

PAHARIS' SCHOOLING: A POSTMODERN LENS

Anju Khadka

This Thesis is submitted to the Tribhuvan University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Master of Philosophy in Education

December, 2007

Acceptance and Recommendation

The undersigned certify that we have read, approved, and recommended to the Faculty of Education, Tribhuvan University for acceptance, a thesis entitled PAHARIS' SCHOOLING: A POSTMODERN LENS submitted by Anju Khadka in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY IN EDUCATION WITH SPECIALIZATION IN DEVELOPMENT STUDIES.

Prof. Bidya Nath Koirala, Ph. D
Thesis Supervisor

Prof. Govinda Raj Bhattarai, Ph. D
External Examiner

Associate Prof. Laya Prasad Uprety, Ph. D
External Examiner

Prof. Tritha Raj Parajuli, Ph. D
Member of the Research Committee

Prof. Hira Bahadur Maharjan, Ph. D
Member of the Research Committee

Prof. Jaya Raj Awasthi, Ph. D
Member of the Research Committee

Prof. Basu Dev Kafle, Ph. D
Member of the Research Committee

Date: 10th December, 2007

Library Release Form

Name of the author	Anju Khadka
Degree	Master of Philosophy in Education
Year of this degree granted	2007

Permission is hereby granted to Tribhuvan University to reproduce single copy of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication and other rights in association with the copy right in the thesis, and except as herein before provided neither the thesis nor any substantial portion thereof may be printed or otherwise reproduced in any material form whatever without the author's permission.

.....

Anju Khadka

Lalitpur, Bagmati Zone, Nepal

Date: 10th December, 2007

Declaration

I hereby declare that this thesis has not been submitted for the candidature for any other degree.

I understand that my thesis will become a part of permanent collection of Tribhuvan University Library. My signature below authorizes release of my thesis to any readers upon request.

Anju Khadka,

10th December, 2007

Acknowledgements

Many people rendered their supports to complete my thesis. I am always indebted to them. To name a few they are: Bir Bahadur Pahari, Rajendra Chalise, Srijana Pahari Anjan Kumar Acharya, and members of Pahari Sangh along with Pahari students, and their parents of Bodikhel VDC Lalitpur, Nepal.

I owe to Dr. Bidya Nath Koirala who supervised me. His positive criticisms and constructive comments, easy way of handling difficult theoretical concepts, and equal treatment to the students encouraged me to handle this hectic task of writing thesis easily.

I am very much grateful to my external supervisors Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai who not only gave feedbacks but also equipped me with the literatures on postmodernism. He also provided editorial support to my thesis. Dr. Laya Prasad Uprety, external supervisor, deserves my appreciation for his constructive suggestions. Similarly, I would like to thank Dr. Jai Raj Awasthi, Dr. Hira Bahadur Maharjan, Dr. Tritha Raj Parajuli, and Dr. Basu Dev Kafle for their comments and suggestions during my thesis viva. Besides this, I would like to extend my thanks to Dr. Mana Prasad Wagle and Dr. Lava Deo Awasthi for their supports to shape this study. I will do injustice if I forget to thank Suman Acharya, Jajwale Bajimaya, Hari Bahadur Maharjan, and many others for their official support.

I am fortunate enough to be the first batch M. Phil. students in education. My classmates of first batch and colleagues of second and third batch M. Phil. program deserve thanks. They challenged me while I presented my preliminary field findings to them. Their challenges encouraged me to deepen my understanding about Pahari and their schooling.

Finally, I would like to thank my father, mother, eldest brother, sister-in – law, elder and younger sisters, and younger brothers, and nephew. They gave me constant encouragement, loving support, and kind cooperation during my busy schedule in generating the field information and writing the thesis.

An Abstract of the Thesis

Anju Khadka

The heterogeneity of language and socio-cultural setting of schools made me interested to know about Pahari's schooling. In this process I tried to know the worldview of the Paharis. How their worldview is *difference* and *differance* from the worldview of the non-Paharis? How the Paharis' and non-Paharis' construct of schooling? How are the matched/unmatched with each other? What can be alternative measures to help Pahari children for their better learning? In order to understand the subjectivity of these stakeholders of education, I selected Pahari community; Pahari children dominated school, Pahari and non-Pahari teachers, Pahari parents and Pahari organization of the peri urban area called Bodikhel of Lalitpur district for fieldwork. There I tried to understand the Pahari community in general and school aged - Pahari children in particular. In doing so, I examined the Pahari community from Kaupapa theory. But in understanding the schooling of Pahari, I applied Derridian standpoint. For this, I used qualitative research design. The methodology to gather field information I analyzed documents, interviewed people, observed the community and school practice, conducted focus group discussion, and did case studies. For data management, I analyzed stakeholders' perceptions and did theoretical interpretations of these perspectives.

This study found that (a) due to the lacking of social cosmos formal schooling has been the place of interface between self and other to the Pahari schoolchildren (b) Pahari found the utility of mainstream language at one time and in other times they found linguistic oppression. In both situations they just looked for their identity (c) by lingual/socio-cultural setting of schools can also create co-existence through binary opposites relationship between the opposite groups (d) Pahari students' looking self-glass has search for symbolic representation at the time of learning and teaching process and teachers were failed to do so (e) for Pahari schoolchildren, school is a condition of "no hearing" and (f) Pahari schoolchildren interpreted the school from different angles. School in this regard lacked the knowledge and environment for the creation of hybridized culture for educational justice to the Pahari children.

Out of the above findings, I have drawn some implications as well. These implications include Pahari students are facing difficulty in school and at the same time they are creating the alternative ways for getting the educational justice to all. In this situation, formal schooling can be redesigned to make social cosmos with school's cosmos. This effort can bridge the gap between Paharis' home schooling and formal schooling for the improvement of their personality. Teachers' reorientation can make it happen. The second implication is that school should value socio-culturally different students' subjectivity and promote co-learning including language co-existence approach in school. The third implication is that teachers should learn students' home culture and traditionally handed down teaching learning practices. Once they learn it they are supposed to ensure the linkages between the school and students' approach to learning and teaching.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
AN ABSTRACT OF THIS THESIS	i
LIBRARY RELEASE FORM	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ACCEPTANCE AND RECOMMENDATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF APPEDICES	vii
LIST OF ACRONYMS	viii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the Study	1
Why I am Interested to Undertake This Study.....	3
Theoretical Standpoints	4
Objectives	5
Research Questions.....	5
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
Identity	9
Subversion	11
Deconstruction.....	14
Difference and Differance	17
Skeptical Reality.....	20

Interpretation	23
----------------------	----

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

III. METHODOLOGY	28
The Unit of Analysis	28
Research Design	29
Qualitative Research.....	31
Tools of the Study	33
Document Analysis	34
Participant Observations.....	36
Focus Group Discussion.....	39
Case Study	41
Data Analysis and Interpretation.....	42
Data Management.....	43
IV. PAHARIS' S ANTHROPOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL CONSTRUCT: DISCUSSION ON SOCIALIZATION/ENCULTURATION.....	46
Socialization/Enculturation Process of Pahari Children	46
(a) Socialization/Enculturation through Parents' Action Design	47
(b) Socialization/Enculturation through food and Relationship.....	48
© Socialization/Enculturation through Male Personality and Maternal Relation.....	48
(d) Socialization/Enculturation through Ethnic Identity and its Linkage with Maternal Uncle's Relation.....	49
(e) Socialization/Enculturation through Bamboo Goods Making Skill	49

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

(f) Socialization/Enculturation through the way of Maintaining the Bodily Changes ...	54
(g) Socialization/Enculturation through the Mechanization of General Treatment.....	56
V. PAHARIS'S SELF AND OTHERS: THE DISCUSSION ON INTERFACE.....	61
Identity: Language, Ethnicity, Culture and Schooling.....	61
Subversion: Alternative Perspective in Teaching and Learning.....	69
Paharis' Schooling: Relationship between the Center and Decenter/Deconstruction.....	75
Differance: The understanding of Pahari Schoolchildren.....	80
Skeptical Reality: The Decentered Pahari Schoolchildren	86
Paharis' Schooling: Hybridization of Interpretative Theoretical Schools... ..	88
Study group and study location.....	95
Data gathering	98
VI. FINDING, CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION.....	93
Major Findings and Conclusions	93
(a) Socialization/Enculturation Process of Pahari Children.....	93
(b) Identity: Language, Ethnicity, Culture and Schooling	94
© Subversion: Alternative Perspective in Teaching and Learning.....	95
(d) Paharis' Schooling: Relationship between the Center and Decenter/Deconstruction.....	96

(e) Differance: The Understanding of Pahari Schoolchildren	98
(f) Skeptical Reality: The Decentered Pahari Schoolchildren	99
TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)	
(g) Paharis' Schooling: Hybridization of Interpretative Theoretical Schools	99
Implications.....	100
REFERENCES	103
APPENDICES	116

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
Appendix 1 Exemplar Performance of Grade IV Students	116
Appendix 2 Checklist for Focus Group Discussion/Case Study	116
Appendix 3 Participants of Group Discussion.....	117

ACRONYMS

DEC	:	District Education Committee
ETC	:	Education Training Center
FGD	:	Focus Group Discussion
I/NGOs	:	International Non – government Organization
MOES	:	Ministry of Education and Sports
NCED	:	National Center for Educational Development
NEFIN	:	Nepal Federation of Indigenous Nationalities
NESAC	:	Nepal South Asia Center
NFDIN	:	National Foundation for Development of Indigenous Nationalities
NGO	:	Non-Government Organization
SLC	:	School Leaving Certificate
SMC	:	School Management Committee
WSSD	:	World Summit for Social Development