

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FERTILITY BETWEEN DALIT
AND NON DALIT WOMEN IN GAJURI VDC
OF DHADING DISTRICT**

**A Thesis Submitted to Central Department of Sociology, Faculty of
Humanities and Social Science in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement
for the Degree of Master of Arts in Sociology**

**Submitted by
Bishnu Prasad Pangen
T.U. Reg. No. 7-1-55-477-2000
Exam Roll No. 282849
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Nepal
March, 2017**

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU

LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This is to certify that Mr. Bishnu Prasad Pangeni has completed her dissertation entitled, **“A Comparative Study of Fertility Between Dalit and Non Dalit Women In Gajuri VDC of Dhading District”** under my guidance and supervision. I, therefore, recommend this dissertation for final evaluation and acceptance.

.....
Dr. Meena Devi Upreti
Research Supervisor
Central Department Sociology
Tribhuwan University, Kirtipur

TRIBHUVAN UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES
CENTRAL DEPARTMENT OF SOCIOLOGY
KIRTIPUR, KATHMANDU

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that this thesis entitled “**A Comparative Study of Fertility Between Dalit and Non Dalit Women In Gajuri VDC of Dhading District**” submitted by Mr. Bishnu Prasad Pangeni has been evaluated and approved for partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master’s degree in Sociology by the dissertation committee comprised of:

Evaluation Committee

.....

Prof. Dr. Tulsi Ram Pandey

Head of the Department

.....

Dr. Meena Devi Upreti

Internal Supervisor

.....

Prof. Dr. Tikaram Gautam

External Examiner

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this disertation entitled "A comparative study of fertility between Dalit and NonDalit woman in Gajuri VDC of Dhading District is my own research and that it contains no materials previously published. I have not used its materials for the award of any kind and any other degree.

Date:

.....

Bishnu Prasad Pangen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Meena Devi Upreti for her appropriate supervision, support and encouragement for the achievement of this thesis. Her moral support and insightful reflection made possible to give my work possibility.

I am equally thankful to Prof. Dr. Tulsi Ram Pandey, the head of the Central Department of Sociology.

Likewise, I extend my special thanks to my friend Mr. Suvash Khanal, Mr. Narayan Bhattarai and Durga Khanal for sharing his reading materials and references and to all my friends of sociology as well in advance.

At last but not least, I would like to express my utmost gratitude and appreciation to my father Tek Nath Pangeni, mother Sharada Pangeni and Life partner Ranjana Dahal without whom I would not have been able to complete my Masters Degree whose great source of inspiration always encourages me to step forward in my life. I am grateful to my son Pranjali Pangeni, sisters, brothers for my entire family members for frequent motivation and initiation.

Mr. Durka Man Maharjan (Durka Computer System, Kirtipur, Naya Bazar) also deserves thanks for typing this dissertation.

Finally, I don't want to state that this report is complete and perfectly satisfactory due to various limitations and short comings. I am alone responsible for all the short comings and valuable suggestions are most welcome for me. Thanks.

Bishnu Prasad Pangeni

March, 2017

ABSTRACT

Fertility of Dalit woman and non dalit woman in gajuri VDC-1, Dhading of their child bearing and given fertility at refers to birth per woman. This research shows the ptential for popiulation changes in the country. The Researcher want to measure of the lable of fertility. Differential of fertility is the study of fertility differences between Dalit and Non Dalit woman. This study is a quantative as well as qualitative study to analyze the fetility trend and socio-economic status of the Dalit and Non Dalit woman.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
CHAPTER - I: INTRODUCTION	1-9
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	5
1.3 Objectives of the Study	8
1.4 Significance of the Study	8
1.5 Organization of the Study	10
CHAPTER-II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	10-18
2.1 Review on theories	12
2.2 Empirical Literature	14
2.3 Implication of Review for the Study	17
2.4 Conceptual Framework	17
CHAPTER-III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	19-24
3.1 Research Design	19
3.2 Study Area and Population	19
3.3 Universe and Sampling	20
3.4 Nature and Sources of Data	20
3.5 Data Collection Tools and Technique	21
3.6 Analysis and Interpretation of Data	21
3.7 Limitation of the Study	21
3.8 Operational Definition of Key Terms	22
CHAPTER - IV: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	24-53
4.1. Population Distribution of Gajuri VDC by Wardwise	24
4.1.2 Age Structure of Respondents	25
4.1.3 Age at Marriage of Respondents Women	26
4.1.4 Educational Status of Respondents	27
4.1.5 Marital Status of Respondents	29
4.1.6 Occupational Status of Respondents by Caste	31
4.1.7 Household Facilities of Respondents	32

4.1.8	Knowledge and Use of Contraceptive Devices of Respondents	33
4.1.9	Religion of the Respondents	34
4.1.10	Preference of Son of Respondents	36
4.1.11	Family Structure of Respondents	36
4.1.12	Size of Landholding of Respondents	37
4.1.13	Approximate Income of Respondents Family	39
4.2	Caste Based Discrimination	40
4.2.1	Caste Based Discrimination Against Dalit	40
4.2.2	Openly Oppose Against the Discrimination Practices	41
4.2.3	Relation Between Dalits and Non Dalits	41
4.2.4	Place of Discrimination	42
4.2.5	Problems to Educate Children	42
4.3	Fertility Behaviours of the Respondents	43
4.3.1	Mean CEB and Age at Marriage of Respondents	43
4.3.2	Mean CEB and Age Group of Respondents	44
4.3.3	Mean CEB and Educational Status of Respondents	46
4.3.4	Mean and CEB and Marital Status of Respondents	48
4.3.5	Mean CEB by Occupational Status of Respondents	49
4.3.6	Mean CEB by Child Loss Experience of Dalit and Non Dalit Women	50
4.3.7	Mean CEB and Use of Contraception by Respondents and their Husbands	51

CHAPTER - V: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION **53-67**

5.1	Summary	53
5.2	Problem	57
5.3	Objectives	58
5.4	Methodology	58
5.5	Major Findings	58
5.6	Conclusion	58

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDIX

LIST OF TABLES

	Page No.
Table 4.1.1 : Population Distribution of Gajuri VDC by Wardwise	24
Table 4.1.2 : Distribution of Respondents women (15-49 years) by Five Age Group	25
Table 4.1.3 : Distributions of Respondents by Age at Marriage	27
Table 4.1.4 : Literacy and Educational Status by Caste of Study Population	28
Table 4.1.5 : Distribution of Respondents Women by Marital Status	30
Table 4.1.6 : Occupational Status of Respondents by Caste	31
Table 4.1.7 : Household Facilities of Respondents	32
Table 4.1.8 : Knowledge and Use of Contraceptive Devices of Respondents	33
Table 4.1.9 : Population Distribution by Religion	34
Table 4.1.10 : Distribution of Respondents by Preference to Son	36
Table 4.1.11 : Distribution of Household According to Family Structure	37
Table 4.1.12 : Size of Landholding of Respondents	38
Table 4.1.13 : Approximate Income of Respondents Family	39
Table 4.2.1 : Caste Discrimination in the Society	40
Table 4.2.2 : Openly Oppose Against the Discrimination Practices	40
Table 4.2.3 : Relation between Dalits and Non Dalits	41
Table 4.2.4 : Place of Discrimination	42
Table 4.2.5 : Problems to Educate Children	42
Table 4.3.1 : Mean CEB and Age at Marriage of Respondents	44
Table 4.3.2 : Mean CEB and Age Group of Respondents	45
Table 4.3.3 : Mean CEB and Respondent Educational Status	47
Table 4.3.6 : Mean CEB by Child Loss Experience of Dalit and Non Dalit Respondents	51
Table 4.3.7 : Mean CEB and Use of Contraception by Respondents and their Husbands	52

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page No.
Figure 4.1.1 : Population Distribution of Gajuri VDC by Wardwise	25
Figure 4.1.2 : Distribution of Respondents women (15-49 years) by Five Age Group	26
Figure 4.1.9 : Population Distribution by Religion	27
Figure 4.3.2 : Mean CEB and Age Group of Respondents	46
Figure 4.3.3 : Mean CEB and Respondent Educational Status	48
Figure 4.3.4 : Mean CEB by Marital Status of Respondents	49
Figure 4.3.5 : Mean CEB by Occupational Status of Respondents	50