents	54
7.	Annual Income	56
8.	Participation of Respondents in Political Parties	61
9.	Participatory Status of the Satar Community	68
10.	Access of Drinking Water	74
11.	Educational Level of the Respondents	78
12.	Occupation of the Respondents	81
13.	Intensity of Influence of the Factors to the Participation	
	Of DGs in Rural Development	86
	-	

## **List of Maps**

Map 1	Map of Nepal
Map 2	Map of District
Map 3	Location Map of Surunga VDC, Jhapa

## **ACRONYMES**

CBOs : Community Based Organizations

CBS : Central Bureau of Statistics

COs : Community Organizations

CPN (UML) : Communist Party of Nepal (United

Marxist and Leninist)

DG : Disadvantaged Group

DDC : District Development Committee

FAO : Food and Agricultural Organization

FGD : Focus Group Discussion

FUG : Forest User Group

GDP : Gross Domestic Product

HD : Human Development

HDI : Human Development Index

HDR : Human Development Report

HH : household

HMG : His Majesty Government

HSS : Higher Secondary School

INGO : International Non Governmental Organization

LSGA : Local Self Governance Act

LSG : Local Self Governance

NC : Nepali Congress

NER : Net Enrollment Rate

NGO : Non Governmental Organization

NPC : Nepal Planning Commission

PCL : Proficiency Certificate Level

PF : Percentage Frequency

PTA : Parents Teachers Association

PLDT : Panchayat Land Development Tax

PRA : Participatory Rural Appraisal

RCC : Rod, Concrete and Cement

RPP : Rastriya Prajatantra Party

SAAPE : South Asian Alliance for Poverty Alleviation

UNCDF : United Nations Capital Development Fund

UNDP : United Nations Development Programmes

VDC : Village Development Committee

WB : Word Bank

WDR : World Development Report