TENSE, ASPECT AND MODALIY IN NEPALI AND MANIPURI

A dissertation

submitted to the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences of Tribhuvan University in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in LINGUISTICS.

By Tikaram Poudel Tribhuvan University Kathmandu, Nepal 2005

Recommendation

This is to certify that Tikaram Poudel has prepared this dissertation, entitled *Tense, aspect and modality in Nepali and Manipuri* under my supervision and guidance. This work was not submitted, in part or full, for any other degree of this or any other university. As this dissertation conforms to the standards of Tribhuvan University, I strongly recommend it for final acceptance.

.....

Dr. Yogendra Prasad Yadava

Professor

Central Department of Linguistics

Tribhuvan University

Kirtipur

Kathmandu

Nepal.

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

We hereby recommend that this dissertation, entitled *Tense*, *aspect and modality in Nepali and Manipuri* has been prepared by Tikaram Poudel under our supervision and guidance to be accepted by the research committee for the final examination in fulfillment of the degree of doctor of philosophy in linguistics.

Dissertation committee		
Prof. Dr. Yogendra Prasad Yadava		
Supervisor.		
Prof. Dr. Chura Mani Bandhu		
Expert.		
Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai		
Expert.		

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have accompanied me during this adventure and provided me with their supports. My first thanks go to my supervisor Dr. Yogendra P. Yadava, Professor and Head, the Central Department of Linguistics, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, and other professors at the Central Department of Linguistics of Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu. It has been a great pleasure discussing my ideas with them, and receiving their encouragement and excellent advice at every step of the way. I have had the privilege of being the first student of Ph. D in the department and have benefited enormously from my supervisor's unending enthusiasm and the apparently interminable amount of time he was willing to spend with me discussing tense, aspect and modality in the selected languages, the difficulties and joys of doing linguistics. I consider him very frank and a role model for how to combine an exacting academic career with leading a fulfilling family life.

I have been very much indebted to Prof. Chudamani Bandhu and Prof. Govinda Raj Bhattarai for being my co-supervisors and providing me creative suggestions and comments whenever needed. I would like to thank Prof. Madhav Pokharel for sharing his linguistic insights with me, both on very specific topics, as well as their relation to the big picture, which he always made sure was never far out of my sight, and for teaching me a lot about linguistic argumentation, as well as presentation. I would like to thank Prof. Tej R. Kansakar for explaining me the vastness of the scope of tense, aspect and modality and it could be an adequate topic for research and suggesting me appropriate approach for the study. He is also responsible for my interest in tense, aspect and modality through a comment he made during the question session of the proposal defense session, which made me aware of the existence of such things. Dr. David Watters' series of lectures on typological functional linguistics at the CNAS at Tribhuvan University in spring 2003 were of tremendous help to me. I am also indebted to Sarita, the librarian at the department of linguistics, Tribhuvan University for the help that she extended to me.

Mr. Dan Raj Regmi and Mr. Omkar Shrestha were always enthusiastic to have discussions and were ready to give me their time whenever I wanted to discuss any topic

with them. Mr. Regmi has been always encouraging to me. Other students in the department helped me in many different ways.

This dissertation would not have been completed if many scholars and well-wishers from Manipur would not have contributed. Special thanks go to M. Gaurochandra Singh, founder Museum Kakching, Manipur, for providing me invaluable documents on ancient Manipuri, N. Priyokumar Singh, the librarian Kakching People's Library, Manipur for allowing me to use the library in a very homely environment, N. Krishnamohan Singh, the deputy librarian, Manipur University, Imphal, for assisting me in getting the books on different aspects of Manipuri and making me aware of the recent research works on the language, M. Mukunda Singh, Principal, Kakching Higher Secondary School for providing me many rare books on history of Manipuri language and Prof. N. Khelachandra Singh, for his lively discussion on the various aspects of Manipuri language in spite of his ill health and busy schedule.

I am also thankful to the faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University for granting me the study leave. My colleagues and students at the English Resource Committee, Prithvi Narayan Campus, Pokhara, deserve special thanks.

Many linguists, both from Nepal and abroad, who took part in annual conferences of Linguistic Society of Nepal from 2000 to 2005 also have direct and indirect impact on my understanding of linguistics. I am also grateful to them whose insightful comments and suggestions changed my linguistic ability differently.

This work would have been impossible without the help of my wife Sarju, who has been my main consultant for Manipuri. She deserves special thanks for teaching and explaining me the intricacies of Manipuri and answering my thousands of silly questions and her immense patience in going over the same data again and again, her imagination in coming up with contexts for my examples. I thank her for her interest in my work and linguistics in general, and for our discussions of many issues in Manipuri.

My mother, brothers, sisters and my mother-in-law have been sources of inspiration through out the study. My brother and sister-in-law, Somorendra and Sophia, have been very helpful to me specially in collecting materials from different libraries in Manipur and in New Delhi. My wife, Sarju, and daughters, Anshuya and Anoushka, have always believed in me, encouraging me from the very start to pursue this academic

career, and accepted that this choice led me to live a different type of lifestyle as I could not give time for family activities for about four years.

ABSTRACT

This dissertation explores the morpho-syntax and semantics of tense, aspect and modality in Nepali and Manipuri. We adopt the definition of tense as the grammatical coding of an event or state in relation to the time of speech. Aspect refers to whether a particular action is completed or not and that action terminates or not at the reference time. Modality refers to the speakers' attitudes towards the proposition. The meaning of each of the three categories is described based on data collected by the researcher and from published sources.

The approach that we adopt here presupposes that every sentence in a natural language consists of a proposition, the element of modality and temporal reference. Realis and irrealis are the two semantic sub-domains of modality. This modal contrast is expressed by means of moods, modal verbs and the inherent modality meanings of lexical verbs. Nepali has mood distinction between declarative and non-declarative. The declarative sentences have tense contrast of past or non-past and aspectual contrast of perfectivity and imperfectivity. The non-declarative sentences may be potential, imperative and optative. In Manipuri the mood distinction is between realis and irrealis. Realis sentences can be aspectually perfective or imperfective. Both languages have modal verbs to express different modal meanings such as ability, epistemic notion, possibility, necessity, etc. Different lexical verbs have different modal scopes over their complements expressing certainty, presupposition, doubt, etc.

The approach that we adopt for aspect covers the notions such as lexical aspect, perfectivity, terminativity and sequentiality. The discussion on lexical aspect explores the effect of inherent meanings of verbal group on the aspectual distinction. The term perfectivity is limited within the morphological level and includes the notions such as completives, anteriors, resultatives and past time markers. The term terminativity operates in the clausal level and has both verbal group and nominal arguments in its scope. The term sequentiality is a discourse level property and we illustrate it from the textual analysis from modern Manipuri fiction.

Nepali has tense contrast between past and non-past in declarative sentences. We argued that Manipuri is a tenseless language. Hence, tense is not a universal category.

Table of contents

Abstract Acknowledgement List of abbreviations Table of contents List of tables					
СНА	PTER 1 Introduction	1			
1.0	Outline	1			
1.1	Background	1			
1.2	1				
1.3	Hypothesis	2			
1.4	Objectives of the study	2 2 3 3 8			
1.5	Reviews of earlier literature	3			
1.6	Methodology				
	1.6.1 Data collection	8			
1 7	1.6.2 Analyses and explanations	9			
1.7	Limitations of the study	12			
1.8	Significance of the study	13			
1.9	Overview of dissertation	13			
CHA	PTER 2 Theoretical framework	14			
2.0	Outline	14			
2.1	Background	14			
2.2	Typological linguistics	17			
2.3	Functional linguistics	24			
2.4	Functional-typological grammar	29			
СНА	PTER 3 Tense, aspect and modality	40			
3.0	Outline	40			
3.1	Sentence structure	40			
3.2	The category of tense	43			
	3.2.1 Tense vs. event time	45			
	3.2.2 Present as tense or aspect?	46			
	3.2.3 Past tense	47			
	3.2.4 Future tense	48			
3.3	The category of aspect	49			
	3.3.1 Inherent and grammatical aspect	50			
	3.3.2 Perfectivity	56			
	3.3.3 Terminativity	61			
3.4	The category of modality				
	3.4.1 Moods	67			
	3.4.2 The classification of modality in modal system	71			

		3.4.2.1 Epistemic modality	72	
		3.4.2.2 Evidential modality	73	
		3.4.2.3 Deontic modality	75	
		3.4.2.4 Dynamic modality	75	
3.5	Comr	nunicative and cognitive aspects of tense, aspect and modality	76	
	2.5.1	Markedness	76	
3.6	Gram	maticalization of tense, aspect and modality	77	
3.7		function interface	78	
3.8	Sumn	nary	78	
СНА	PTER 4	Predicate and its arguments	80	
4.0	Outlin	e	80	
4.1	Lexica	al vs. non-lexical vocabulary	81	
	4.2.1	Lexical vocabulary	81	
	4.2.2	Inflectional morphemes	82	
		Derivational morphemes	82	
4.2	Criteri	a for establishing membership of a category	83	
	4.2.1	Semantic criteria	83	
	4.2.2	Morphological criteria	85	
	4.2.3	Syntactic criteria	85	
4.3	Nouns	}	86	
	4.3.1	Semantic criteria	86	
	4.3.2	Morphological Criteria	87	
		4.3.2.1 Gender	88	
		4.3.2.2 Number	90	
		4.3.2.3 Case	94	
		4.3.2.4 Determiners	98	
	4.3.3	Syntactic criteria	99	
4.4	Verbs		100	
	4.4.1	.4.1 Semantic criteria		
		4.4.1.1 State and dynamic verbs	101	
		4.4.1.2 Homogeneity	103	
		4.4.1.3 Transitivity	105	
	4.4.2	Morphological criteria	105	
		4.4.2.1 Tense, aspect, modality and negation	105	
		4.4.2.2 Causativizers	118	
		4.4.2.3 Evidentials	119	
		4.4.2.4 Non-finites	122	
		4.4.2.5 Agreement markers in Nepali	124	
		4.4.2.6 Passive in Nepali	125	
		4.4.2.7 Directionals in Manipuri	126	
		4.4.2.8 Destructive in Manipuri	127	
		4.4.2.9 Deictic in Manipuri	127	
		4.4.2.10 Other derivative suffixes in Manipuri	130	
	4.4.3	Syntactic criteria	132	

4.5	Compo	ound verbs	}	132
	4.5.1	Test for io	dentifying compound verbs	137
		4.5.1.2	Test of the additive focal particle insertion	138
		4.5.1.3	Test of negation	139
		4.5.1.4	Reduplication test	141
		4.5.1.5	Non-finiteness of the first element of the	141
			compound verb	
		4.5.1.6	Loss of lexical meaning of the vector verbs	142
		4.5.2	Constraints on the selection of verbal roots of compound verbs	
		4.5.2.1	Constraint of transitivity	144
		4.5.2.2	Constraint of volitionality	144
		4.5.2.3	Constraints on the forms of main verb and the vectors	145
4.6	Noun i	ncorporati	ion	146
4.7	Summa	ıry		152
CHAI	PTER 5	Modali	ty	155
5.0	Outlin	e		155
5.1	Meani	ngs of mod	dality	155
5.2	Expression of modality			
	5.2.1 Declarative vs. Non-declarative			
	5.2.2	Realis v	vs. irrealis	168
	5.2.3	Modal v	verbs	170
5.3	The classification of modality in modal system			
	5.3.1	Episten	nic modality	174
	5.3.2	Evident	tial modality	188
	5.3.3	Deontic	e modality	194
		5.3.3.1	Agent-oriented modality	194
		5.3.3.2	Speaker-oriented modality	209
	5.3.4	Dynam	ic modality	211
5.4	The distribution of modality in grammar			
	5.4.1	Inheren	t modality of lexical verbs	212
	5.4.2	Syntact	ic test for factive predicates	214
	5.4.3	Syntact	ic test for implicative predicates	218
	5.4.4	Irrealis	adverbs	221
	5.4.5	Modalit	ty and clause types	222
	5.4.6	Verb co	omplements	224
	5.4.7	Modalit	ty in relative clauses	228
	5.4.8	Modalit	ty in adverb clauses	228
	5.4.9 Modality in non-declarative speech acts			
5.5	Irrealis modality			
	5.5.1 The use of anterior in irrealis context			
	5.5.2	Irrealis	s in main declarative clauses	234
	5.5.3	Irrealis	s in non-declarative speech acts	235

	5.5.4	Irrealis in verb complements	237		
		5.5.4.1 Complements of manipulative verbs	237		
		5.5.4.2 Irrealis in complements of PCU verbs	240		
	5.5.5	Irrealis in adverbial clauses	243		
5.6	Modality	and complements			
5.7	Summary	,	248		
CHA	APTER 6:	The Morpho-syntax and semantics of tense and aspect	252		
6.0	Outline		252		
6.1	Tense		252		
	6.1.1	Morpho-phonology of tense markers in Nepali	254		
	6.1.2	Past tense	258		
	6.1.3	Non-past tense	259		
	6.1.4	Manipuri: a tenseless language	262		
6.2	-	in Nepali and Manipuri	263		
	6.2.1	Inherent aspect	264		
	6.2.2	Perfective vs. imperfective	274		
	6.2.3	Perfectivity	268		
		6.2.3.1 Completives	276		
		6.2.3.2 Anteriors	279		
		6.2.3.3 Resultatives	285		
	6.2.4	Imperfectivity	287		
		6.2.4.1 Genericity	287		
		6.2.4.2 Durativity	290		
		6.2.4.3 Habituality	301		
	6.2.5	Terminativity	302		
	6.2.6	Non-terminativity	308		
	6.2.7	Imperfectivity and Non-specificity	311		
	6.2.8	Imperfectivity and Negation	311		
	6.2.9	Sequentiality in Manipuri	312		
	6.2.10	Imperfectivity and Off-the Sequence	314		
6.3	Summa	ary	320		
CHA	APTER 7	Conclusions	328		
7.0	Outline		328		
7.1		aries and conclusions			
7.2		tions for further research	328 332		
Арр	endices		333		
Refe	erences		348		

List of tables 30 2.1 Givón,s approach to typological-functional grammar 2.2 34 Abstract levels of grammatical organization 5.1 Paradigm showing optative markings with the verb gar- 'do' 167 5.2 Paradigm showing potential markings with the verb gar- 'do' 168 5.3 Epistemic and deontic modalities expressing possibility and 173 necessity 5.4 Epistemic modality markings in Nepali and Manipuri 175 5.5 Agent-oriented markings in Nepali and Manipuri 195 5.6 Modal scopes of complements of modality verbs 224 Modal scopes of complements of PCU verbs 5.7 227 5.8 Modal scales of irrealis in adverbial clauses 244 5.9 Evidential markings in Nepali and Manipuri 249 5.10 Speaker -oriented modality markings in Nepali and Manipuri 249 6.1 254 Tense marking in Nepali with the verb gar-'do' 6.2a Verbal paradigm of gar- 'do' in past and non-past in singular 254 Verbal paradigm of gar- 'do' in past and non-past in plural 255 6.2b 6.3 The paradigm of copula *hu*- in non-past 257 6.4 The paradigm of copula *cha*- in non-past 257 Copula paradigm in the past tense in Nepali 258 6.5 Synopsis of inherent aspect in Nepali 264 6.6 6.7 Synopsis of inherent aspect test in Manipuri 267 Grammatical marking of perfective aspect 6.8 275 6.9 Similarities and differences between past and anterior 281

List of abbreviations

1 first person
2 second person
3 third person
abl ablative
acc accusative

ADD TO a feature of verb required to make it terminative

ant anterior

AOM Agent-oriented modality

ARG argument asp aspect

ass associative marker assreal assertive realis attr attributive

ben benefactive marker

cl classifier compl completive Continuative cont controller contr cop copula counter count dative dat def definite

deic deictic marker
deM deontic modality
des destructive suffix
dir directional marker
dyM dynamic modality
emp emphatic marker

erg ergative E-time event time

evd evidential marker

f feminine fut future genitive gen hh high-honorific imp imperative inf infinitive instrumental ins irr irrealis locative loc masculine m

MOD modality man manner

mh mid-honorific neg negative

nf non-feminine nh non-honorific nom nominalizer

npt non-past time reference marker

PROP proposition
pl plural
poss possessive
POSS possibility
PRED predicate
prog progressive

pt past time reference marker

real realis

R-time reference time

S sentence s singular

seqCsequential converbsimCsimultaneous converbSOMspeaker-oriented modalitySQAspecified quantity of A

S-time speech time

TEMP temporal reference

t tense

TAM tense, aspect and modality