# Returns to Education in Nepal

Dipendra Gurung

### A THESIS

submitted to Kathmandu University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Education

Presented January 30, 2007 Convocation September 17, 2007

### AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

<u>Dipendra Gurung</u> for the degree of <u>Doctor of Philosophy in Education</u> presented on January 30, 2007. Title: <u>Returns to Education in Nepal.</u>

Abstract approved: _	

### Dr Kedar Nath Shrestha

A considerable amount of public funds have been invested in education, in the belief that the full human potential may be achieved by raising the standards of educational attainment. The main concern in this study is whether these resources invested in education have been efficiently allocated.

The general approach in the economic of education is to treat educational expenditure as investment in human capital and calculate the yield to educational investment in the same manner as for physical capital. This approach is used in this study to examine the efficiency of resource allocation in education.

The theoretical framework is provided by the human capital theory, the basic premise being that variations in income are due partly to difference in labor productivity as a consequence of differing amounts of human capital acquired by workers via education or other means. The profitability measure used in this study is the internal rate of return.

The purpose of this study was to examine the contribution of education to individual earnings in the wage sector and household incomes from farm and non-farm activities in the non-wage sector. The two main sources of data in estimating the return to education in Nepal were Nepal Living Standard Surveys (NLSS I, 1995/96)

and (NLSS II, 2003/04). Mincerian standard human capital earning functions and short –cut methods were applied to Nepal Living Standard Surveys (NLSS I, 1995/96) and (NLSS II, 2003/04) data collected by CBS and World Bank in an attempt to provide evidence on rates of returns to years of schooling and education level in Nepal. Earnings from individual wage sector, household farm holdings, and non-farm enterprises were analyzed to investigate the contribution of educational investment in Nepal.

This study estimated private rates of return to years of schooling using Mincerian basic earning function method in the earning data obtained from wage sector, household farm holdings, and non-farm enterprises. Moreover, this study estimated private and social rates of return using mincerian extended earning method function in the earning data obtained from wage sector. Mincerian earning function method was extended to earnings from farm and non-farm enterprises. Short-cut method was used to estimate social rates of return to education level from wage earnings. The data were analyzed using STATA Version 8.2 computer software. Hypotheses testing were done in 95%, 99% and 99.99% confidence interval. Multicolinearity, heteroscedasticity, and normality test were carried out to diagnose the problem of estimation process.

The estimated results revealed that each extra year of schooling is profitable at the national level. The return to females is higher than males. Urban areas, Hill, Terai belt, and private schools got favorable returns. Non agriculture wage earners revealed high returns as compared to agriculture wage earners. Upper income quintile and urban areas got more favorable return.

Primary education has a very high social rate of return. Tertiary education has a very high private rate of return relative to its social rate of return, while secondary

education has relatively low private and social returns – a finding suggests increased private spending at university level and shift of public funds to other levels.

Average household education and highest schooling in the household have a significant and a positive contribution to net earnings from agriculture and non agriculture enterprises.

The study identified the specific policy implications for educational policy development for Nepal were: (a) top priority should be given to primary education as a form of human resource investment; (b) secondary and higher education should be pursued alongside with primary education in a program of balanced human resource development; (c) there exists room for private finance at the university level; (d) shifting of funds towards primary and secondary levels to raise quality and rates of return is urgent; (e) public funds should target female population, bottom quintiles and rural regions; (f) government should provide better infrastructure and modern farming inputs to improve farmer-efficiency from additional education; (g) government provide tax-break and other incentives to open up small financial/personal services enterprises from private sector; (h) educational investments are fairly attractive to other investment at both private and social level. By this, it is a clear indication that access to education could help poor population groups to raise their earnings. (i) education planners in Nepal should treat education as an important investment activity, not as consumption expenditure; (j) economic criteria should serve as baseline in making investment decisions in education, and (k) from a strictly efficiency point of view, investment in education of backward religion group and women needs to be expanded.

ъ.	1	Gurung
I )11	nenara.	( tilriing
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	penara	Gurung

# © Copyright by Dipendra Gurung

2007

All rights reserved.

### DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, late 'Aiman Singh Gurung', who always inspired me to be meritorious but who untimely passed way.

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis has no	t been submitted for candidature for any
other degree.	
	January 30, 2007
Dipendra Gurung, Degree Candidate	Date

<u>30, 2007.</u>	
APPROVED:	
Thesis Guide Dr. Kedar Nath Shrestha	Date
Dean, School of Education Dr. Mana Prasad Wagley	Date
Member Dr. Tanka Nath Sharma	Date
Member Dr. Shree Ram Lamichhane	Date
External Member Dr. Nasir Jalil	Date
I understand that my thesis will become part of the Kathmandu University Library. My signature below author	
any reader upon request for scholarly purposes.	izes release of my mes

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is the result of the assistance of many persons and organizations.

I am most grateful to my thesis Guide, Dr. Kedar Nath Shrestha and Co-Guide Dr. Shiva Raj Lohani for the first tentative suggestions for a thesis topic to the discussion of the final drafts. Dr. Shiva Raj Lohani's friendly and insightful comments, criticism, guidance as well as continuous encouragement and support have been a constant stimulus to the improvement of this work. I wish to express my heartfelt thanks to Dr. Mana Prasad Wagley, Dr. Tanka Nath Sharma, Dr. Shree Ram Lamichhane, Dr. Gajananda Agrawal and Dr.Nasir Jalil for their invaluable advice, constructive comments, and continuous encouragement to improve the quality of work and to complete this work in time.

I wish to express my heartfelt thanks to Dr. Tak Raj Gurung. He sent more than hundred related literature from University of Cincinaty, USA. I would like to acknowledge to Mr. Mohan Khajum, data analyst, for his support to analyze data. I would like to express sincere thanks to Mr. Suvas Khatri for his support to type this thesis in time.

I would also like to acknowledge Eric Winther Smith, Amod Prasad Upadhya, Dilendra Prasad Badu, Ram Bahadur Gurung, Hari Bhakta Adhikari,Bal Krishna Khan, Prithibi Subba Gurung, Janardan Nepal, Susil Pandey, Yam Bahadur Gurung and Shashidhar Belbase for their co-operation and advice in relation to Ph. D. Studies. In addition, I greatly appreciate the financial support that I have received from PAT/DANIDA.

Finally I want to dedicate this thesis to my wife, Gita Devi Gurung, for her unconditional love and unfaltering encouragement, without which I would not have

been able to complete my thesis. I am also most grateful to my mother Chhepi
Gurung and sons Sagar Gurung, Lokesh Singh Gurung and Tej Shingh Gurung for
their heartfelt support.
Dipendra Gurung

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS i
TABLE OF CONTENTSiii
LIST OF TABLES ix
LIST OF FIGURESxi
LIST OF APPENDICES xii
GLOSSARYxiii
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION
Background of the Study1
Purpose of the Study5
Problem Statement6
Research Questions8
Statistical Hypotheses9
Descriptive Hypotheses
Significance of the Study
Assumptions
Limitation of the Study13
Delimitations of the Study
Definition of the Terms
Chapter Plan of the Dissertation
CHAPTER 2
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND PREVIOUS RESEARCH18
Education System in Nepal. 18

Investment in Education in Nepal	19
Theory of Human Capital	23
Human Capital Formation	25
Theoretical Framework	27
Historical Perspectives	27
Growth Accounting Analysis	28
Rates of Return Analysis	30
The private and social rate of return	30
Two methods in the rate of return literature	32
Empirical evidence	33
Criticism on the empirical results	34
More controversies on the rate of return	
concept	36
Worker Productivity Analysis	37
Conceptual Framework	39
Analytical Framework	40
The Private Rate of Return to Education	41
The Social Rate of Return to Education	43
Methodology of the Rate of Return Analysis	44
The Mincerian Earning Function Methods	44
The Full Cost Method	46
The Short Cut Method	46
Is Education an Investment or Consumption Good?	47
Is Education a Good Investment?	
Is Education a Good Investment for Individuals?	49

Is Education a Good Social Investment?	49
Role of Formal Education for Economic Development	51
Role of Primary Education	52
Role of Secondary Education	55
Role of Tertiary Education	58
Related Research in Nepal	60
Related Research in other Countries	64
Concluding Remarks	83
CHAPTER 3	
METHODOLOGY	85
Description of Data	85
Sample	87
Instrument	94
Data Collection Procedure	98
Survey Limitations	100
Description of Variables	103
Wage Sector	103
Household (Self Employed) Agriculture Income	104
Household (Self Employed) Enterprise Income	104
Summary of Statistics	105
Labor Market (Wage)	105
Agriculture Production	108
Non Farm Production	110
Theory of Regression Analysis	111
Methodology of the Rates of Return Analysis	114

The Earnings Function Method	115	
Problems with Mincerian Earnings Function	119	
Extension to Productivity Study	120	
Why Value-Added Production Function?	121	
Methodological Implications in this Study		
Models used in Estimation		
Model 1: Basic Method	124	
Model 2: Extended Method	124	
Model 3: Household Net Revenues from Agriculture	125	
Model 4: Household Net Revenues from Non-agriculture		
Enterprise	126	
Model 5: Social Rate of Return from Wage Earnings (Short-		
Cut Method)	126	
Data Analysis	127	
Concluding Remarks		
CHAPTER 4		
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS OF THE STUDY		
Significance Test of Statistical Hypotheses		
Dealing with Research Questions	134	
The Private Rates of Return to Years of Schooling in the Wage		
Sector	135	
The private rates of return to years of schooling in rural		
and urban Nepal	135	
The private rates of return to years of schooling across		
ecological belt	139	

The private rates of return to years of schooling by type	
of school	142
The private rates of return to years of schooling across	
religion	144
The private rates of return to years of schooling across	
economic sectors	147
The private rates of return to years of schooling across	
income quintile	149
The Private Rates of Return to Education across Educational	
Levels	150
The private rate of return to level of education in rural	
and urban Nepal	150
The private rate of return to education by religions	157
The private rates of return to education by ecological	
belt	160
The private rates of return to level of education by types	
of school	162
The private rates of return to level of education by	
economic sectors	164
The private rates of return to education by income	
quintiles	167
Household Value Added Production in Agriculture	169
Household Value Added Production in Non-Agriculture	
Enterprise	176
Social Rates of Return	182

Change in Rates of Return Over Time	186
Change in Private Rates of Returns to Years of	
Schooling Over Eight Years of Time	186
Change in Private Rates of Returns to Education Over	
Eight Years of Time	188
Change in Return to Household Farm Production Over	
an Eight Years Period	189
Change in Return to Household Non-Farm Production	
Over an Eight Years Period	189
Change in the Social Returns to Education Over an	
Eight Years Period	191
Discussion on Some Key Issues	191
Concluding Remarks	201
CHAPTER 5	
SUMMARY, FINDINGS, POLICY IMPLICATIONS, CONCLUSIONS	
AND AGENDA FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	202
Summary	202
Findings	207
Policy Implications for Educational Policy Development	214
Conclusions	219
Agenda for Future Research	223
REFERENCES	225
APPENDICES	234
VITA	351

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	NLSS I National Sample	88
3.2	Primary Sampling Units of the NLSS II by Region and Zone	91
3.3	Number of Sample Households of the NLSS II by Region and Zone	91
3.4	Distributions of Sample Households of the NLSS II by Region, Zone	e
	and Urban/Rural Residence	92
3.5	Enumeration Status of Households in the NLSS II	92
3.6	Distribution of the NLSS I Sample	93
4.1	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Gender and	i
	Urban/Rural Areas in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	137
4.2	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Gender and	1
	Ecological Belt in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	141
4.3	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Gender and	d
	Type of School in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	143
4.4	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Gender and	i
	Religion in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	145
4.5	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Gender and	1
	Economic Sector in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	148
4.6	The Coefficient on Years of Schooling and F Statistics by Income	e
	Quintiles in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	149
4.7	Private Rates of Return to Education by Gender and Rural/Urban Area	S
	in Nepal (%), Dependent Variable = In Y	152
4.8	Private Rates of Return to Education by Religion in Nepal (%)	,
	Dependent Variable = In Y	158

4.9	Private Rates of Return to Education by Ecological Belt in Nepal (%),
	Dependent Variable = In Y
4.10	Private Rates of Return to Education by Types of School in Nepal (%),
	Dependent Variable = In Y
4.11	Private Rates of Return to Education by Economic Sector in Nepal (%),
	Dependent Variable = In Y
4.12	Private Rates of Return to Education by Income Quintiles in Nepal (%),
	Dependent Variable = In Y
4.13	Selected Regression Results in Households Farm Production, Dependent
	Variable: Net Revenues = In Y
4.14	Contribution of Education to Farm Productivity in Different Scenarios 174
4.15	Selected Regression Results in Households Non-Farm Production 177
4.16	Contribution of Education to Non-Farm Productivity in Different
	Scenarios
4.17	Returns to Investment in Education by Level (%)
4.18	Change in Private Returns to Years of Schooling over Eight Years of
	Time
4.19	Change in the Private Returns to Education over an 8 Years Period 188
4.20	Change in Returns to Household Farm Production over an 8 Years
	Period
4.21	Change in Returns to Household Non-Farm Production over an 8 Years
	Period
4.22	Change in the Social Returns to Education over an 8 Years Period 191
4.23	Rates of Return by Levels of Education % 196

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	;	Page No.
2.1	Rates of Return to Increased Education.	13
4.1	Rate of Return by Gender, Location, Ecological Belt, and Types of	
	Schools (2003/04)	138
4.2	Rate of Return by Religion, Economic Sectors, and Income Quintiles	
	(2003/04)	146
4.3	Private Rates of Return to Education at the National Level	154
4.4	Rates of Return to Education by Location	155
4.5	Rates of Return to Education by Level of Education and Gender	156
4.6	Rate of Return to Education by Level of Education and Religion	
	(2003/04)	159
4.7	Private Rates of Return to Education by Belt (2003/04)	161
4.8	Private of Return by School Types (2003/04)	163
4.9	Private Rates of Return by Economic Sector (2003/04)	166
4.10	Rate of Return to Education by Quintile Groups (2003/04)	168
4.11	Contribution of an Extra Year of Household Education to Percent	
	Increase in Agriculture Net Income by Sets of Schooling	173
4.12	Contribution of an Extra Year of Household Education to Percent	
	Increase in Agriculture Net Income by Ecological Belt, Location, and	
	Development (2003/04)	175
4.13	Contribution of an Extra Year of Household Education to Percent	
	Increase in Non-Farm Net Income by Sector and Location (2003/04) 1	179
4.14	Contribution of an Extra Year of Household Education to Percent	
	Increase in Non-Agriculture Net Income (2003/04)	180
4.15	Private and Social Rate of Return by Level of Education (2003/04)	185
4.16	Private Rates of Return by Gender and Geographical Location (2003/04) 1	187
4.17	Change in Contribution of Education to Farm Productivity Over Time	189
4.18	Change in Contribution of Education to Non Farm Productivity Over	
	Time 1	190

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appen	rdix Pag
A:	Rates of Return for Waged Workers Based on Estimations of the
	Earnings Function Analysis by Gender and Rural/Urban Areas: Basic
	Model
B:	Rates of Return for Waged Workers Based on Estimations of the
	Earnings Function Analysis; 2003/04: Extended Model
C:	Selected Regression Results in Households Farm Production
D:	Selected Regression Results in Households Non -Farm Production 269
E:	Private and Social Returns by Level of Education
F:	Sectoral Distribution of the Education Budget
G:	Test of Normality
H:	Test of Multicolinearity
I:	Test of Heteroskedasticity
J:	More Regression Results for Wage Sector
K:	Summary of Statistics
L:	Basic Statistics
M:	Sensitivity Analysis for farm Households (2003/04)
N:	Regression Results for Wage Sector in Overall Nepal
O:	Regression Result of Level of Education
P:	Regression Results (Farm Household)
Q:	Sensitivity Analysis
R:	Histogram of Wage Income and Years of Schooling

### **GLOSSARY**

NLSS I Nepal Living Standards Survey I (1995/96)

NLSS II Nepal Living Standards Survey II (2003/04)

NLFS Nepal Labour Force Survey (1998/99)

EDR Eastern Development Region

CBS Central Bureau of Statistics

CDR Central Development Region

WDR Western Development Region

MWDR Mid Western Development Region

FWDR Far-Western Development Region

RW Hill Rural West Hill

RE Hill Rural East Hill

RW Terai Rural West Terai

RE Terai Rural East Terai

SLC Schooling Living Certificate

Ph. D Doctor of Philosophy

UNDP The United Nations Development Programme

MOES Ministry of Education and Sports

GER Gross Enrollment Ratios

MDG Millennium Development Goals

PRSP Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper

NDF Nepal Development Forum

GDP Gross Domestic Product

TGE Total Government Expenditure

UNICEF The United Nations Children's Fund

UNESCO The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organization

UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America

LSMS Living Standard Measurement Survey

VIF Variance Inflation Factor

PSUs Primary Sampling Units

BSOs Branch Statistical Offices

NGO Non-Government Organization

PRT Project Related Training

HPAEs High Performing Asian Economies