HUMAN IMPACT ON WILDLIFE AND WILDLIFE HABITATS IN MAENAM WILDLIFE SANCTUARY IN SIKKIM HIMALAYA



By Laxuman Chhetri

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science, Central Department of Zoology, Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University Kathmandu, Nepal August 2006

Phone No. : 4-331898



TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY

CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY Kirtipur, Kathmandu NEPAL

Ref No.:

Date August 28 2006

RECOMMENDATION

It is my pleasure to mention that Mr. Laxuman Chhetri has carried out duis thesis research entitled "Human Impact on Wildlife and Wildlife Habitats in Maenam Wildlife Sanctuary in Sikkim Himalaya" under my supervision and guidance. This is the candidate's original work that brings out important findings essential for conservation of wildlife. To the best of my knowledge, this thesis has not been submitted for any other degree 1 recommend that the thesis be accepted for the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Zoology specializing in Ecology.

Klamet

Khadga Basnet, Ph.D. Supervisor Central Department of Zoology Tribhuvan University Kirtipur, Kathmandu Nepal



TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY

CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY Kirtipur, Kathmandu NEPAL

Ret. No.:

Date : August 28, 2006

Phone No. : 4-301396

APPROVAL

This thesis submitted by Mr. Laxuman Chhetri entitled "Human Impact on Wildlife and Wildlife Habitans in Maenam Wildlife Sanctuary in Sikkim Himalaya" has been accepted for the partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Zoology specializing in Feology.

EXPERT COMMITTEE

Rumet

Khadga Basnet, Ph.D. Supervisor Central Department of Zoology Tribhuwan University Kathmandu Nepa Tej Kumar Shrestha, D.Sc. Professor and Heat Central Department of Zoology

Tribhi, van University Kathmandu Nepal

L.R. Sanaf

External Examiner

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my hearty gratitude to respected professor and supervisor Dr. Khadga Basnet, Central Department of Zoology, Tribhuvan University for his realistic and perpetual guidance, invaluable inspiration and supervision during my thesis work. I am also grateful to Prof. Dr. Tej Kumar Shrestha, Head, Central Department of Zoology for providing necessary supports and encouragement.

I am grateful to Dr. Eklabya Sharma, Dr. Nakul Chettri, and Bandana Shakya, ICIMOD for their supports and guidance and Dr. M.P. Thapa, Sikkim Government College for his support and encouragement in this work. I would like to acknowledge Mr. Nabin Tamang, Range Officer, Wildlife, Namchi for his support during the field survey. My appreciation is due to Naku Sherpa, Forest Guard Rabongla, who helped in the field.

I would like to thank Aron Limboo, Ghanashyam Chettri, and Indra Basnet for their support during my field survey. I would like to thank Beemal Tamang, Nirmal Sapkota, Royan Bista, Aitahang Subba, Indra Subba, Namrata Shrestha, and Bir Bahadur Woli for their support during my work.

It is indeed a great pleasure for me to thank my beloved parents, Mr. Chabilall Chhetri and Mrs. Jas Maya Chhetri for their love and continuous support without which my degree and this work would not be possible.

I would like to thank Mr. Ram Krishna Maharjan (Cheap and Best Computer Centre, Kirtipur) and Sushan Shrestha (Shrestha Photocopy Centre, Kirtipur) for their respective help in computer and photocopy.

Lastly, I would like to thank all my well-wishers and other friends who have supported me during my study.

Laxuman Chhetri

M.Sc., Zoology Batch: 2002-2004 T.U. Regd. No. 5-3-28-101-2002 Exam Roll No. 1338

ABSTRACT

I conducted my research on "Human Impact on Wildlife and Wildlife Habitats in Maenam Wildlife Sanctuary in Sikkim Himalaya" South Sikkim, which is highly disturbed in and around human settled areas and relatively undisturbed in the core area. The specific objectives of my research were to: a) explore vegetation structure, b) occurrence, abundance, and diversity of birds and mammals, c) compare them in disturbed and undisturbed sites, and d) assess human disturbances. I used both field survey and questionnaire methods for enumeration of vegetation, birds, mammals, and their conditions in the disturbed and undisturbed sites. Vegetation data were collected by taking four random samples of 20×20m plots in each disturbed and undisturbed sites, while birds and mammals were counted in two line transects of two kilometers each. Undisturbed site had higher number of tree species and tree density than disturbed site. The significant difference of mean number of trees between the disturbed and undisturbed sites indicated human impact on vegetation structure. I recorded thirty-seven bird species belonging to nineteen families during the field survey. The disturbed site had significantly higher (t=2.92, df=2, p=0.05) number of bird species than the undisturbed site. Fifteen mammalian species were recorded from both disturbed and undisturbed sites. The undisturbed site had higher number of mammalian species than the disturbed site, but the mean difference was not significant (t=2.29, df=2, p=0.05). The collection of firewood was the highest during winter and the lowest during summer due to the variation in consumption, which was the highest in Ravangla. The lowest consumption of firewood was in Mangzing. Similarly, fodder collection from the forest was the highest during winter, while the lowest during summer when availability is higher in the farms. Lingmoo extracted the highest fodder from the forest, while Ravangla extracted the least, which were based on their respective distance from the Sanctuary.

Major human disturbances in Maenam Wildlife Sanctuary include firewood extraction and fodder collection. This study showed that human disturbances, and availability of resources can increase the species richness of birds while suitable area is necessary for mammals for their abundance.

CONTENTS

RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL

ABSTRACT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF PLATES

1. INTRODUCTION	1-3
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	3
1.3 JUSTIFICATION	3
2. STUDY AREA	4-8
2.1 AREA AND LOCATION	4
2.2 CLIMATE	4
2.3 BIOLOGICAL COMPONENTS	7
2.4 SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS	7
3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	9-11
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS	12-14
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION	12-14 12
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 	12-14 12 12
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 	12-14 12 12 12
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 4.4 FAUNAL SURVEY 	12-14 12 12 12 13
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 4.4 FAUNAL SURVEY	12-14 12 12 12 13 13
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 4.4 FAUNAL SURVEY	12-14 12 12 12 13 13 13
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 4.4 FAUNAL SURVEY	12-14 12 12 13 13 13 14
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS 4.1 FIELD SURVEY AND OBSERVATION 4.2 QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY 4.3 VEGETATION SAMPLING 4.4 FAUNAL SURVEY 4.5 FIREWOOD EXTRACTION 4.6 FODDER EXTRACTION 4.7 DATA ANALYSIS	12-14 12 12 13 13 13 14 15-26
 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS	12-14 12 12 13 13 13 14 15-26 15

5.2.1 SPECIES RICHNESS AND ABUNDANCE	17
5.3 MAMMALS	22
5.3.1 SPECIES RICHNESS AND ABUNDANCE	22
5.4 HUMAN DISTURBANCES	24
5.4.1 FIREWOOD COLLECTION AND CONSUMPTION	24
5.4.2 FODDER COLLECTION	25
6. DISCUSSION	27-30
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	31-32
REFERENCES	33-41

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 5.1 DENSITY AND RELATIVE DENSITY OF TREE SPECIES IN	
DISTURBED AND UNDISTURBED SITES	16
TABLE 5.2 COMPARISON OF VEGETATION STRUCTURE OF DISTURBE	D
AND UNDISTURBED SITES	17
TABLE 5.3 TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRD RECORDED IN DISTURBED	
SITE AND THEIR ABUNDANCE IN MWS	19
TABLE 5.4 TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRD RECORDED IN UNDISTURBED	
SITE AND THEIR ABUNDANCE IN MWS	20
TABLE 5.5 COMPARISON OF BIRD BETWEEN DISTURBED AND	
UNDISTURBED SITES	21
TABLE 5.6 LIST OF MAMMALIAN SPECIES RECORDED IN	
UNDISTURBED SITE (CORE)	23
TABLE 5.7 LIST OF MAMMALIAN SPECIES RECORDED IN DISTURBED)
SITE	23
TABLE 5.8 COMPARISON OF MAMMALS BETWEEN DISTURBED	
AND UNDISTURBED SITES	24
TABLE 5.9 SEASONAL AND TOTAL ANNUAL COLLECTION OF	
FIREWOOD BY THE SETTLEMENTS ADJOINING TO MWS	25
TABLE 5.10 FIREWOOD COLLECTION AND CONSUMPTION FROM	
THE FOREST OF MWS BY SETTLEMENTS	25
TABLE 5.11 SEASONAL COLLECTION OF FODDER BY SETTLEMENTS	
ADJOINING TO MWS	26
TABLE 5.12 EXTRACTION OF FODDER FROM FOREST OF MWS	
BY SETTLEMENTS	26

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1 MAP REPRESENTING THE STUDY SITES OF MAENAM	
WILDLIFE SANCTUARY	5
FIGURE 2.2 MONTHLY VARIATION OF MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM	
TEMPERATURE (°C) AT MWS	6
FIGURE 2. 3 MONTHLY VARIATION OF RAINFALL AT MWS	6
FIGURE 2. 4 MONTHLY VARIATION OF RELATIVE HUMIDITY AT	
MWS	6
FIGURE 2.5 SEASON OF DIFFERENT CROPS IN STUDY AREA	8
FIGURE 5.1 SPECIES RICHNESS OF BIRDS AT DIFFERENT SITES OF T	HE
STUDY AREA	18
FIGURE 5.2 SPECIES RICHNESS OF MAMMALS AT DIFFERENT SITES	OF
STUDY AREA	22

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE 5.1 VEGETATION PATTERN OF MAENAM WILDLIFE	
SANCTUARY	16
PLATE 5.2 STUDY OF VEGETATION STRUCTURE OF DISTURBE	D
SITE	16
PLATE 5.3 STUDY OF VEGETATION STRUCTURE OF UNDISTUR	BED
SITE	16
PLATE 5.4 HOLE USED BY PORCUPINE	25
PLATE 5.5 LAND SCRATCHED BY WILD BOAR	25
PLATE 5.6 UNIDENTIFIED SCAT OF CARNIVORE WITH BONE A	ND
HAIRS	25
PLATE 5.7 MARK OF RED PANDA IN PARENG (Arnudinaria	
hookerinana)	25
PLATE 5.8 FIREWOOD COLLECTION FROM SANCTUARY	28
PLATE 5.9 COWSHED FOUND WITHIN SANCTUARY	28
PLATE 5.10 FODDER COLLECTION FROM SANCTUARY	28
PLATE 5.11 CATTLE GRAZING IN DISTURBED SITE OF	
SANCTUARY	28