PRACTICES OF FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS: A CASE OF BARDIYA DISTRICT

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

> Submitted by KumariJhumaKhadka

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
2017

PRACTICES OF FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS: A CASE OF BARDIYA DISTRICT

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of English Education In Partial Fulfilment for the Master of Education in English

Submitted by KumariJhumaKhadka

Faculty of Education
Tribhuvan University
Kirtipur, Kathmandu, Nepal
2017

T.U. Reg. No.: 9-2-324-128-2009 Date of Approval of

Fourth Semester Examination Thesis Proposals: 12-09-2016

Roll No: 280151 Date of Submission: 19-02-2017

RECOMMENDATION FOR ACCEPTANCE

This is to certify that **Miss KumariJhumaKhadka**has prepared this thesis entitled **Practices of Formative Assessment in Public Schools: A Case of Bardiya District**under my supervision.

| I recommend the | e thesis | for acc | eptance. |
|-----------------|----------|---------|----------|
|-----------------|----------|---------|----------|

Date: 19-02-2017

Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Supervisor)

Teaching Assistant

Department of English Education

T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Nepal

RECOMMENDATION FOR EVALUATION

Signature

This thesis has been recommended for evaluation by the following **ResearchGuidance Committee**:

| Dr. Anjana Bhattarai | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Professorand Head | |
| Chairperson | |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Mrs. Madhu Neupane | |
| Lecturer | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Supervisor) | |
| Teaching Assistant | Member |
| Department of English Education | |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | |

Date:12-09-2016

EVALUATION AND APPROVAL

This thesis has been evaluated and approved by the following **Thesis**

Evaluation and Approval Committee:

Date: 24-03-2017

| | | Signature |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | | |
| Dr. Ram Ekwal Singh | | |
| Readerand Head | | Chairperson |
| Department of English Education | | |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Dr. Tara Dutt Bhatt | | |
| ProfessorMember | | |
| Department of English Education | | |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Mr. Khem Raj Joshi (Supervisor) | | |
| Teaching Assistant | MemberDepartment o | f English Education |
| T.U., Kirtipur, Kathmandu | | |
| | | |

DECLARATION

| Kumari Ib | umaKhadka |
|---|------------|
| Date: 18-02-2017 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| university. | |
| university. | J |
| part of it was earlier submitted for the candidature of research degr | ee to any |
| I hereby declared that to the best of my knowledge this thesis is ori | iginal; no |

DEDICATION

Affectionately Dedicated

To

My parents

Who devoted their entire life for my study and made me what I am at present.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As a result of tireless and regular efforts, I have completed this study on "Practices of Formative Assessment in Public Schools: A Case of Bardiya District." I would not have been able to complete this thesis without support and guidance. So, I would like to express my sincere gratitude from the core of my heart to all the people who helped me directly and indirectly.

First of all, I am delighted to offer my sincere gratitude to my respected Guru and supervisor **Mr. Khem Raj Joshi**, Teaching Assistant, Department of English Education for his constant help, invaluable guidance and enlightening ideas through the study.

Similarly, my sincere thanks go to **Dr. Ram Ekwal Singh**, Head and readerand **Dr. Anjana Bhattarai**, Professor of the Department of English Education for continuous and constructive suggestions and encouragement to accomplish this study.

Likewise, this is a good time for me, to offer my sincere appreciation to all my gurus and gurumasnamely Prof. Dr. Govinda Raj Bhattarai, Prof. Dr. Anju Giri, Prof. Dr. Laxmi Bahadur Maharjan, Mr. Rajnarayan Yadav, Dr. Purna Bahadur Kadel, Mrs. Madhu Neupane, Mr. Bhes Raj Pokhrel, Mr. Laxmi Prasad Ojha, Mr. Ashok Sapkota, Mr. Resham Acharya, Mr. Guru Prasad Poudeland all others at the Department of Education for providing me invaluable suggestions, ideas, and encouragement for academic support.

Finally, I am extremely grateful to Mrs. MadhaviKhanal and Mrs. Nabina Shrestha, the librarian, department of English Education for providing me with necessary books and materials in the course of carrying out this research.

Moreover, I want to express my special thanks to all the Lower Secondary Level English Teachers of Bardiya District for providing me valuable data.

KumariJhumaKhadka

ABSTRACT

This research was an effort to identify and explore the practices of formative assessment and teachers' problems regarding practicing formative assessment in public schools. Specifically, formative assessment as a tool of assessing students' learning during the teaching and how lower secondary English teachers are practicing formative assessment in public schools for continuous evaluation of the students. To meet the objectives of the research, thirty informants were selected using non-random purposive sampling strategy from lower secondary level English teachers of public schools of Bardiya district. A questionnaire consisting of both closed-ended and open-ended questions was used as the data collection tool. The data were analyzed and interpreted descriptively and statistically. The result of the study revealed that all the teachers have positive attitudes on formative assessment. Similarly, all 100% teachers usedformative assessment and its tools like classwork, homework, weekly test, unit test, terminal exams, portfolio and project workto evaluate the different ability of the learners like physical, psychological and classroom participation.

The present study consists of five main chapters. The first chapter deals with the introduction of the study along with the background of the study, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations of the study and operational definitions of the key terms. The second chapter contains the review of related literature and conceptual framework. Similarly, the third chapter includes design of the study, population, sample and sampling strategy, research tools, sources of data, data collection procedures, data analysis procedures and ethical considerations. Likewise, the fourth chapter deals the analysis and interpretation of the data. Finally, chapter five comprises the findings, conclusion and recommendations in policy related, practice related and further research related. At the end, the references and appendices are included.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page No |
|---------------|---|---------|
| Declaration | | i |
| Recommende | ation for Acceptance | ii |
| Recommende | ation for Evaluation | iii |
| Evaluation a | nd Approval | iv |
| Dedication | | v |
| Acknowledge | ements | vi |
| Abstract | | vii |
| Table of Cor | atents | viii |
| List of Table | S | xii |
| Acronyms ar | nd Abbreviations | xiii |
| CHAPTER | ONE INTRODUCTION | 1-5 |
| 1.1 Backgro | and of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statemer | at of the Problem | 3 |
| 1.3 Objective | es of the Study | 4 |
| 1.4 Research | Questions | 4 |
| 1.5 Significa | nce of the Study | 4 |
| 1.6 Delimita | tions of the Study | 5 |
| 1.7 Operatio | nal Definitions of the Key Terms | 5 |
| CHAPTER | TWO: REVIEW OF RELATEDLITERATURE AND | |
| | CONCEPTUAL FRAMWORK | 6-16 |
| 2.1 Review of | of Theoretical Literature | 6 |
| 2.1.1 | Formative and Summative Assessment: An Introduction | 6 |
| 2.1.2 | Purposes of Formative Assessment in Language Teaching | 8 |
| 2.1.3 | Assessment Practices in Public Schools | 9 |
| 2.1.4 | Tools and Forms of Formative Assessment | 10 |
| 2.1.5 | Importance of Formative Assessment | 11 |
| 2.2 Review of | of Empirical Literature | 12 |
| 2.3 Implicati | ons of the Review for the Study | 14 |

| 2.4 Conceptual Framework | 16 |
|---|-------------------------|
| CHAPTER THREE: METHODS AND PROC | CEDURES OF |
| THE STUDY | 17-20 |
| 3.1 Design of the Study | 17 |
| 3.2 Population, Sample and Sampling Strategy | 18 |
| 3.3 Research Tools | 18 |
| 3.4 Sources of Data | 18 |
| 3.4.1 Primary Sources of Data | 18 |
| 3.4.2 Secondary Sources of Data | 18 |
| 3.5 Data Collection Procedures | 19 |
| 3.6 Data Analysis Procedures | 19 |
| 3.7 Ethical Considerations | 19 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS AND INTI | ERPRETATION OF |
| RESULT | 21-46 |
| 4.1 Practices of Formative Assessment in Public | e Schools 21 |
| 4.1.1 Familiarity of English Teachers with F | Formative Assessment 21 |
| 4.1.2 Involvement of Students in Classwork | 22 |
| 4.1.3 Means of Formative Assessment | 23 |
| 4.1.4 Ways of Checking Students' Homework | rk 23 |
| 4.1.5 Purposes of Checking Homework | 24 |
| 4.1.6 Involvement of Students in Project wo | ork 25 |
| 4.1.7 Roles of Teachers in Project work | 26 |
| 4.1.8 Ways of Using Weekly Test | 27 |
| 4.1.9 Ways of Assessing Students' Classwor | k 28 |
| 4.1.10 Practicing Formative Assessment | 29 |
| 4.1.11 More Focused Test in Formative Asse | essment 29 |
| 4.1.12 Practices of Formative Assessment du | uring the Process of |
| Students' Evaluation | 30 |
| 4.2 Teachers' Problems while Practicing Format | ive Assessment 31 |

| 4.2.1 | Experience of Facing Problems While Practicing Formative | |
|-----------|--|----------|
| A | ssessment | 32 |
| 4.2.2 | Problematic Aspects of Project work | 32 |
| 4.2.3 | Difficult Aspects Regarding Weekly Test | 33 |
| 4.2.4 | Problems on Practicing Classwork | 34 |
| 4.2.5 | Problematic Means of Formative Assessment | 35 |
| 4.2.6 | Problems Regarding Students' Assessment Through Homework | 36 |
| 4.2.7 | Problematic Areas | 37 |
| 4.2.8 | Experience of Problems Related to the Students | 37 |
| 4.2.9 | Ways of Solving the Problems of Formative Assessment | 38 |
| 4.3 Teach | ers' Responses Received by Open-ended Questions | 39 |
| 4.3.1 | Ways of Practicing Formative Assessment in Public Schools | 39 |
| 4.3.2 | Means of Formative Assessment used in Students' Assessment | t 40 |
| 4.3.3 | Maintenance of Students' Portfolio While Assessing Learning | 41 |
| 4.3.4 | Purposes of Formative Assessment | 42 |
| 4.3.5 | Roles of the Teachers for Effective Implementation of the | |
| | Formative Assessment | 42 |
| 4.3.6 | Problematic Areas of Formative Assessment Faced by ELT | |
| | Teachers | 43 |
| 4.3.7 | Problems on Test, Homework, Portfolio and Project work | 43 |
| 4.3.8 | Classroom Problems Faced by the Teachers while Assessing | |
| | Learning | 44 |
| 4.3.9 | Types of Support from the Staffs and Principal to Solve the | |
| | Problem of Formative Assessment | 45 |
| 4.3.1 | 0 Ways of Making Formative Assessment Effective Tool for the | ; |
| | Teaching and Learning | 45 |
| СНАРТ | ER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | 47-55 |
| 5.1 Sumr | naries of Finding | 47 |
| 5.1.1 | Summary of Finding Regarding the Practices of Formative | |

| Assessment in Public Schools | 47 |
|---|----|
| 5.1.2 Summary of Finding Regarding the Problems of Practicing | |
| Formative Assessment Faced by the ELT Teachers in | |
| Public Schools | 48 |
| 5.2 Conclusion | 50 |
| 5.3 Recommendations | 52 |
| 5.3.1 Policy Related | 52 |
| 5.3.2 Practice Related | 53 |
| 5.3.3 Further Research Related | 54 |
| References | |
| Appendices | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page No: |
|---------------|---|----------|
| Table 1-Resp | ponses on Familiarity of English Teachers with Format | ive |
| | Assessment | 22 |
| Table 2 – Re | esponses on Involvement of Students in Classwork | 22 |
| Table 3 -Res | sponses on Means of Formative Assessment | 23 |
| Table 4– Res | sponses on Ways of Checking Students' Homework | 24 |
| Table 5 - Res | sponses on Purposes on Checking Homework | 25 |
| Table 6 -Res | sponses on Involvement of Students in Project work | 26 |
| Table 7 - Res | sponses on Roles of Teachers in Project work | 26 |
| Table 8 - Res | sponses on Ways of Using Weekly Test | 27 |
| Table 9 - Res | sponses on Ways of Assessing Students' Classwork | 28 |
| Table 10 - Re | esponses on Practicing Formative Assessment | 29 |
| Table 11- Re | esponses on More Focused Test in Formative Assessme | ent 30 |
| Table 12- Re | esponses on Practices of Formative Assessment during | the |
| | Process of Students' Evaluation | 31 |
| Table 13 - Re | esponses on Experience of Facing Problems while | |
| | PracticingFormative Assessment | 32 |
| Table 14 - Re | esponses on Problematic Aspects of Project work | 33 |
| Table 15 - Re | esponses on Difficult Aspects Regarding Weekly Test | 33 |
| Table 16 - Re | esponses on Practicing Classwork | 34 |
| Table 17 - Re | esponses on Problematic Means of Formative Assessm | ent 35 |
| Table 18 - Re | esponses on Problems Regarding the Students' Assessn | nent |
| | through Homework | 36 |
| Table 19 - Re | esponses on Problematic Areas | 37 |
| Table 20 - Re | esponses on Experiences of Problems Related to | |
| | The Students | 38 |
| Table 21 - Re | esponses on Ways of Solving the Problems of Formativ | ve |
| | Assessment | 38 |

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Prof. - Professor

M.Ed. - Master of Education

T.U. - Tribhuvan University

p. - Page

No. - Number

ELT - English Language Teaching

etc. - et cetera (other similar things)

NCF - National Curriculum Framework

CAS - Continuous Assessment System

ibid. - in the same book

et al. - et alli/allia(and other people)

e.g. - for example

i.e. - that is/that is to say

S.N. - Serial Number

Vol. - Volume

/ - Or

& - and

% - Percentage