

**SOCIO ECONOMIC IMPACT OF REMITTANCE IN PUTHA  
UTTARGANGA RURAL MUNICIPALITY (WARD NO 10), EAST RUKUM**

**A Thesis Submitted to  
The Central Department of Rural Development,  
Tribhuvan University,  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of  
Master of Arts (M.A)  
In  
Rural Development**

**By  
LOKENDRA BUDHA  
Exam Roll. No.281170  
T.U. Registration No. 6-2-0054-0004-2013  
Central Department of Rural Development  
Tribhuvan University**

**Kirtipur, Kathmandu  
Feb, 2020**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled **Socio Economic Impact of Remittance in Putha Uttarganga Rural Municipality Ward no. 10, East Rukum**, submitted to the Central Department of Rural Development, Faculty of Humanities and Social Science, Tribhuvan University, is utterly and only my original work prepared under the guidance and supervision of my supervisor. I have made due acknowledgement to all ideas and information borrowed from different sources in the course of preparing this thesis. The result of this thesis have been presented or submitted anywhere else for the award of any degree or for any other purposes. I assure that no part of content of this thesis has been published in any form before.

.....

Lokendra Budha

T.U Regd No. 6-2-0054-0004-2013

Central Department of Rural Development

Tribhuvan, University

Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date: 2076-10-20

3<sup>rd</sup> Feb, 2020.

## LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This thesis entitled **Socio Economic Impact of Remittance in Putha Uttarganga Rural Municipality Ward no.10 East Rukum** has been prepared by Mr. Lokendra Budha under my supervision. I hereby recommend this thesis for examination by the thesis committee as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts in Rural Development.

.....  
Mr. Bishnu B. Khatri  
Associate Professor  
Thesis Supervisor  
Central Department of Rural Development  
Tribhuvan, University  
Kirtipur, Kathmandu

Date: 2076-10-21

4<sup>th</sup> Feb 2020

## **APPROVAL LETTER**

The thesis entitled **Socio Economic Impact of Remittance in Putha Uttarganga Rural Municipality ward no. 10, East Rukum** submitted by Lokendra Budha in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Masters Degree (M.A.) in Rural Development has been evaluated and approved by the evaluation committee.

### **Evaluation Committee**

.....  
Prof. Dr. Pushpa Kamal Subedi  
Head of Department

.....  
Associate Prof. Bishnu Bahadur Khatri  
Thesis Supervisor

.....  
External Examiner  
Dr. Ratna Mani Nepal

Date: 2076-10-28

11<sup>th</sup> Feb, 2020

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank my thesis supervisor Bishnu Bahadur K.C. Associate Professor, Central Department of Rural Development (CDRD), Tribhuvan University, Kirtipur whose valuable guidance, suggestion and instruction are very essential to carry out this research work.

Also, I want to thank Professor Dr. Pushpa Kamal Subedi, Head of Department of Rural Development, T.U. Kirtipur and thanks to my all teacher, staff of Central Department of Rural Development from whom I learnt many ideas and knowledge that provided me academic support in my study.

Similarly, I am very much indebted to my parents, father Dhaniman Budha and mother Singarmala Budha who always believed in me and for their continuous support and encouragement for me to reach up to this point. I am also thankful to my sister Surpee Magar and relatives who directly and indirectly supported and encouraged me to complete my study.

Lastly, I would like to thanks all my friends and respondents of the study area. At last I would like to remember my friend Ijesh Maharjan, Subodh Sharma Adhakari, Uncle Bhimlal Magar and Brother Deepak Magar for their help in the process of collection of data and for the preparation of this thesis.

Lokendra Budha

Feb, 2020

## ABSTRACT

The study **Socio- Economic Impact of Remittance in Putha Uttarganga Rural Municipality ward no.10, East Rukum** tries to assess the social and economic impact and utilization pattern of remittance in study area. The study is mainly based on primary data. The primary information was collected through direct personal interview with remittance receiver family members and focus group discussions techniques. For this open-ended questionnaire was made. 90 sample households were selected by purposive sampling method. The households were those from where at least one family member are working in foreign country or who worked in foreign country and have returned as a labor migration.

The study shows that remittance has increased their access to education as most of them send their children to private school and some has even send their children to foreign country for further study. It has also increased their access to health checkup, brought improvements in sanitation, increased in income, saving and expenditure. In the context of utilization pattern, the major bulk of remittance was found used in consumption of goods, repayment of loans and for education of their children. After expending in these field, remaining money was found used in unproductive sectors i.e. land, give loan to others, keep with self, deposit in self-help group and few of them were found running a retail shop, tailor and poultry farm. Besides these, some negative impact were also found. With the receiving of remittance they sooner or later migrate to urban areas for better life as a result their land remain barren and unused. Remittance has encouraged them to buy necessary goods which they used to produce themselves. Also their involvement in agriculture and animal husbandry was found decreased due to the shortage of active human resource as most of the youth has gone abroad for work. It means that rural development has negatively impacted.

Hence, finally the study concludes that comparatively remittance has brought positive impact on socio-economic status of remittance receiving households and most importantly remittance was seen as an important financial source for investment in human capital i.e. education, health and hygiene.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>APPROVAL LETTER</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>ACRONYMS/ ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-5</b>
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
1.4 Significance of the Study	4
1.5 Limitations of the Study	4
1.6 Organization of the Study	5
<b>CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>6-13</b>
2.1 General Review	6
2.2 Review of Theoretical Literatures	6
2.3 Review of Empirical Literatures	9
2.4 Review of Nepalese Case Study	11
<b>CHAPTER-III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>14-15</b>
3.1 Research Design	14
3.2 Selection of the Study Area	14
3.3 Nature and Sources of Data	14
3.4 Sampling Procedure and Sample Size	15
3.6 Techniques and Tools of Data Collection	15
3.7 Data Analysis and Interpretation	15

## **CHAPTER – IV DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION 16-29**

4.1	General Information of Respondents	16
4.1.1	Age and Sex Structure of the Respondents	16
4.1.2	Educational Status of Respondents	16
4.1.3	Occupational Status of Respondents	17
4.1.4	Age and Sex Structure of Migrants	18
4.1.5	Ethnicity/Caste Composition of Migrants	19
4.1.6	Reasons for Going Aboard	19
4.1.7	Country of Work	20
4.1.8	Cost of Going	21
4.2	Social Impact of Remittance	21
4.2.1	Education	21
4.2.2	Health Status	23
4.2.3	Culture	23
4.2.4	Sanitation and Hygiene	24
4.3	Economic Impact of Remittance	24
4.3.1	Income Status of Respondents	24
4.3.2	Property Ownership of Respondents	25
4.3.3	Agriculture and Livestock activities of Respondents	26
4.3.4	Annual Expenditure of respondents	26
4.3.5	Annual Saving of Respondents	27
4.4	Utilization Pattern of Remittance	28

## **CHAPTER – V SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND**

### **SUGGESTION**

**30-34**

5.1	Summary of Major Findings	30
5.2	Conclusion	33
5.3	Recommendations	33

## **REFERENCES**

## **QUESTIONNAIRES**



## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page No.</b>
Table 1 Age and Sex Structure of the Respondents	16
Table 2 Educational Status of Respondents	17
Table 3 Occupational Status of Respondents	17
Table 4 Age and Sex Structure of Migrants	18
Table 5 Ethnicity/Caste Composition of Migrants	19
Table 6 Reasons for Going Abroad	19
Table 7 Country for Work	20
Table 8 Cost for Going Abroad	21
Table 9 Education	22
Table 10 Health Status	23
Table 11 Income Status of Respondents	24
Table 12 Property Ownership of Respondents	25
Table 13 Annual Expenditure of Respondents	26
Table 14 Annual Saving of Respondents	27

## **ACRONYMS / ABBREVIATIONS**

CBS	:	Central Bureau of Statistics
DoFE	:	Department of Foreign Employment
FDI	:	Foreign Direct Investment
FGDs	:	Focus Group Discussion
FY	:	Fiscal Year
GCC	:	Gulf Cooperation Council
IMF	:	International Monetary Fund
GDP	:	Gross Domestic Product
GNP	:	Gross National Product
LMICs	:	Low and Middle Income Countries
NPR	:	Nepalese Rupee
NRB	:	Nepal Rastra Bank
ODA	:	Official Development Assistance
PCI	:	Per Capita Income
SLC	:	School Leaving Certificate
VDC	:	Village Development Committee