## CHAPTER - I <br> INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the study

Commercial banks are major financial institutions, which occupy quite an important place in the economy because through the deposits they collect they provide much needed capital for the development of industry, trade and business and other deficit sectors, thereby contributing to the economic growth of the nation.

Investment in the actual sense refers to the sacrifice of current dollars for future dollars (Sharpe; 1986: 9). Investment involves two attributes, time and risk. The sacrifice takes place in the present and is certain. The reward comes later, and the magnitude of which is uncertain. In some cases the element of time predominates (for example, government bonds). In other case, risk is more dominant (for example call option on common stock). In yet others, both time and risk play a dominant role (for example share of common stock).

Investment is the use of money to earn profit. It can be said that investment is concerned with the proper management of the investor's wealth, which are the sum of the current income and the present value of all future income. Fund to be invested come from assets already owned, borrowed money and saving or foregone consumption. By foregoing today and investing the saving, investors expect to enhance their future consumption possibilities i.e. the fund is invested to increase wealth. Investors also seek to manage their wealth effectively obtaining the most from it, while protecting it from inflation, taxes and other possible harms.

Investment policy involves determining the investor's objectives and the amount of his or her investable wealth. It is not appropriate for an investor to say that his objective is to make a lot of money (Clarke; 1989: 10). What is appropriate for an investor in this situation is to state that the objective is to earn a profit while recognizing that there exist some chances of incurring large losses. Investment objectives should be stated in terms of both risk and returns i.e. an investor seeking higher returns must be willing to face higher levels of risk. Therefore, it should be further clarified on the basis of risk, time horizon and purposes.

An investor's wealth maximization objective may change over a time. The objective depends on the investor's need (purpose) and attitude. For example, a newly married couple's objective may be to maximize their wealth rapidly and for this, they may be ready to face high levels of risk to achieve this objective. They may invest in high risk and high return securities. After a few years this couples' investment objective may be to invest for their children's education. For this purpose, they would prefer to invest in relatively low risk, low return assets like bonds which can be liquidated (matured) when their children come to the age of higher education. Again, at an elder age, this couple's investment objective may be to invest in a 'retirement plan'. They can invest in common stock with a growth potential besides the investment in bonds.

Furthermore, to meet the emergency expenses, investment can be made in highly liquid and short-term securities like treasury bill, commercial paper, certificate of deposit etc.

These objectives guide the investment purpose, time and the type of securities. To meet the educational expenses of the children, a bond financing will be better and a person can invest in the bond maturing at the time when the children start their college. For retirement planning, the person can invest in common stocks with growth potential and short-term highly liquid securities for emergency expenses.

Thus, investment objectives of an individual investor depend on his or her specific needs, time and attitude towards risk.

Investment promotes economic growth and contributes to a nation's wealth. When people deposit money in the bank, the bank may invest by lending the funds to various businesses. These firms in return may invest in new factories and equipment to increase their production and efficiency. In addition to borrowing from banks, most companies issue stocks and bonds, which they sell to investors to raise capital needed for business expansion. Government also issues bonds, to raise required funds to invest in various projects. Nepal Rastra Bank on behalf of Nepal Government issues bonds, treasury bills to finance the long term and short-term needs of the government. All such investment by
individuals, business, government and government entities involve a present sacrifice of income to get an expected future benefit.

The real talent of an investor primarily lies in selecting proper or suitable area for investment with low or moderate risk. Investment policy should ensure minimum risk and maximum profit from lending.

## Profile of Sample Banks

## i) NABIL Bank Ltd.

NABIL Bank Ltd. (erstwhile Nepal Arab Bank Ltd.) was established on July 12th 1984 under a technical service agreement with Dubai Bank Ltd., Dubai, which was later, merged with Emirate Bank Ltd., Dubai. NABIL is the first and major joint venture bank in the country with key points of representation all over Nepal. The bank is managed by a team of qualified and highly experienced professionals.

The shareholding are distributed as follows:

- $50 \%$ is owned by N.B. International Limited, Ireland.
- $20 \%$ is owned by local financial institutions and
- $\quad 30 \%$ by the Nepalese public.

NABIL is amongst the most successful joint venture organizations in Nepal registering strong growth in balance sheet footing as well as profits year after year. The initial capital of Rs. 30 million, invested in 1984, has grown to Rs. 1482 million as at mid July 2007.

The bank provides a complete range of personal, commercial and corporate banking and related financial services through its 16 branches and 2 airport counters, the largest number of braches amongst any JVB"s in Nepal. The bank was able to receive "Bank of Year 2004" award from Financial Times London.

The bank has been a pioneer in introducing modern banking and numerous innovative products into Nepal. It was the first to introduce consortium finance in Nepal. NABIL is the sole banker to a multitude of International Aid Agencies,

NGO's, Embassies and consulates in the Kingdom, which is a compliment to its image and servicing capabilities. NABIL was the first bank to issue credit card in Nepal. NABIL has correspondent banking relationship with banks in 47 countries. NABIL is a member of SWIFT. It has also been providing ATM facilities to its account holders.

## ii) Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd.

Nepal Grindlays Bank Ltd. (recently named Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd.) was established in 1987 A.D. as a joint venture bank with $50 \%$ of the equity share capital originally owned by ANZ Grindlays Bank, UK that managed and controlled overall activities of the bank. The bank has made significant contribution in the Nepalese banking sector since its inception.

In August 2000, the ownership of ANZ Grindlays Bank, U.K. was transferred to SCB, Australia. Since then, the bank is being managed and controlled by SCBL Australia, as Standard Chartered Bank Nepal Ltd. (SCBNL) in Nepal. SCBL holds $50 \%$ of total equity capital investment. Out of $35 \%$ of the total equity share capital that was held by NBL, Standard Chartered Bank, UK, now has bought $25 \%$. The general public holds the remaining $25 \%$ shares.

The bank is being managed under joint venture \& technical services agreement (T.S.A.) signed between SCB and Nepalese promoters. The bank has been providing various banking services to its customers through its braches nation wide. It has four branches including its main branch / corporate office in the Kathmandu valley. The bank is well equipped with the latest technology in the banking sector. It leads the Nepalese list in the best 500 banks of Asia as voted by Fortune magazine. It has some of the best banking professionals in the banking industry in Nepal.

The present capital structure of SCBNL is shown below.
(Rs. in million)

| Authorized equity share central | 339.54 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Issued Capital | 339.54 |
| Paid up Capital | 339.54 |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL .

The primary objective of these JVB's is always to earn profit by investing or granting loan and advances to people associated with trade, business, industry etc.

### 1.2 Statement of the Problem

Commercial banks have huge collection from depositors. Effective utilization of collected fund is possible only through sound investment policy. Most Nepalese commercial banks have not formulated their investment policies in organized manner. They mainly rely upon the instructions and guidelines issued by NRB. They are unable to estimate the future; they hardly have any clear view towards investment policy. Furthermore, the implementation of policy is not much effective way. The main reason attributed to unsound investment policy are lack of proper analysis on financial risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, business risk etc. Joint Venture Banks have become a role model in the improvement of fund mobilization.

The problem that still persists for a bank even today is to find a proper and viable project to ensure healthy profit. They have always feared high degree of risk and uncertainty owing to lack of profitable sectors for their investment.

Still, some emerging and existing commercial banks are tempted to invest, without proper credit analysis and on personal guarantee. Some have even sanctioned loan to customers beyond customer's real requirement. The high liquidity position of banks has resulted in a decrease in investment in productive sectors.

Thus, the present study will make a modest attempt to analyze investment policy of two commercial banks, NABIL and SCBNL. This study basically deals with the following issues of Commercial Banks .
i) Are these commercial banks properly utilizing their available funds?
ii) How effective are these banks funds mobilization and investment policies?
iii) Is there any relationship between investment and total deposits as well as loans
and advances with net profit of the banks?

### 1.3 Objective of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to assess the investment policy and strategies followed by NABIL and SCBNL. The specific objectives of this study are given below:

* To explore the investment policies of sampled banks .
* To evaluate the different financial ratios regarding investment policies like liquidity, asset management, profitability, risk position, liquidity and growth ratios.
* To identify the effectiveness of sampled organization regarding formulation and implementation of investment policies.
* To forecast the trend of deposits, investment, net profit and loan and advances, for next five years for SCBNL and NABIL.


### 1.4 Significance of the Study

Investment activity is the life-blood of any financial institution, since only accumulating deposits has no meaning. Better return can be ensured only when deposits are properly mobilized through sound investment policy.

This study "Comparative Analysis of Investment policy" of two major commercial banks will provide a useful feedback to academic institutions, bank employees, trainees, investors, policy making bodies and those concerned with banks in the formulation of appropriate strategies for improving the performance of banks.

### 1.5 Limitations of the Study

Like every research study, this study also has some limitations viz-inadequate coverage of commercial banks, time period taken and other variables. The following factors are the basic limitations.

1) This study is based on secondary data collected from the banks, particularly based on data gathered from the published annual
report of the two banks along with NRB directives issued from time to time.
2) This study is limited to only a period of five years of the concerned banks and hence the conclusion drawn only confines to the above period (F.Y. 2002/2003 to 2006/2007).
3) This study deals with only two banks i.e. NABIL and SCBNL. Other commercial banks have not been considered in this study.
4) The samples have been drawn at random for convenience, so there may exit some sampling error. And the sample size may not be sufficient to generalize the findings.

### 1.6 Organization of the Study

The chapter has been divided into following five chapters.

## Chapter One: Introduction

The first chapter is introduction which includes the basic understanding of the problem. The chapter includes background of the study, profile of the sample bank, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, limitation of the study and organization of the study.

## Chapter Two: Review of Literature

The second chapter is about review of literature, which deals with the study of related articles, journals, reports and past thesis writing.

## Chapter Three: Research Methodology

The third chapter concentrates on research methodologies, techniques that are applied to collect and analyze the data. This chapter includes research design, populations and sample, nature and sources of data and analysis of data.

## Chapter Four: Data Presentation and Analysis

The fourth chapter is Presentation and Analysis of data, where financial tools and statistical tools are used in the analysis of data. Financial tool mainly consists of ratio analysis, which involves-liquidity ratio, asset management ratio, profitability ratio, risk ratio and growth ratio. Statistical tools used in the analysis of data involve co-relation analysis, trend analysis and test of hypothesis. This chapter also provides major findings on the study.

## Chapter Five: Summary, Conclusion and Recommendations

The fifth chapter presents with summary, conclusion and recommendation of the study, bibliography and appendix are included at the end of the study.

## CHAPTER - II

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This part of the study tries to review the literature related to the study. The chapter includes main two section, the first section deals with the conceptual framework of the study while the second section deals with review of previous study i.e. Books, article, journals, dissertations etc.

### 2.1 Conceptual framework

"Commercial Bank is a corporation which accepts demand deposits subject to check and makes short-term loans to business enterprises, regardless of the scope of its other services". (American Institute of Banking; 1972:325)

Commercial Bank Act 1975 AD (2031 BS) defines, "A commercial bank is one which exchange money, deposits money, accepts deposits, grant loans and performs commercial banking functions and which is not a bank meant for cooperative, agriculture, industries or for such specific purpose". (Commercial Bank Act; 2031: PM)

The Commercial bank has its own role and contributions in the economic development. It is a resource for the economic development, it maintains economic confidence of various segments and extends credit to people. (Grywinshki;1991:87)

Commercial bank deals with others money. They have to find ways of keeping their asset liquid so that they could meet the demand of their customers. Liquidity is the lifeline of bank. Any bank perceived to be illiquid cannot attract deposit from the public. Inadequate liquidity does damage credit standing of those organizations, but if banks fail to repay the deposits on demand, the bank loses the trust of the public. This leads to "runs" in the bank and probably bankruptcy thereof. Trade off between liquidity and profitability is thus a crucial task for any bank. Satisfactory trade off is possible through correct prediction of liquidity needs and judicious distribution of resources in various forms of liquid and high earning assets.

The main function of commercial bank is concerned with the accumulation of the temporarily idle money of the general public to advance it to deficit sections i.e. trade and commerce for expenditure. Its main functions are:
$>$ Accepting various types of deposits;
> Lending money in various productive sectors;
$>$ Letter of credit (LC)
$>$ Guarantee (G'tee)
> Remittance
$>$ Bills
> Others

Hence, a commercial bank can be defined as a "Financial department store", which renders a host of financial services besides taking deposits and giving loans.

### 2.1.1. Features of a sound lending and Investment Policy

The income and profit of a financial institution depends upon to its lending procedure, lending policy and investment of its fund in different securities. A sound lending and investment policy is not only pre-requisite for bank's profitability but also of utmost significance for the promotion of commercial savings of an under developed and backward country like Nepal.

The factors that banks must consider for sound lending and investment policies are explained as under:

## a) Safety and Security

Banks should buy investment rated securities only. It should abstain form investing its fund in those securities, which are subject to greater depreciation and fluctuation for example common stock, since a little difference may result in a great loss. It must not advance its funds to speculative business, which may earn millions in a minute or may become bankrupt the next minute. Since risk is overpriced during recession and under priced during boom banks should invest in medium grade and high-grade securities during recession and boom respectively. Banks should buy securities, which are commercially durable, marketable and
have high market price. In this regard, "MAST" should be followed while investing,

$$
\text { Where, } \quad \begin{array}{rll}
\text { M } & =\text { Marketability } \\
\text { A } & =\text { Ascertainability } \\
\text { S } & =\text { Stability } \\
\text { T } & =\text { Transferability }
\end{array}
$$

## b) Liquidity

Liquidity is defined as bank's capacity to pay cash in exchange of deposits. People deposit their money in banks because they believe that the bank will repay their money on demand. In order to retain good credit standing and trust and confidence of its customers every banks must maintain enough liquidity to meet its various obligations.

## c) Profitability

Commercial banks can maximize its volume of wealth through maximization of return on their investments and lending. They must invest their fund in viable sectors where they can earn maximum profit. Their return depends upon the interest rate, volume of loan, duration of the loan and nature of investment in different securities.

## d) Purpose of Loan

It is very important to be reminded that most of the bank failures in the world are due to shrinkage in the value of loan and advances. The first substantive question a banker must examine is how loan proceeds will be used. If the loan purpose conflicts with commercial policy, such as loan for some speculative purpose not acceptable to the banker such loans should not be processed. If customers misuse their borrowings, there is risk involved in repayment and the bank will incur heavy bad debts. Detailed information about the plan and scheme of project should be collected and examined before borrowing.

## e) Diversification

Investment and credit concentrated on same geographical region, same sector of business and few customers increase the risk. Hence the policy should fix a cap on all these aspect. As the saying goes " A bank should not
put all its eggs in the same basket", therefore, in order to minimize the risk, a bank should diversify its investment in different securities. This diversification or portfolio investment helps to earn good return and at the same time minimize the risks and uncertainty.

## f) Legality

A commercial bank must follow the rules and regulations and statuary directives issued by Nepal Rastra Bank, Ministry of Finance and others while issuing securities and mobilizing their funds. In Nepal, NRB restricts financial institution licensed by it to invest in securities of each other.

### 2.2. Review of Previous Studies

### 2.2.1 Review of Journal/Article

Under this heading some related articles published in different books, economic journals, World Bank Bulletin, magazines, newspaper have been examined and reviewed.

Shiba Raj Shrestha (1998) in his article "Portfolio Management in commercial Bank, Theory and practice" has emphasized that portfolio management is essential for individual and institutional investors. Though in the case of small investor as they are not left with much of an option it may be limited to small savings, but for large investors, diversification through investment in mutual funds, shares, debentures should be practiced as any rational investor would seek to derive the maximum return on investment although assuming some risk at the same time. A best mix of investment assets fulfilling the under mentioned aspects are preferred by prudent (large) investors. They are:
a) Higher return which is comparable with alternative opportunities available not undermining the risk taking capability of the investor.
b) Adequate liquidity with sufficient safety and profitability of investment.
c) Maximum tax concessions.
d) Certain capital gain and flexibility of investment.
e) Economic, efficient and effective mix of investment etc.

With these in view, the following strategies needs to be adopted:

1) To have a portfolio of different securities and not just holding a single security.
2) Don't put all the eggs in the same basket. (For instance don't invest in a single company or single sector). Diversification of investment should be practiced for adequate safety, liquidity and profitability.
3) Choose such a portfolio of securities, which ensures maximum return with low degree of risk and uncertainty.

Shrestha has put forward the following approach to be adopted for designing and managing good portfolio.
a) Search investment assets (generally securities), which have scope for better returns, depending upon individual characteristics like age, health, need deposition, liquidity and tax liability etc.
b) To identify variety of securities for investment to reduce volatility of returns and risk.
c) To develop alternative investment strategies for selecting a better portfolio which will ensure a trade off between risk and return so as to attain the primary objective of wealth maximization at lowest risk.
d) To find out the risk of the securities depending upon the attitude of investor towards risk.

Shrestha has also recommended that banks in order to succeed in portfolio management should have skilled manpower, research and analysis team, and proper management information system. He has suggested that the banks having international network can also offer access to global financial markets.

He has also stressed that:

1) The survival of every bank depends upon its own financial health and various activities.
2) In order to develop and expand the portfolio management activities successfully the investment management methodology of a
portfolio manager should reflect high standard and give their clients the benefits of global strength, local insights and prudent philosophy.
3) The Nepalese banks having greater network and access to national and international capital market have to go for portfolio management activities for the increment of their fee based income as well as to enrich their fund based income and to contribute to the national economy.

Bodhi R. Bajracharya (1991) in his article "Monetary Policy and Deposit Mobilization in Nepal" writes "Mobilization of domestic savings is one of the prime objectives of the monetary policy in Nepal and for this purpose, commercial banks stood as the active and vital financial intermediary for generating resources in the form of deposit of the private sector and providing credit to the investors in different aspects of the economy.

Dr. Sunity Shrestha (1997) in her article " Lending operation of commercial banks of Nepal and its impact on G.D.P. has presented an objective to make an analysis of contribution of commercial banks lending to the G.D.P. of Nepal. She has set hypothesis that there has been positive impact of commercial bank lending to the G.D.P. in research methodology she has considered G.D.P as the dependent variable and various sectors of lending viz. Agriculture, Industrial, Commercial service, general and social sectors as independent variables. A multiple regression technique has been applied to analyze the contribution. The multiple analyses have shown that all the variables except service sector lending have positive impact on G.D.P. While concluding, she has accepted the hypothesis i.e., there has been positive impact by the lending of commercial banks in various sectors of economy except service sector economy.

Mr. Ramesh Lal Shrestha in his article "A study on deposits and credits of commercial bank in Nepal" concluded that the credit deposit ratio would be $51.30 \%$ other things remaining the same in Nepal, which was the lowest under the period of review. He strongly recommended that the commercial banks should try to give more emphasis on entering new field as far as possible, otherwise they might not be able to absorb even the total expense.

Mr. Bhaskar Sharma (2000) in his article "Banking the future on competition has highlighted that majority of commercial banks are being established and have operation in urban areas only. They have shown no interest to open branches in rural areas. The branches of NBL and RBB are only running in those sectors. The commercial banks are charging higher interest rate on lending, they are offered maximum tax concession, they do not property analyze the credit system.

According to Mr. Sharma "Due to lack of investment avenues, banks are tempted to invest without proper credit approval and on personal guarantee, whose negative side effects would show true colors only after four or five years" He has further added that private banks have mushroomed only in urban areas where large volume of banking transaction and activities are possible.

Mr. Shekhar Bahadur Pradhan (1996) in his article "Deposit mobilization its problem and prospects" points out that deposit in the lifeblood of every financial institution. The latest financial/accounting figures of most bank and financial companies produce a strong feeling that serious review must be made with regards to problem and prospect of deposit sectors. Leaving a few joint venture banks other organization rely heavily on the business deposit and credit disbursement.

Mr. Pradhan has highlighted the following problems of deposit mobilization in the Nepalese context.

1) Most Nepalese people do not go for institutional savings due to lack of adequate knowledge. They are much used to savings in the form of cash and ornaments. Their half heartedness to deal with institutional system is governed by the lower level of understanding about financial organization process, withdrawal system, availability of deposit facilities and so on.
2) Unavailability of institutional services in rural areas.
3) Due to lesser office hours of banking system, people prefer holding cash in their personal possession.
4) Improper mobilization and improvement of the employment of deposits towards various sectors.

For proper deposit mobilization, he has recommended the following:

1) Provide sufficient institutional services in the rural areas.
2) Cultivate the habit of using rural banking unit.
3) Add service hours to the bank.
4) NRB should organize training programs to develop skilled manpower.
5) Spreading co-operatives to rural areas to develop mini-branch service.

Mr. Bhagat Bista (2048) in his research paper "Nepalma Adhunik Banking Byabastha" has made an attempt to highlight some of the important indicators, which have contributed to the efficiency and performance of joint venture banks. He writes that the establishment of JVB's a decade ago marks the beginning of modern banking era in Nepal. The JVB's have brought in many new banking techniques such as computerized hypothecation, consortium finance and modern fee based activities into the economy. This is indeed a significant milestone in the financial development process of the economy.

Dr. Govinda Bahadur Thapa (1994) in his research paper "Financial system of Nepal" holds the view that the commercial banks including joint venture banks are performing pretty well in the area of deposits mobilization. Loans and advance of these banks are also increasing. In comparison to the credit needs of the newly emerging industries, the banks still seem to lack adequate funds. The banks are increasing their lending to non-traditional sectors along with the traditional sectors.

NBL and RBB are operating under nominal profit, have negative net worth, with profits turning negative from time to time owing to non-recovery of interest. The margin between interest income and interest expense is decreasing. In traditional off balance sheet operations, these banks have not been able to increase their income from commissions and discounts. To add to the crisis, these banks have to bear a heavy burden of personal and administrative overheads. At the same time due to accumulated overdue and defaulting loans, profitability of these banks has been seriously affected.

Dr. Thapa also points out that the foreign JVB's have been functioning in an extremely efficient manner. They are enjoying huge profits year after year and
have been distributing large amount of bonus and dividends to its employees and shareholders. Through effective persuasion for loan recovery even due and defaulting loans have been limited resulting in high margins between interest income and interest expenses. Similarly concentration of these banks to modern off balance sheet operations and efficient human resource management has added to the maximization of their profits.

He concludes by saying that due to the very nature of public sector the domestic banks could not compete with the private sector banks. The only remedy for such banks is to hand over the ownership as well as the management of these banks to the private hands.

Dr. Radhe S Pradhan (1994) has conducted his research on "Financial management and practices in Nepal. The survey mainly dealt with the financial functions, sources and types of financing, financing decisions involving debt, effect of change in taxes on capital structure, financial distress, dealing with banks and dividend policy.

The major findings of the study concerned with financial management are given as:

1) Bank borrowing and retained earnings are the two most widely used financing sources.
2) The enterprises have a definite performance for bank loans at a lower level of debt.
3) Most enterprises do not borrow from one bank only and they do switch between banks, which ever offer best interest rates.
4) Most enterprises find that banks are flexible in interest rates and convenience.
5) Generally, there is no definite time to borrow the issue stocks, that is majority of respondents are unable to predict when the interest rate will be lower or will go up. They are unable to predict when the stock will go up or down.

### 2.2.2. Review of Dissertations

Prior to this, several thesis works has been attempted by previous students regarding various aspects of commercial banks like financial performance, lending policy, investment policy, resource mobilization, capital structure etc. Among them some research those that were found relevant for this study are presented below:

Khadka (1998) in his thesis work entitled "A study on the Investment Policy of Nepal Arab Bank Ltd in comparison to other joint venture banks of Nepal" has tried to examine and interpret the investment policies adopted by NABIL and other joint venture banks of Nepal.

The objectives of the research were:
a) To evaluate the liquidity, asset management, efficiency and profitability position.
b) To discuss fund mobilization and investment policy of NABIL with respect to its fee based off-balance sheet transaction in comparison to other JVB's.
c) To evaluate the growth ratios of loan and advances and total investment with respective growth rate of total deposits and net profit of other JVB's.
d) To find out the relationship between deposit and total investment, deposit and loan and advances and net profit and outside assets of NABIL comparison to other JVB's.
e) To evaluate the trends of deposit utilization and its projection for next five years of NABIL compared to other JVB's.

His major findings were:
a) The liquidity position of NABIL is comparatively worse than other JVB's. NABIL has utilized more portions of current assets as loan and advances and lesser portions in government securities.
b) The profitability position of NABIL is comparatively better then that of other JVB's.
c) There is significant relationship between deposit and loan and advances as well as outside assets and net profit where as there is no significant relationship between deposit and total investment in case of other JVB's.
d) The trend values of loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and other JVB's are in increasing trend. The trend value of total investment to total deposit of NABIL and other JVB's are in increasing trend.
e) NABIL is seen to be more successful in increasing its sources of fund for deposit mobilization and granting loan and advances and maintain a good investment but it has failed to maintain its high growth rate of profit in comparisons with other JVB's.

Tuladhar (2000) has conducted a thesis research on " A study of investment policy of Nepal Grindlays Bank Limited in comparison to other JVB'S of Nepal".

The basic objectives of this study were:
a) To study the fund mobilization and investment policy with respect to fee based off-balance sheet transaction and fund based on balance sheet activities.
b) To evaluate the liquidity, efficiency, assets management and profitability position.
c) To evaluate the growth ratios of loan \& advances and total investment with respective growth rate of total deposit and net profit.
d) To evaluate the trends of deposit utilization towards total investment and loan advances and its projection for next five years.
e) To perform an empirical study of the customer's views and ideas regarding the existing service and adopted investment policy of the joint venture banks.
f) To provide suggestions and recommendation on the basis of this study.

His major findings were:
a) NGBL has maintained adequate liquidity than other JVB's. It is in a better position to meet current obligation.
b) NGBL has successfully maintained and managed its assets towards different income generating activities.
c) The profitability position of NGBL is higher than other JVB's.
d) NGBL has invested higher portion of total working fund in government securities than other JVB's. NGBL's loans and advance to total deposit ratio is less than other JVB's.
e) NGBL has the largest profit margin in comparison with other JVB's.

Thapa (2001) has conducted a thesis research on "A comparative study on investment policy of Nepal Bangladesh Bank and other JVB's (NABIL Bank Limited and Nepal Grindlays Bank Limited)"

The research study were based on the following specific objectives:
a) To evaluate the liquidity, assets management efficiency, profitability and risk position of NBBL in comparison to NABIL and NGBL.
b) To analyze the relationship between loan and advances and total investment with other financial variables of NBBL and compare them with NABIL \& NGBL.
c) To examine the fund mobilization and investment policy of NBBL through off-balance sheet and on balance sheet activities in comparison to the other two banks.
d) To study the various risks in investment of NBBL in comparison to NABIL \& NGBL
e) To analyze the deposit utilization trend and its projection for next five years of NB Bank and compare it with that of NABIL \& NGBL.

The major findings of the study were as follows:
a) NBBL has good deposit collection, enough liquidity, it has sanctioned enough loan and advances, but it has made negligible amount of investment in government securities.
b) NBBL is in a weak position regarding its on balance as well as off balance sheet activities.
c) Profitability position of NBBL is comparatively worse than that the NABIL \& NGBL.
d) The credit risk ratio, interest risk ratio, capital risk ratio of NBBL is higher than NGBL \& NABIL. It is exposed to more risk.
e) NBBL has been successful in increasing its sources of funds and its mobilization. The growth ratio of total investment of NBBL is comparatively worse than the other two JVB's.
f) There is significant relationship between deposit and loan and advance, outside assets and net profit of NBBL but there is no significant relationship between deposit and investment of NBBL.
g) The position of NBBL in regard to utilization of fund to earn profit is not better in comparison to NABIL \& NGBL.
h) The cost of fund of NBBL is competitively higher than NABIL \& NGBL.

Bohara (2002) has conducted a research entitled "A comparative study on Investment policy of Joint Venture Banks and Finance Companies of Nepal".

The objectives of the study were as follows:
a) To find out the liquidity position and profitability position of above mentioned JVB's in comparison with finance companies.
b) To find out the relationship between profitability and asset structure.
c) To analyze the deposit utilization trend and its future projections for next five years for JVB's and finance companies.
d) To study the various risks in investment of JVB'S in comparison with finance companies.
e) To analyze the relationship between deposits and investment, deposits and loan \& advances, net profit and total assets of JVB'S in comparison with finance companies.
f) To provide suggestion and recommendation on the basis of findings.

The major findings of the study were as follows:

1) Liquidity position of JVB's is comparatively better than that of finance companies. Finance companies have made nominal amount of investment in government securities.
2) Finance companies have mobilized their deposits smoothly in comparison with JVB's. The average loan and advance to total deposit ratios of finance companies is higher than JVB's.
3) Profitability position of JVB's except for BOKL is better than that of finance companies, but profitability position of finance companies in terms of return on total assets is better. Interest income in relation to proportion of total assets and operating income is higher in finance companies in comparison to JVB's.
4) The growth ratios of deposits, net profit, loan and advances are higher than that of JVB's and are increasing every year, which indicates good performance of the finance companies.
5) The risk ratios of finance companies are less variable than the JVB's. The interest risk ratios of finance companies is higher where as the capital risk ratios of JVB'S are comparatively higher than that of finance companies.
6) JVB'S are in a better position in mobilizing deposits as loan and advances, but so far finance companies have been successful in utilizing their sources of funds and in their mobilization.

Thapa (2002) has conducted a research entitled "Investment Policy of Commercial banks in Nepal.

The objectives of the study were:
a) To discuss fund mobilization and investment policy of EBL in respect to its fee based off-balance sheet transaction and fund based on balance sheet transaction of NABIL and BOKL.
b) To evaluate the liquidity, efficiency, profitability and risk position.
c) To evaluate the growth ratios of loans and advances and total investment with other financial variables.
d) To analyze the trends of deposits utilization towards total investment and loan and advances and its projection for next five years.
e) To conduct hypothetical test to find out whether there is significant difference between the important ratios of EBL, NABIL \& BOKL.
f) To provide packages of workable suggestions and possible guidelines to improve investment policy of EBL and other banks.

His major findings are enumerated below:
a) EBL is comparatively better than NABIL and BOKL in terms of liquidity.
b) EBL has been less successful than NABIL and BOKL in its on balance sheet operation as well as off balance sheet activities.
c) The profitability position of EBL is worse than NABIL and BOKL.
d) EBL is exposed to more credit risk and capital risk, but lower interest rate risk than NABIL and BOKL.
e) EBL has maintained high growth rates in total deposit, loan and advances but it has moderate position in investment.
g) There is significant relationship between deposit and loan and advances and outside assets and net profit of EBL.

Shrestha (2003) has conducted a research entitled "Investment Analysis of Commercial Banks" (A Comparative Study of Nepal Bank Limited and Nepal State Bank of India Limited).

The objectives of the study were:
a) To analyze percentage of investment made by HBL and NSBIL in total investment made by commercial banks.
b) To analyze investment trend, deposits trend and total income and their projection for next five years of HBL and compare then with that of NSBIL.
c) To identify investment sector of HBL and NSBIL.
d) To evaluate the liquidity, assets management efficiency, profitability and risk position of HBL in comparison to that of NSBIL.
e) To study the relationship between investment and deposits of bank.

The major findings of the study were as follows:
a) Percentage of HBL's investment to total commercial banks inv estment in extremely higher than NSBIL.
b) Both HBL and NSBIL have invested mostly on government securities but HBL has invested in NRB bonds also as well as in other productive sectors.
c) NSBIL is better than HBL from liquidity point of view.
d) HBL has higher profitability position than NSBIL.
e) HBL is exposed to more risk than NSBIL.
f) HBL has maintained higher growth rate in net profit in comparison to NSBIL.

Roy (2003) has conducted a research entitled "An Investment Analysis of RBB in comparison with NBL".

The specific objectives of the study were.
a) To evaluate liquidity, activity and profitability ratios of RBB in comparison with NBL and industry average.
b) To analyze relationship of loan and advance and total investments with total deposit and net profit of RBB and to compare it with that of NBL and industry average.
c) To use trend analysis to compare loan and advance, total investment, total deposit and net profit of RBB and compare the same with other two.
d) To examine the loan loss provision of RBB and NBL.
e) To provide suggestion and recommendation on the basis of findings.

The major findings of the study were:
a) RBB has good deposit collection, enough loan and advance and investment in government securities. It has comparatively better liquidity position than NBL.
b) RBB is in comparatively better position regarding issue of loan and advance but it does not have good position regarding investment in shares and debentures of other companies, off balance sheet operation. Loan Loss ratio shows low quality of loan and advance.
c) The profitability position of RBB is worse. RBB needs to take immediate steps to increase its profitability.
d) RBB's fund collection and fund mobilization is satisfactory in comparison to NBL.
e) There is significant relationship between deposit and loan and advance. There is insignificant relationship between deposit and investment, and outside assets and net profit.

Khaniya (Banjade) (2003) has conducted a thesis research entitled "Investment portfolio Analysis of JVB's".

The specific objectives of the study were:
a) To analyze the risk and return ratios of commercial banks.
b) To evaluate the financial performance of JVB's.
c) To provide suggestion package based on the analysis of data.
d) To study existing investment policies taken by NABIL in various sectors.
e) To study portfolio structure of NABIL in investment as compared to other JVB's.
f) Preference given by NABIL for investment between, - Loan Investment.

- Investment in real fixed assets.
- Investment in financial assets.

The main findings of the study were:
a) SCBNL has the highest return on shareholders fund and total assets. It has also been successful in mobilizing its deposits as investments. NABIL and EBL have invested high amounts of deposits as loan and advances in comparison to SCBNL, NABIL and HBL.
b) Among the JVB's, looking at the investment portfolio, EBL has investment highest amount of funds in government securities, NBB has invested highest amount of funds on shares and debentures and NABIL has invested highest amount of funds in NRB bonds in comparison to other JVB's.
c) SCBNL has the highest EPS and EBL the lowest EPS among the JVB's.

Joshi (2003) in his thesis entitled "A comparative study of Investment policy of SCBNL \& EBL" has made an endeavor to examine and interpret the Investment policy adopted by SCBNL in comparison to EBL.

The objectives of the research were:

1) To compare the investment policy of concerned banks and discuss the fund mobilization of the sample banks.
2) To find out empirical relationship between total investment, deposit \& loan \& advance and net profit and outside assets and compare them.
3) To analyze the deposit utilization \& projection for next five years of SCBNL and EBL.
4) To evaluate comparatively the profitability \& risk position liquidity asset management efficiency of SCBNL \& EBL.
5) To provide a package of possible guidelines to improve investment policy, its problems and way to solve some problems and provide suggestions and recommendation on the basis of the study.

The main findings of the study were as follows:

1) Both the banks have good deposit collection. EBL has higher but fluctuating liquidity position. It is in a good position to meet daily cash requirement and current obligation.
2) SCBNL has successfully maintained and managed its assets towards different income generating activities. SCBNL has invested high portion of total working fund in government securities and share and debentures of other companies.
3) The profitability position of SCBNL is comparatively better than EBL.
4) The liquidity risk ratio, credit risk ratio of SCBNL is lower than that of EBL.
5) SCBNL has not been successful to increase its sources of funds and its mobilization i.e., loans and advances and total investment.

Raya (2003) has conducted a thesis research entitled "Investment Policy and Analysis of commercial Banks in Nepal (A comparative study of SCBNL with NIBL \& NBBL) .

The following objectives were considered in the study:
a) To discuss fund mobilization and investment policy of SCBNL in respect to its fee based off balance sheet transaction with NIBL \& NBBL.
b) To evaluate the liquidity, efficiency, profitability and risk position of the sample banks.
c) To evaluate the growth ratios of loan and advances, total investment with other financial variables.
d) To analyze the trends of deposit utilization towards total investment and loan and advances and its projection for next five years.
e) To find out whether there is significant difference between the various important ratios of SCBNL with the ratios of NIBL and NBBL.
f) To provide package of workable suggestions \& possible guidelines to improve investment policy of the sample banks.

The findings of the study were:
a) SCBNL has good deposit collection, has made enough investment in government securities, but has provided less advances and loans to total deposits ratio.
b) SCBNL has been successful in its on balance sheet operations but NIBL and NBBL have been more successful in off balance sheet operations.
c) The profitability position of SCBNL is higher than the other JVB's in the sample.
d) The credit risk ratio, capital risk ratio of SCBNL is lower than NIBL \& NBBL.
e) SCBNL has maintained higher growth in investment and net profit and moderate growth in loans and advances, and deposits.
f) There is significant relationship between deposits and loans and advances and between outside asset and net profit of SCBNL.

Dhital (2003) has conducted a thesis research on "A comparative study on Investment policy of SCBNL and BOKL".

The major objectives of the research were:
a) To find out relationship between total investment, deposits, loan and advances, net profit and outside asset and compare them.
b) To compare investment policies of concerned banks and discuss the fund mobilization of sample banks.
c) To analyze the risk position of SCBNL \& BOKL.
d) To analyze the deposit utilization trend and its projection for five years of SCBNL \& BOKL.
e) To provide package of a workable suggestion \& possible guidelines to improve investment policy, its problems and way to solve some problems and provide suggestion and recommendation on the basis of the study.

The major findings of the study were:
a) SCBNL has better liquidity position than BOKL, but BOKL is in a better position to meet daily cash requirement. SCBNL has invested more in government securities than BOKL. SCBNL has utilized lesser portion of deposits and current assets as loans \& advances.
b) SCBNL has invested a high portion of total working fund in government securities and shares \& debentures of other companies.
c) The profitability position of SCBNL is better than BOKL.
d) SCBNL has lower liquidity risk, and credit risk than BOKL.
e) The growth rate of deposits and loans and advances of SCBNL is less than that of BOKL, but SCBNL has witnessed high growth in investment and net profit over the period of study in comparison to BOKL.
f) There is a significant relationship between deposit and loan and advances, deposit and total investment, deposit and interest earned, total working fund and net profit for both the banks. There is also a
significant relationship between outside asset and net profit deposit and net profit, total working fund and net profit for SCBNL, but the same are not significant in the case of BOKL.

Pandit (2004) has conducted a research entitled "Investment policy Analysis of Joint Venture Bank (with special reference to NSBIL \& EBL)"

The objectives of the study were as follows:
a) To evaluate the liquidity management, assets management efficiency, profitability position, risk position and investment practices of NSBIL, BOKL \& EBL)
b) To find out the relationship between deposit and total investment, deposit and loan and advance, and net profit and outside asset.

His major findings are enumerated below:
a) NSBIL has better liquidity position. It is in a good position to meet its daily cash requirement and current obligation. Liquidity position of EBL \& BOKL have not been satisfactory.
b) NSBIL's loan and advance to total deposit ratio is lower than EBL \& BOKL. It does not seem to follow any definite policy regarding the management of its assets.
c) The profitability position of all the banks is not satisfactory. The banks have not adopted sound investment policy in utilizing their surplus funds.
d) BOKL \& EBL are exposed to high credit risk and capital risk.
e) NSBIL \& BOKL have not been successful to increase their sources of fund. EBL has been successful in maintaining its higher growth rate of total deposit.
f) There is significant relationship between deposits and total investment of BOKL \& EBL but the same is not significant in case of NSBIL.

## Research Gap

Commercial banks have huge deposit collection. These deposits need to be properly utilized. Effective utilization of collected fund is possible only through implementation of sound investment policy. NABIL and SCBNL are the best examples of commercial banks in Nepal that have been able to mobilize the funds in an effective manner and achieved phenomenal growth and profit year after year by formulating and implementing sound investment policy.
Most research studies conducted prior to this study involving comparative analysis comprised of a successful bank and an emerging bank as samples. It was obvious that the successful bank with sound financial health would excel in various aspects of banking. The possibility of the samples showing different result during data analysis was high. The financial and empirical analysis to data revealed higher degree of consistency in case of successful bank and less uniformity in case of emerging bank.
This study comprises of two of the most successful commercial bank as sample viz NABIL and SCBNL. This study is also different from previous studies in view of the time period its covers. During this period the country has witnessed political uncertainty, deteriorating security situation that have rendered the economy further sluggish. There has been a restructuring in the banking business. This study gives a new dimension to the research topic in the sense that it has adhered to most of the fresh guidelines and directives issued by NRB to commercial banks, which previous studies lack. This study aims at providing a more realistic picture to various financial aspects of the sample banks. In line with fresh guidelines and NRB directives and practices adopted by banks, some items of the balance sheet that were previously booked under one heading have now been accounted under a different heading. For instance, leasehold improvements or deferred expenditure that were previously a part of current assets have been accounted for under fixed assets as they are amortized over the period of lease. Similarly, staff loan and advances previously part of Loan Advances and Bills purchase have been booked under other assets, checks presented for clearing have been booked under other assets. Gratuity is expensed of on accrual basis and is now a part of personnel expense. Previously, it was the practice of banks to include it under current liabilities and appropriate it from profit and loss Account.

This study will reveal the strength and weaknesses of the sample bank and serve as a valuable input in decision-making process of the concerned banks and other emerging banks in formulating appropriate investment policy.

## CHAPTER - III <br> RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter is related to the research methodology employed in the entire aspect of the study. Research methodology is a way to solve research problems systematically, which includes many techniques and tools that is necessary in each and every steps of this study. This chapter includes research design, population and sample, nature and sources of data, analysis of data etc.

### 3.1 Research Design

This study depends on the secondary sources of data. It includes all the process of collecting, verifying and evaluating of past evidence systematically and objectively to reach final conclusion. Some statistical and accounting tools have been adopted to examine factors in this study. In this study descriptive and analytical research design has been done.

### 3.2 Populations and Sample

There are all together 25 commercial banks operating in Nepal and most of their stock have been trading in NEPSE which are considered to be the population of the study. But it is not possible to take all the companies under study. Among them, only two commercial banks NABIL and SCBNL have been taken as a sample of the study to compare their investment policy. They are two of the best performing commercial banks in Nepal. Their profit per share, percentage of dividend paid per equity capital, net profits are among the highest in commercial banks. They are equipped with research and analysis team, proper MIS, sufficient capital and skilled manpower. They also have access to Global financial markets. These factors put them in the best position, i.e. it gives them an edge over other banks. They are best suited to exploit the opportunities that are existent. They can easily redress problem faced by other and can also avoid risks by formulating and implementing sound investment policy.

### 3.3 Nature and Sources of Data

This study is mainly based on secondary data. The required date of data are collected from the annual report of concerned banks, Nepal Stock Exchange's annual report. Other relating data are obtained directly from authorized persons of concerned banks, regulating authorities i.e. Ministry of Finance, NRB Budget speech, published books, banks bulletin, Newspapers, previous studies, central library T.U., Himalayan White House International College library, Securities exchange Board etc.

### 3.4 Data Analysis Tools

Analysis and presentation of the data is the core of project study. This study needs some financial and statistical tools to accomplish the objectives of this study. The data extracted from financial, statistical and accounting tools have been used. These results are then compared with each other to interpret the results. Two kinds of tools have been used to achieve the purpose, namely:

1) Financial tools and
2) Statistical tools

### 3.4.1 Financial tools

Financial tools basically help to analyze the strength and weakness of a firm. Ratio analysis being one of the important financial tools has been used in this study. In financial analysis a ratio is used as a benchmark for evaluating the financial position and performance of a firm. Ratios help to summarize the large quantities of financial data and to make qualitative judgment about the firm's performance. The point to note is that a ratio indicates a quantitative relationship, which can be used to make a qualitative judgment. There are several ratios involved in analyzing and interpreting the financial statement. In this study, basically four types of ratios have been used which are related to Investment policy of banks. They are as follows:

## A) Liquidity Ratio

Liquidity Ratio measures the firm's ability to meet its current obligation. Commercial banks collect fund from the community with a commitment to return depositor's fund, facilitate withdrawal on demand. A firm should ensure that it does not suffer from lack of liquidity and also that it does not have excess liquidity. It is necessary to strike a proper balance between high liquidity and lack of liquidity. The following ratios are evaluated under liquidity ratio:

## i) Current Ratio

The current ratio is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities:

$$
\text { Current Ratio }=\frac{\text { Current Assets }}{\text { Current Liabilities }}
$$

The current ratio is a measure of the firm's short-term solvency. It also shows the relationship between CA and CL of a firm. Current assets include cash and those assets which can be converted into cash within a year such as money at call or short notice, loans and advances, overdrafts, bills purchased and discounted, Investment in government securities, prepaid expenses, and other interest receivables and miscellaneous current assets.

All obligation maturing within a year are included in current liabilities such as deposit and other accounts, short term loans, outstanding or accrued expenses, bills payable, tax liability, staff bonus, dividend payable, long term debt maturing in current year and miscellaneous current liabilities. As a conventional rule a current ratio of 2:1 is considered satisfactory. A current ratio is a crude and quick measure of the firm's liquidity.

## ii) Cash and Bank Balance to Total Deposit Ratio

They are the most liquid of current assets to pay off depositors immediately. This ratio is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by total deposits. In order to bring about consistency in this research, checks
for clearing have been excluded from cash and bank balance and included in other assets. Mathematically,

Cash \& Bank Balance to Total Deposit Ratio $=\frac{\text { Cash \& Bank Balance }}{\text { Total Deposit }}$

Cash and bank balance includes cash in local currency \& foreign currency on hand or with banks. The total deposits consists of deposits in current account, savings account, fixed deposit account, money at call deposits, margin deposits etc. A higher ratio indicates greater ability of banks to meet their deposits and vice-versa.

## iii) Cash and Bank Balance to Current Assets Ratio

This ratio measures the percentage of liquid assets i.e. cash and bank balance in the current assets of the firm. Higher ratio shows greater capacity of firms to meet cash demand. The ratio is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by current assets. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Cash \& Bank Balance to Current Assets Ratio }=\frac{\text { Cash \& Bank Balance }}{\text { Current Assets }}
$$

## iv) Investment in Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio

This ratio is used to find the percentage of current assets invested in government securities, i.e. treasury bills, development bonds etc. Commercial banks are interested to invest some portion of their collected fund in government securities as they are risk-free and can easily sold in the market. Mathematically,

Investment in Government
Securities to current Asset Ratio

$$
=\frac{\text { Total Investment in Government Securities }}{\text { Current Assets }}
$$

## v) Loan and Advances to Current Assets Ratio

The major portion of a bank's asset side of the balance sheet includes loan and advances. Loan and advance comprise of loan and advance, credit overdraft, bills purchased and discounted. In this research study, staff loan
and advances have been treated as other assets to maintain status quo with the practice followed by banks.

It shows the percentage of total loan and advances to current assets. Mathematically,

Loan and Advances to Current Asset Ratio $=\frac{\text { Total Loan and Advances }}{\text { Current Assets }}$

## B) Asset Management Ratios (Activity ratio)

In order to satisfy its customers, earn profit and for its own survival a commercial bank must be well versed in managing its assets. Activity ratios are employed to evaluate the efficiency with which the firm manages and utilizes its assets. In this study, it is used to measure the bank's ability to utilize their available resources. The following ratios related to investment policy are calculated under asset management ratio.

## i) Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio

This ratio is calculated to find out how successfully the selected banks are utilizing their total deposits on loan and advances to generate profits. A higher ratio is indicative of better utilization of total deposits, but the same might not hold true from liquidity point of view. It is computed by dividing total loan and advances by total deposits. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Loan and Advance to Total Deposits Ratio }=\frac{\text { Loan and Advance }}{\text { Total Deposits }}
$$

## ii) Loan and Advances to Total Working Fund Ratio

The major portion of a banks asset side of the sheet includes loan and advances. It is also the major component of the total working fund. This ratio shows the ability of a bank to channelize its assets in the form of loan and advances to earn higher profits. A high ratio indicates better mobilization of fund as loan and advances and vice-versa. Mathematically,

Loan and Advance to Total Working Fund Ratio $=\frac{\text { Total Loan and Advance }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}$
Where total working fund includes all assets of balance sheet items i.e. current assets, net fixed assets and other miscellaneous assets.

## iii) Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio

This ratio shows the utilization of firm's deposits on investment in government securities and purchasing shares and debentures of other companies. A high ratio is indicative of high success in mobilization of deposits in investments and vice-versa. This ratio can be calculated by dividing total investment by total deposits. Mathematically,

Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio $=\frac{\text { Total Investment }}{\text { Total Deposits }}$

## iv) Investment on Government Securities to Total Working Fund Ratio_

This ratio shows the percentage of total working fund invested in government securities. In other words, this ratio measures the extent to which the banks have been successful in mobilizing their total working fund on different type of government securities. The logic behind Investment in government securities by banks is to diversify the risk by not putting all the eggs in the same basket. This is also beneficial in the sense that banks are assured of adequate liquidity. A high ratio indicates better mobilization of funds as Investment on government securities and vice-versa.
This ratio can be calculated by dividing total amount of investment in government securities by the total working fund. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Investment in Government Securities }=\frac{\text { Investment in Govt. Securities }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}
$$

## v) Investment on Shares and Debentures to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio shows the percentage of total working fund invested in purchasing shares and bonds \& debentures of other companies.

Investment on shares and debentures to total working fund measures the extent to which the banks have been successful in mobilizing their total assets on shares and debenture of other companies to generate income. A high ratio indicates portion of investment on shares and debentures out of total working fund and vice-versa. This ratio is calculated by dividing the total amount of Investment is shares \& debenture of other companies by total working fund. Mathematically,
$\begin{gathered}\text { Investment on Shares \& Debentures to } \\ \text { Total Working Fund Ratio }\end{gathered}=\frac{\text { Investment in Shares \& Debentures }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}$

## C) Profitability Ratio

The profitability ratios are calculated to measure the overall efficiency of a firm in terms of profit earning and performance. Profit is one of the major indicators of efficient performance of banks. One of the major objectives of banks is to earn profit, so profit is very crucial for the survival of banks. To meet various objectives like, maintaining good liquidity position, meet internal obligations, expansion of banking services, finance short- term government needs, commercial banks need to earn sufficient profit. A higher profit ratio shows higher efficiency of a bank.

The following ratios related to investment policy are calculated under profitability ratios:

## i) Return on Loan and Advance Ratio

Return on loan and advances ratio indicates how efficiently the bank has utilized its resources in the form of loan and advances to generate good return. It measures the earning capacity of a commercial bank. This ratio is calculated by dividing net profit by loan and advances. Mathematically,

Return on Loan \& Advances Ratio $=\frac{\text { Net Profit } / \text { Loss }}{\text { Total Loan and Advances }}$

## ii) Return on Total Assets

Return on total assets shows the overall profitability of working fund or total assets. Return on working fund ratio is a measuring rod of the profitability with respect to each financial resource investment of banks asset. If the banks total working fund is well managed and utilized efficiently, return on such assets will be higher and vice-versa. This ratio is calculated by dividing net profit by total working fund. It is calculated by dividing net profit by total assets. Mathematically,

## Return on Total Assets $=\frac{\text { Net Pr ofit } / \text { Loss }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}$ <br> iii) Total Interest Earned to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is calculated to find the percentage of interest earned to total assets. This ratio reflects the extent to which banks are successful in mobilizing their assets to generate high income. This ratio presents the earning capacity of a bank on its total working fund. Higher ratio indicates better performance or proper utilization of total assets in the form of interest earned on its working fund. This ratio is calculated by dividing total interest earned by total working fund. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Total Interest Earned to Total Working Fund Ratio }=\frac{\text { Total Interest Earned }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}
$$

## iv) Total Interest Earned to Operating Income Ratio

This ratio is measured to find out the ratio of interest income with operating income of the bank. It shows how efficiently the banks have mobilized their resources in interest bearing assets i.e., loan and advances, investment in government securities. Total operating income includes interest income, commission fees \& discount, dividend income, foreign exchange income etc. This ratio shows the magnitude of interest income in total income. It is calculated by dividing total interest earned by net operating income. Mathematically,

[^0]
## v) Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets Ratio

This ratio is calculated to find the percentage of interest earned to total outside assets of the bank, which includes loan and advances, Investment on Government securities, Investment on share and debentures and all other types of investment. It is calculated by dividing total interest earned by total outside assets. A high ratio indicates high return on total assets and vice-versa. Mathematically,

## Total Interest Earned to Outsides Assets $=$

Total Interest Earned<br>Total Outside Assets

## vi) Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio measures the percentage of total interest expenses against total working fund. A high ratio is indicative of higher interest expenses on total working fund. This ratio is calculated by dividing by total interest paid by total working fund. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio }=\quad \frac{\text { Total Interest Paid }}{\text { Total Working Fund }}
$$

## D) Risk Ratio

Risk means uncertainty, variability of return, which is inherent in any investment portfolio of a business enterprise. Risk is an important element since investment with greater risk requires higher return than investments with lower risk. Risk ratios measures the degree of risk involved in various financial operations. The possibility of risk involved in bank's financial operations makes the bank investment a challenging task. As the notion goes," no risk no gain", therefore, if a bank expects high return on its investment it must be prepared to accept the risk and manage it efficiently.
The following risk ratios are used to analyze and interpret the financial data and investment policy.

## i) Liquidity Risk Ratio

Liquidity risk of the bank defines its liquidity needs for deposit. Cash and bank balance are the most liquid of all the assets and are considered bank's liquidity sources. Deposits on the other hand refer to the liquidity needs of banks.

This ratio measures the risk associated with the liquid assets i.e., cash and bank balance that are kept to satisfy the cash demand of customers. A higher ratio shows that the banks has sufficient cash to meet its current obligations i.e. lower liquidity risk, but that may have an adverse impact on the profitability position of the bank. A trade off between liquidity and profitability must be maintained. This ratio is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by total deposit. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Liquidity Risk Ratio }=\frac{\text { Total Cash \& Bank Balance }}{\text { Total Deposits }}
$$

## ii) Credit Risk Ratio

Normally, every credit is good at the time it is sanctioned. Most of the bank failures are due to shrinkage in the value of loan and advances. Loan is a risky asset and risk of non-repayment of loan in known as credit risk or default risk. Credit risk ratio measures the possibility of loan going into default. While sanctioning loans banks measure credit risk involved in the project. Credit risk is calculated by dividing total loan and advances by total assets. Mathematically,

$$
\text { Credit Risk Ratio }=\frac{\text { Total Loan and Advances }}{\text { Total Assets }}
$$

## E) Growth Ratio

The growth ratios represent how the commercial banks are maintaining their economic and financial condition. As a conventional rule, a higher ratio is preferable. A high ratio indicates better performance of the banks
and vice-versa. The following growth ratios directly related to the fundmobilization and investment of the banks are calculated:
I) Growth ratio of total deposit
II) Growth ratio of total investment
III) Growth ratio of loan and advances
IV) Growth ratio of net profit

### 3.4.2 Statistical Tools

Some important statistical tools have been used to present and analyze the data for achieving the objectives of this study. Co-efficient of variance, Coefficient of correlation, standard deviation, least square, linear tend analysis etc. have been used for the purpose of investment policy analysis.

## a) Karl Pearson's Correlation Co-efficient Analysis

This statistical tool interprets and identifies the relationship between two or more variables. It identifies whether two or more variables are positively correlated or negatively correlated Statistical tool helps to analyze the relationship between these variables and aids the selected banks to prepare appropriate investment policy relating to deposit collection, fund utilization (loan and advances and investment) and profit maximization. This study attempts to find out relationship between the following variables:
i) Co-efficient of co-relation between deposit and loan and advances.
ii) Co-efficient of correlation between total deposit and total investment.
iii) Co-efficient of correlation between total outside assets and net profit.
iv) Co-efficient of correlation between deposits and net profit.
v) Co-efficient of correlation between deposits and interest earned.
vi) Co-efficient of correlation between loan and advances and interest paid.
vii) Co-efficient correlation between total working fund and net profit.

Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) can be obtained by using the following formulae.
$\mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum x^{2} \sum y^{2}}} \quad$ Where $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}), \quad \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$

Here, $\Sigma x=$ Sum of observation in series $x$
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=$ Sum of observation in series y
$\Sigma x^{2}=$ Sum of squared observation in series $x$
$\Sigma y^{2}=$ Sum of squared observation in series y
$\Sigma x y=$ Sum of the product of observation in series $x \& y$.

The co-efficient of correlation (r) lies between -1 to +1 , If $r=+1$ there exists a significant relationship between the two variables. If $r=-1$, then the two variables are negatively correlated or there is no significant relationship between the two variables.

## b) Trend Analysis:

Under this topic we analyze the trend of deposits, loan and advances, investments and net profit of NABIL and SCBNL from F/Y 2002/2003 to F/Y 2006/2007. It also aids in making forecasting for the next five years up to 20011/2012. The following trend value analysis has been used in this study.
i) Trend Analysis of total deposits.
ii) Trend Analysis of loan and advances.
iii) Trend Analysis of total investment.
iv) Trend analysis of net profit.

## c) Standard Deviation (S.D)

The standard deviation measures the absolute dispersion. The lower the percentage of dispersion lower the standard deviation. The lower percentage of dispersion also projects a high degree of uniformity of the observations as well as homogeneity of the series.

A large value of standard deviation suggests exactly the opposite. In this study standard deviation of different ratios are calculated.

Mathematically,
S.D $=\sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})^{2}}{n}}$

## d) Co-efficient of Variation (C.V.)

C.V. is the proportion of standard deviation with mean multiplied by 100. Mathematically,
C.V. $=\frac{\text { S.D. } \times 100 \%}{\text { Mean }}$
e) Test of Hypothesis

Under this analysis, effect has been made to test the significance level regarding the parameters of the population on the basis of sample drawn from the population. This test has been conducted on the following:
i) Test of hypothesis on loan and advances to total deposit ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.
ii) Test of hypothesis on Investment in Government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.
iii) Test of hypothesis of total investment to total deposit ratio of NABIL \& SCBNL.
iv) Test of hypothesis of return on loan and advances ratio of NABIL \& SCBNL.
v) Test of hypothesis on total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

## CHAPTER - IV DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

This Chapter is related to the presentation and analysis of data collected from various sources. This is an analytical chapter, where an attempt has been made to analyze and evaluate major financial items, which have an impact on investment management and fund mobilization of NABIL and SCBNL. The chapter has been divided into main two part. The first part of the chapter deals with the data presentation and analysis while the second part implies major findings of the study.

### 4.1. Financial Analysis

Financial analysis involves identifying the financial strength and weakness of the organization by presenting the relationship between items of the balance sheet. For the purpose of this study, ratio analysis has been mainly used for the analysis of data.

Various financial ratios related to investment management and fund mobilization, have been presented and discussed in order to evaluate and analyze the performance of two joint venture banks, namely NABIL and SCBNL. The ratios are designed and calculated to highlight the relationship between financial items and figures. These calculations are based on financial statements of concerned banks

## A) Liquidity Ratios

Liquidity ratios measure the firm's ability to meet its current obligation. The following ratios which measure the liquidity position of banks are calculated:

## i) Current Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing current assets by current liabilities. (For detail see appendix -3 ).

The current ratios of NABIL and SCBNL from fiscal year 2002/03 to 2006/07 are given in the table below:

Table No.4.1
Current Ratio (Times)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1.051 | 1.054 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1.076 | 1.085 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1.092 | 1.075 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1.097 | 1.074 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1.097 | 1.087 |
| Mean | 1.083 | 1.075 |
| S.D. | 0.0197 | 0.0104 |
| C.V. | $1.82 \%$ | $0.97 \%$ |

Source: Appendix-3

It is clear from the above table that both NABIL and SCBNL have maintained current assets more than their current liabilities. This is a sign that both banks are capable enough to pay their current obligations. NABIL has the highest current ratio in F/Ys 2005/06 \& 2006/07 i.e., 1.097 and the lowest in F/Y 2002/2003 i.e., 1.051.

Similarly SCBNL has a high current ratio of 1.087 in F/Y 2006/2007 and a low of 1.054 in F/Y 2002/2003. The averages mean ratio of NABIL is slightly higher than SCBNL; i.e. $1.083>1.075$. This shows that NABIL's liquidity position is slightly better than that of SCBNL. The lower degree of standard deviation and coefficient of variation suggest that both the banks have maintained consistency in their ratios. Though as per the conventional rule current ratio should be 2:1 but for banks and other financial institutions any current ratio above 1 also considered healthy and sound.

## ii) Cash and Bank Balance to Total Deposit Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by total deposits. (For details see appendix -4). The cash and bank balance to total deposits ratio of NABIL and SCBNL are given below:

Table No.4.2

## Cash and Bank Balance to Total deposit ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 5.13 | 6.23 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 6.78 | 5.21 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8.51 | 8.06 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6.87 | 9.56 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 3.83 | 5.75 |
| Mean | 6.22 | 6.96 |
| S.D. | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| C.V. | 25.72 | 23.00 |

Source: Appendix-4

The above table shows that the cash and bank balance to total deposit ration of both NABIL and SCBNL are in fluctuating trend. NABIL had a high ratio of $8.51 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2004 / 2005$ and a low ratio of $3.83 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2006/2007. Similarly, SCBNL has a high ratio of $9.56 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006 and a low ratio of $5.21 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004. The average mean ratio of SCBNL is slightly higher than NABIL i.e., $6.96 \%>6.22 \%$. This shows, SCBNL readiness to meet customer requirement is better than NABIL. The C.V. of SCBNL is slightly lower than that of NABIL i.e., $23 \%<25.72 \%$. On its basis, it can be concluded that SCBNL ratios are more consistent than that of NABIL's.

Although the above ratios implies a slightly better liquidity position of SCBNL, a high ratio of non-earning cash and bank balance indicates the banks inability to invest its fund in income generation areas that might have helped it to improve its profitability.

## iii) Cash and Bank Balance to Current Assets Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by current assets (for detail see appendix-5). The Cash and bank balance to current assets ratio are presented in the following table.

Table No. 4.3
Cash and Bank Balance to current asset ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 4.49 | 5.00 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5.93 | 4.42 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6.88 | 7.17 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5.80 | 8.51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 3.29 | 5.03 |
| Mean | 5.28 | 6.03 |
| S.D. | 1.25 | 1.56 |
| C.V. | 23.76 | 26.00 |

Source: Appendix-5

There above table shows that the cash and bank balance to current assets ratio of both NABIL \& SCBNL are in a fluctuating trend. NABIL has maintained a high ratio of $6.88 \%$ in F/Y 2004/05, and a low ratio of $3.29 \%$ in 2006/07. Similarly, SCBNL has had a high of 8.51 in F/Y 2005/06 anticipating higher cash requirement depositors in this $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$. It has a low ratio of $4.42 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004.

The average mean ratio of SCBNL is slightly higher than NABIL. The C.V. of SCBNL is greater than that of NABIL i.e., $26 \%>23.76 \%$. It shows SCBNL ratios are less consistent than that of NABIL. The above table does not show any significant difference between the concerned banks with regards to meeting customer's daily cash requirement. Both have fared well in meeting their depositor's daily cash requirement and investing the surplus fund in other productive areas.

## iv) Investment on Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing investment on government securities by current assets. The Investment on Government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL are tabulated below:

Table No. 4.4
Investment on Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 15.10 | 25.03 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 23.24 | 31.00 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 21.56 | 31.86 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 21.94 | 33.43 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 14.17 | 32.61 |
| Mean | 19.20 | 30.79 |
| S.D. | 3.78 | 3.13 |
| C.V. | 19.69 | 10.11 |

Source: Appendix-6

The above table clearly depicts that the investment on Government securities to current assets of both the sample banks have a fluctuating trend.

From the above five year picture, it is evident that the average mean ratio of SCBNL is higher than that of NABIL i.e. $30.79 \%>19.20 \%$. This shows that a greater portion of current assets of SCBNL comprises of government securities. Also, SCBNL's investments in government securities to current assets has had an increasing trend over the years. From the point of view of C.V. SCBNL's ratios have been more consistent. NABIL has been more consistent in its ratio post F/Y 2003/04. From the above analysis it is clear that NABIL has made lesser investment in government securities as it has injected more funds on other productive sectors. The reason behind SCBNL higher ratio could be attributed to more deposit collection and unavailability of other secured and profitable investment sectors. The
balance sheet of SCBNL post 2003/04 shows that total fund invested in government securities is more than the loan \& advances it has made. Investment on government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL \& SCBNL is graphically shown as follows:

Figure No. 4.1

## Investment on Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL



## v) Loan and Advances to Current Assets Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total loan and advances by current assets (for detail see appendix-7). The ratios are presented in the following table.

Table No. 4.5
Loan and advances to current assets ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 45.99 | 29.55 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 44.00 | 30.52 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 48.75 | 28.44 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 51.06 | 28.15 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 64.29 | 38.13 |
| Mean | 50.82 | 30.96 |
| S.D. | 7.15 | 3.68 |
| C.V. | 14.06 | 1.19 |

Source: Appendix-7

The above table clearly shows favorable increasing trend of loan and advances of NABIL during the study period. The average mean ratio of NABIL is compared to SCBNL i.e. $50.82>30.96$. NABIL had had a high ratio of $64.29 \%$ in 2004/05 and a low ratio of $44.00 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004. Similarly SCBNL has had a high ratio of $38.13 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007 and a low of $28.15 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006.

The above analysis reveals that NABIL has been more successful in identifying profitable investment sectors and increasing its earnings. The same does not hold true for SCBNL, whose efforts seems to be more focused on investing in risk free assets, rather than increasing its loan and advances volume and subsequent earnings from it.

The loan and advances to current assets ratios of NABIL and SCBNL are graphically shown as follows:

Figure No.4.2
Loan and Advances to current asset ratio of NABIL \& SCBNL


## B) Asset Management Ratios

The following ratios measure the asset management ability of NABIL and SCBNL.

## i) Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total loan and advances by total deposits. (For details see appendix-8).
The data tabulated below shows the loan and advances to total deposit ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No: 4.6
Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 52.56 | 36.82 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 50.31 | 35.97 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 60.34 | 32.00 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 60.55 | 31.63 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 75.05 | 43.55 |
| Mean | 59.76 | 35.99 |
| S.D. | 8.66 | 4.31 |
| C.V. | 14.50 | 11.97 |

[^1]The above table shows that the loan and advances to total deposit of both the banks have a fluctuating trend. NABIL had a high ratio of $75.05 \%$ in F/Y 2006/07 and a low ratio of $50.31 \%$ in F/Y 2003/04. Accordingly, SCBNL had a high of $43.55 \%$ and a low of $31.63 \%$. SCBNL's loan and advances to total deposit has had a decreasing trend till F/Y 2005/06 which has dramatically increased in the year 2006/07. The mean ratio of NABIL is above 1.66 times that of SCBNL i.e. $59.76 \%>35.99 \%$. NABIL seems stronger in terms of mobilization of its total deposits as loan and advances when compared to SCBNL.

In terms of C.V., both seem to be equally consistent. It can be concluded that, NABIL has been more successful in mobilizing its total deposits as loan and advances than SCBNL. On the contrary, a high ratio should not be perceived as a better state of affairs from the point of view of liquidity, as loan and advance are not as liquid as cash and bank balance and other
investment. In portfolio management of bank various factors such as availability of funds, liquidity requirements, central bank norms etc. needs to be taken into account.

Figure No.4.3
Loan and Advances to Total Deposits
Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL


## ii) Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total investments by total deposits (For detail see apendix-9)

The data tabulated below shows the total investment to total deposit ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No.4. 7
Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratios (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 48.64 | 61.95 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 52.88 | 58.58 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 44.85 | 52.22 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 41.33 | 53.68 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 29.25 | 50.18 |
| Mean | 43.39 | 55.92 |
| S.D. | 11.32 | 4.32 |
| C.V. | 26.09 | 7.81 |

Source: Appendix-9

The above table shows a highly fluctuating trend in total Investment to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL. NABIL has a high ratio of $52.88 \%$ and a low ratio of $29.25 \%$. SCBNL, on the other hand had a high ratio of $61.95 \%$ and a low ratio of $50.18 \%$ in F/Y2003/2004 and 2006/2007 respectively.

SCBNL has a high mean ratio than NABIL i.e., $55.92 \%>43.39 \%$. From mean ratio perspective, SCBNL has been more successful in mobilization of deposits on various forms of investment.

From C.V.'s viewpoint, SCBNL is better in terms of consistency than NABIL.
In conclusion, the above analysis reveals that SCBNL has been more successful in mobilizing its resources on various forms of investment. What is worth mentioning is that Interest on Treasury Bills, Inter bank lending and placements are at an all time low level, so SCBNL has not done itself justice by investing in low yield, less risky and risk free assets.

Total deposits, loan and advance and total Investment of NABIL and SCBNL are presented in the bar diagram below.

Figure No. 4.4
Total Investment, Loan \& Advances and Total Deposit of Nabil (2002/2003 to 2006/2007)


Figure No. 4.5
Total Investment, Loan \& Advances and Total Deposit of SCBNL (2002/03 to 2006/2007)


## iii) Loan and Advances to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is computed by dividing loan and advances by total working fund. The following table exhibits the ratio of loan and advances to total working fund of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period.

Table No. 4.8
Loan and Advances to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 45.32 | 29.35 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 43.36 | 30.34 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 48.68 | 28.17 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 49.98 | 27.98 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 62.39 | 37.98 |
| Mean | 49.95 | 30.76 |
| S.D. | 6.65 | 3.70 |
| C.V. | 13.20 | 12.00 |

Source: Appendix-10

The above table shows an increasing trend of loan and advances to total working fund of NABIL and fluctuating trend in case of SCBNL. NABIL has maintained highest ratio of $62.39 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y} 2006 / 07$ and a low ratio of
43.36\% in F/Y 2003/04. Similarly, SCBNL has maintained a high ratio of 37.98\% in F/Y 2006/07 and a low ratio of 27.98\% in F/Y 2005/06.

NABIL also has a high average ratio of loan and advances to total working fund than SCBNL i.e. $49.95 \%>30.76 \%$. It reveals the strength of NABIL in mobilizing its total assets as loan and advances. NABIL's CAR (capital adequacy ratio) stands at a comfortable $12.44 \%$ and CAR of SCBNL stands at $15.85 \%$ against NRB mandatory requirement of $12 \%$. This surplus capital gives them an added advantage to assume more risk-weighted asset within NRB prescribed norms.

## iv) Investment in Government Securities to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing Investment on government securities by total working fund. The following table shows that ratios of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No. 4.9
Investment in Government Securities to
Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 14.88 | 24.85 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 22.90 | 30.81 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 21.53 | 31.56 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 21.47 | 33.22 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 13.75 | 32.49 |
| Mean | 18.91 | 30.59 |
| S.D. | 9.23 | 2.98 |
| C.V. | $48.76 \%$ | $9.75 \%$ |

Source: Appendix-11

The above table reveals that SCBNL has had an increasing trend of Investment of Government securities to total working fund over the study period while NABIL has had more of a fluctuating trend. NABIL has a higher ratio $22.90 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2003/04 and a low ratio of $13.75 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$

2006/2007. Similarly, SCBNL has had a high ratio of $33.22 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2005/06 and low ratio of $24.85 \%$ in 2002/2003.

When mean ratio is considered, NABIL seems to be slightly weaker than SCBNL in mobilizing of total assets as Investment in Government securities i.e. ( $18.91 \%<30.59 \%$ ).
Also, when compare C.V. of both, it reflects that ratios of NABIL are less consistent than SCBNL i.e., (48.76\%>9.75\%).

From the above analysis, conclusion can be made as SCBNL has invested larger portion of working fund in government securities than NABIL. The ratios also indicates that both the banks have no concrete or certain investment policy with regards to what percentage of working fund to be invested in purchasing government securities.

## v) Investment on Share and Debentures to Total Working Fund Ratio.

The Investment on share and debentures to total working fund ratio of NABIL and SCBNL has been shown in the following table.

Table No.4.10
Investment on Share and Debentures to
Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 0.102 | 0.058 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 0.123 | 0.060 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 0.133 | 0.053 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 0.130 | 0.047 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 0.156 | 0.060 |
| Mean | 0.129 | 0.056 |
| S.D. | 0.017 | 0.005 |
| C.V. | 13.23 | 8.77 |

Source: Appendix-12

The above table clearly reveals that both the banks have invested miniscule percentage of total working fund in purchasing share and debentures of other companies. In either case the ratio percentage is less than $0.2 \%$. NABIL has invested slightly higher amount of total working fund on shares and debenture than SCBNL. It also has a mean ratio higher than SCBNL. It indicates that NABIL has been more successful in mobilizing it funds as Investment in shares and debenture than SCBNL, though the fund invested is marginal in comparison to total investment portfolio in case of both.

The above table also shows that NABIL has increasing trend in Investment on shares and debentures except for F/Y 2005/06, where as SCBNL has had a fluctuating trend through out the period of study.

In terms of C.V. both the banks have remained fairly consistent though SCBNL's variability is less than that of NABIL i.e., ( $8.77 \%<13.23 \%$ ).

Figure No. 4.6

## Average Percentage of Cash and Bank <br> Balance, Loan and Advances and Total Investment of NABIL.



Figure No. 4.7
Average percentage of cash and bank balance, loan and advance and total investment of SCBNL


## C) Profitability Ratio

The following ratios are calculated under profitability ratios:

## i) Return on Loan and advances ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing net profit by loan and advances. The following table shows the return on loan and advances ratio of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period.

Table No. 4.11
Return on Loan and Advances Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 3.50 | 7.58 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 3.48 | 8.41 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 5.13 | 8.45 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5.33 | 8.03 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 4.74 | 6.40 |
| Mean | 4.44 | 7.77 |
| S.D. | 0.7848 | 0.76 |
| C.V. | 17.84 | 9.72 |

Source: Appendix-13

The above table shows that the ratio of return on loan and advances of SCBNL are better than NABIL in all F/Y, through they have a fluctuating trend. NABIL's ratios have witnessed a fluctuating trend. NABIL has recorded a high ratio of $5.33 \%$ in F/Y 2005/06, and a low ratio of $3.48 \%$ in F/Y 2003/04. Similarly, SCBNL recorded a high rate on loan and advances ratio in F/Y 2004/05 and a low of $6.40 \%$ in F/Y 2006/07.

The comparison of mean ratio reveals that SCBNL has a higher ratio than NABIL i.e., $7.77 \%>4.44 \%$. This shows that SCBNL has been more successful in maintaining its higher return on loan and advances than NABIL.
C.V. of SCBNL is significantly lower than NABIL i.e. $9.72 \%>17.84 \%$. It proves that NABIL has higher variability of ratio than SCBNL.

In conclusion, it can be said that NABIL's profit earning capacity by utilizing available resources is weaker as compared to SCBNL, nevertheless NABIL is making significant improvements in this regard.

## ii) Return on Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing net profit by total working fund. The data tabulated below reflects the profitability position with respect to total assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No. 4.12
Return on total working fund ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1.59 | 2.23 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1.51 | 2.55 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 2.50 | 2.38 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 2.66 | 2.25 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 2.96 | 2.43 |
| Mean | 2.24 | 2.37 |
| S.D. | 0.585 | 0.12 |
| C.V. | 26.10 | 5.00 |

Source: Appendix-14

The above table reveals that the ratio of return on total working fund is in decreasing trend in case of NABIL upto F/Y 2003/04. From F/Y 2004/05 the ratio has an increasing trend. It has surpassed SCBNL since $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2004/05. NABIL has had a high ratio of $2.96 \%$ in F/Y 2006/07 and a low ratio of $1.51 \%$ in F/Y 2003/04. Similarly, SCBNL has had a high of $2.55 \%$ and a low of $2.23 \%$ in F/Y 2003/04 and 2002/03 respectively.

SCBNL has a slightly high mean ratio than NABIL i.e., 2.37>2.24. It reveals that SCBNL has been able to earn high profit on total working fund in comparison to NABIL. One point worth making here is that NABIL has managed and utilized it assets more efficiently than SCBNL from F/Y 2004/05 onwards and its return on assets have also been higher. SCBNL has not managed its assets well post F/Y 2004/2005. Its return on total assets has also been lower in comparison to NABIL in F/Y 2005/06 and 2006/07.

From the viewpoint of C.V., SCBNL's ratios are more consistent than NABIL i.e. $5 \%<26.10 \%$. Both banks need to exert more effort in mobilizing its working assets in an efficient manner.

## iii) Total Interest Earned to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total interest earned by total assets. The following table shows interest earned to total working fund ratio of NABIL and SCBNL during the review period.

Table No. 4.13
Total Interest earned to total asset (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 6.90 | 6.42 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 6.23 | 5.40 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6.11 | 4.70 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5.86 | 4.36 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 6.09 | 4.77 |
| Mean | 6.24 | 5.13 |
| S.D. | 0.35 | 0.73 |
| C.V. | 5.60 | 14.18 |

Source: Appendix-15

The above table reflects a decreasing trend in interest earning ratio of both the banks. NABIL has had a high ratio of $6.90 \%$ in F/Y 2002/2003 and a low ratio of $5.86 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006. Similarly, SCBNL has experienced a high total interest earned to total asset ratio of $6.42 \%$ in F/Y 2002/2003 and a low of $4.36 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006.

The average Interest earning ratio of NABIL is $6.24 \%$ where as the same for SCBNL is $5.13 \%$. This reflects that NABIL has been stronger in terms of interest earning power with respect to total working fund than SCBNL.

From the above analysis, conclusion can be made that NABIL has been able to earn high interest on its total assets i.e., it has been more successful
in mobilizing its assets to generate high income. The decreasing trend of interest earning ratio with respect to total working fund is a matter of concern, and both the banks need to look for ways to improve upon their interest earnings.

## iv) Total Interest Earned to Total Operating Income Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total interest earning by net operating income. The following table shows interest earned to total operating income ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No.4.14
Interest Earned to Total Operating Income Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 80.51 | 75.78 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 68.34 | 70.06 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 75.93 | 66.60 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 75.10 | 68.51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 74.30 | 67.29 |
| Mean | 74.84 | 69.65 |
| S.D. | 3.90 | 3.28 |
| C.V. | 5.20 | 4.71 |

Source: Appendix-16

The above table shows that both the banks have a fluctuating trend of Interest earning ratio. SCBNL has had a decreasing trend of Interest earned to total operating income ratio except for F/Y 2005/06. The higher and lower ratios of NABIL are $80.51 \%$ in F/Y 2002/2003 and $68.34 \% \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2003/2004 respectively. SCBNL has had a high interest earned to total operating income ratio of $75.78 \%$ in F/Y 2002/2003 and a low of $66.60 \%$ in F/Y 2004/2005.
The mean ratio of NABIL is higher than SCBNL i.e., $74.84 \%>69.65 \%$. On the basis of mean ratio, NABIL has been more successful in earning higher amount of interest income out of total operating income.

On the other hand, the variability in Interest earned to total operating income of both the banks are similar. Both have been equally consistent in their ratios.

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that NABIL has mobilized its funds in interest bearing assets better than SCBNL. It is also evident that SCBNL has given more priority to non-fund based income to earn higher profit than NABIL. NABIL needs to increase its income from off balance sheet operation as well.

## v) Total Interest Earned to Total outside Assets Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total interest earned by total outside asset. The following table shows interest earned to total outside assets

Table No. 4.15
Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets Ratio

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 7.90 | 8.16 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7.00 | 6.77 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 7.20 | 6.12 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6.96 | 5.77 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 7.02 | 5.84 |
| Mean | 7.22 | 6.53 |
| S.D. | 0.33 | 1.13 |
| C.V. | 4.89 | 17.80 |

Source: Appendix-17

The above table reflects a fluctuating trend in Interest earned to total outside assets in case of NABIL, where as SCBNL ratios have a decreasing trend.

NABIL has recorded a high ratio of $7.90 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2002/2003 and a low ratio of $6.96 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006. SCBNL has had a high ratio of $8.16 \%$ in FY 2002/2003 and a low ratio of 5.77\% in F/Y 2005/2006.

In case of mean ratio, NABIL has a higher ratio than SCBNL i.e. $7.02 \%>6.53 \%$. It is clear that NABIL has earned higher amount of interest on its outside assets in comparison to SCBNL. The C.V. of NABIL is quite lower than SCBNL (i.e. $4.89 \%<17.80 \%$ ). This indicates that NABIL ratios are more stable than SCBNL.

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that NABIL seems to be more successful in earning high interest on its outside assets than SCBNL.

Total Interest earned to total outside assets ratios of NABIL and SCBNL are graphically presented as follows:

Figure No. 4.8
Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets Ratio (\%)


## vi) Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total interest paid by total working fund. The following table shows the total interest paid to total interest paid to total working fund ratio of NABIL and SCBNL for the five-year study period.

Table No.4.16
Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 3.15 | 2.45 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 2.57 | 1.60 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1.90 | 1.20 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1.65 | 1.15 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1.39 | 1.15 |
| Mean | 2.13 | 1.51 |
| S.D. | 0.64 | 0.50 |
| C.V. | 30.00 | 33.00 |

Source: Appendix-18

The above table shows a decreasing trend in total Interest paid to total working fund ratio of both the banks. The decrease in Interest expenses can be attributed to an all time low Interest rate offered by banks on deposits, lower interest rates on inter-bank taking, and bank borrowings.

The average ratio of SCBNL with regards to total interest paid to total working fund ratio is slightly lower than that of NABIL i.e. $1.51 \%<2.13 \%$. In terms of C.V., SCBNL ratios are more stable than that of NABIL.

Overall, we can say that SCBNL is in a better position form interest payment point of view that NABIL. SCBNL seems to have collected its funds from cheaper sources than NABIL.

## D) Risk Ratio

The following risk ratios have been used to measure the risk involved in financial operation of NABIL and SCBNL.

## i) Liquidity Risk Ratio

Liquidity risk is calculated by dividing cash and bank balance by total deposits. The following table shows the liquidity risk involved in NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No. 4.17
Liquidity Risk Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 5.13 | 6.23 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 6.78 | 5.21 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8.51 | 8.06 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6.87 | 9.56 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 3.83 | 5.75 |
| Mean | 6.22 | 6.96 |
| S.D. | 1.60 | 1.62 |
| C.V. | 25.76 | 23.21 |

Source: Appendix-4

The above table shows that the liquidity risk ratios of both the banks have fluctuating trend. NABIL has recorded a high ratio of $8.51 \%$ and a low ratio of $3.83 \%$. Similarly, SCBNL has recorded a high liquidity risk ratio of $9.56 \%$ and a low of $5.21 \%$.

When mean ratio are taken it is found that SCBNL's liquidity risk is lower than that of NABIL i.e. $6.96>6.22$. SCBNL has more cash \& bank balance than NABIL to meet its current obligations. But not discount the fact that, too much idle cash has an adverse impact on profitability. A trade off between liquidity and profitability must be maintained at all times.
On comparison of C.V.'s of both the banks, both seem equally consistent.

## ii) Credit Risk Ratio

This ratio is calculated by dividing total loan and advances by total assets. The following table shows the comparative credit risk ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No. 4.18
Credit Risk Ratio (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL | SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 52.56 | 36.82 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 50.31 | 35.97 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 60.34 | 32.00 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 60.55 | 31.63 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 75.05 | 43.55 |
| Mean | 59.76 | 35.99 |
| S.D. | 8.67 | 4.31 |
| C.V. | 14.51 | 11.97 |

Source: Appendix-8

The above table shows that NABIL's credit risk ratios are in a decreasing trend till F/Y 2003/2004. There after they have an increasing trend. The ratios of SCBNL have a decreasing trend upto F/Y 2005/2006.

NABIL has had a high ratio of $75.05 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007 and a low ratio of $50.31 \%$ F/Y 2003/2004. Similarly, SCBNL has had a high ratio of $43.55 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007 and a low ratio of 31.63\% in F/Y 2005/2006.

The mean ratio of SCBNL is lower than that of NABIL ie., $35.99 \%<59.76 \%$.This indicates that NABIL has more exposure to credit risk than its counterpart. The decreasing trend of SCBNL's ratios projects a picture that SCBNL is trying to reduce its credit risk. From the point of view of C.V., both banks seem to have had consistent ratios during the study period.

## E) Growth Ratio

Under this topic the following ratios directly related to fund mobilization and investment of the banks are calculated:
I) Growth ratios of total deposit
II) Growth ratio of total Investment
III) Growth ratio of loan and advances
IV) Growth ratio of net profit.

Table No. 4.19

## Growth Rate of Total Deposit (\%)

(Rs. in Million)

| F/Y | NABIL |  | SCBNL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Deposits (Rs.) | $\%$ | Total Deposits (Rs.) | $\%$ |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 15839.00 | 0 | 15430.05 | 0 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 15506.43 | $(2.1)$ | 15835.75 | 2.63 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 13447.66 | $(13.28)$ | 18755.63 | 18.44 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 14119.03 | 4.99 | 21161.44 | 12.83 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | $14,587.00$ | 3.31 | 19335.09 | $(8.63)$ |
| Mean |  | $(1.42)$ |  | 5.05 |
| S.D. |  | 7.28 |  | 7.54 |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

The growth rate of deposits of both the banks are in a fluctuating trend. The average growth rates of deposits of SCBNL are significantly higher than NABIL i.e. $5.05 \%>(1.42 \%)$. During the study period NABIL has experienced a negative growth. It also reflects NABIL dismal performance in collecting more deposits. NABIL has experienced negative growth rate in F/Y 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 respectively. NABIL has consciously decreased deposits by $2.1 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004 and $13.28 \%$ in F/Y 2005/2006 as per its strategy of shedding high cost and unprofitable deposit.

On the contrary, SCBNL has been successful in increasing its deposit year after year except for F/Y 2006/2007 where it has had a negative growth. This is a solid proof of its high quality service, image, and credibility in the mind of depositors.

Table No. 4.20
Growth Rate of Total Loan and Advances (\%)

| F/Y | NABIL |  | SCBNL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> advances (Rs.) | $\%$ |  <br> advances (Rs.) | $\%$ |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 8324.44 | 0 | 5681.35 | 0 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7801.85 | $(5.28)$ | 5696.18 | 0.26 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8113.68 | 4.00 | 6000.16 | 5.35 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 8548.66 | 5.36 | 6693.86 | 11.54 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | $10,947.00$ | 28.06 | 8420.86 | 25.80 |
| Mean |  | 6.23 |  | 8.59 |
| S.D. |  | 10.17 |  | 9.57 |

[^2]The growth rate of total loan and advances of both the banks are in a fluctuating trend. The average growth rate of total loan and advances of SCBNL is better than NABIL i.e. $8.59 \%>6.23 \%$. This ratio can be misleading in the sense that the ratio of loan and advance to current assets, total deposits, total working fund of SCBNL is comparatively less than that of NABIL. NABIL has experienced a negative growth in F/Y $2003 / 2004$. This was due to a cautious approach taken by the bank in consolidating its business instead of exploring high-risk new business.

Table No.4.21
Growth Rate of Total Investment (\%)
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | NABIL |  | SCBNL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Investment <br> (Rs) | $\%$ | Total Investment <br> (Rs) | $\%$ |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 7704.31 | 0 | 9559.18 | 0 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 8199.51 | 6.43 | 9275.88 | $(2.96)$ |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6031.18 | $(26.44)$ | 10357.68 | 11.66 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5835.95 | $(3.24)$ | 11360.33 | 9.68 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 4267.23 | $(26.88)$ | 9702.50 | $(14.59)$ |
| Mean |  | $(10.03)$ |  | 0.76 |
| S.D. |  | 11.94 |  | 5.58 |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

The growth rate of total investment of both the banks are in a fluctuating trend. NABIL has witnessed a high growth rate of 6.43 \% in F/Y 2003/2004 and a negative growth rate of $26.88 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007.

On the other hand SCBNL has had a high growth rate of $11.66 \%$ in $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2004/2005 and highest negative growth rate of $14.59 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007. The average growth ratio of investment of SCBNL seems to be higher than NABIL i.e., $0.76 \%>(10.03 \%)$.

Table No.4.22
Growth Rate of Net Profit (\%)
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | NABIL |  | SCBNL |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Profit (Rs.) | $\%$ | Net Profit (Rs.) | $\%$ |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 291.38 | 0 | 430.83 | 0 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 271.64 | $(6.77)$ | 479.21 | 11.23 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 416.24 | 53.23 | 506.93 | 5.78 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 455.32 | 9.39 | 537.80 | 6.09 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 519 | 13.99 | 539.20 | 0.26 |
| Mean |  | 13.97 |  | 4.67 |
| S.D. |  | 18.77 |  | 3.80 |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

The growth rate of net profit of both the banks has a fluctuating trend. NABIL has recorded a high growth rate of 53.23\% in F/Y 2004/2005 and a low negative growth rate of $6.77 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004. Similarly, SCBNL has had a high growth rate of $11.23 \%$ in F/Y 2003/2004 and a low growth rate of $0.26 \%$ in F/Y 2006/2007. Overall, SCBNL has been successful in increasing its net profit year after year though not in a manner its stakeholders would have liked it to.

The mean growth rate of NABIL is higher than SCBNL i.e., $13.97 \%>4.67 \%$. This is due to a surge in net profit of NABIL by $53.23 \%$ in F/Y 2004/2005 over the previous F/Y. This sudden surge in net profit has made the growth ratios of NABIL unstable in comparison to SCBNL.

### 4.1.2. Statistical Tools

Under this topic, some statistical tools such as coefficient of correlation analysis between different variables, trend analysis of deposits, loan and advances, Investment and net profit as well as hypothesis test (t-statistic) are used to achieve the objectives of the study. These statistical tools are as follows:

## A) Coefficient of Correlation Analysis

Under this topic, Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation is used to find out the relationship between deposit and loan and advances, deposit and total investment, outside assets and net profit, deposits and net profit, deposits and interest earned, loan and advances and interest paid, total working fund and net profit.

## i) Coefficient of Correlation Between Deposits and Loan and Advances

The coefficient of correlation between deposits and loan and advances measures the degree of relationship between them. In our study, we have taken deposit as an independent variable denoted by ( x ) and loan and
advance as dependent variable (y). The main objective of calculating 'r' between these two variables is to justify whether deposits are significantly used as loan and advances or not.

The following table shows the value of ' r ' $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, PEr and 6PEr between total deposits and loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period (for detail see Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ).

Table No. 4.23
Correlation between Deposit and Loan and Advances

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P E r}$ | $\mathbf{6 P E r}$ | Remarks |
| NABIL | 0.1177 | 0.0138 | 0.2975 | 1.7849 | Insignificant |
| SCBNL | 0.595 | 0.353 | 0.1948 | 1.17 | Insignificant |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

In the above table the coefficient of correlation between deposit and loan and advances in case of NABIL is 0.1177. This indicates that there exists a somewhat positive relationship between deposit and loan and advances. The calculated value of $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ or coefficient of determination is 0.0138 . This means $1.38 \%$ of variation of the dependent variable (loan and advances) has been explained by the independent variable (deposit). When the value of 'r' i.e., 0.1177 is compared with six times the probably error or 6PEr. i.e., 1.7849, we can say that there exists no significant relationship between deposits and loan advances because ' $r$ ' is lower than six times PE.r i.e. $0.1177<1.7849$. The coefficient of correlation 'r' between deposits and loan and advances incase of SCBNL is 0.595 , which gives us an indication of higher positive correlation between them. Similarly, the value of coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is found to be 0.353 . This shows that $35.30 \%$ variation of dependent variable (loan and advances) has been explained by the independent variable (deposits). The value of ' $r$ ' is less than six times PE.r. i.e. 0.595>0.1.17.

From the above analysis, we can conclude that though both the banks show positive relationship between deposits and loan and advance, the relationship is highly significant in case of SCBNL and the value of ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) shows higher percentage of dependency. In case of NABIL the relationship is less significant and ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) shows lower percentage of dependency. It
indicates SCBNL has been more successful in utilizing its deposits in a proper manner than NABIL. To sum up, the increase in loan and advance is not due to effective mobilization of deposits rather other factors have played a greater role in increase in loan and advances.

## ii) Coefficient of Correlation between Deposit and Total Investment.

Coefficient of correlation between deposit and total investment measures the degree of relationship between these two variables. Here deposit is taken as independent variable ( x ) and the variable dependent on deposits is total investment, which is denoted by (y). The purpose of calculating ' r ' is to judge whether deposits are significantly mobilized as Investments or not.
The following table shows the value of ' r ( $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right.$ ) PEr \& 6PEr of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period.

Table No. 4.24
Correlation between Deposit and Total Investment

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | PER | $\mathbf{6 ~ P E R}$ | Remarks |
| NABIL | 0.64 | 0.409 | 0.178 | 1.07 | Insignificant |
| SCBNL | 0.953 | 0.908 | 0.028 | 0.167 | Significant |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

The coefficient of correlation 'r' between deposits and total investment in case of NABIL is 0.64 , which indicates a positive correlation between deposits and total investment. Coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is 0.409 . This indicates $41 \%$ of variation of the dependent variable has been explained by independent variable. The value of 'r' i.e. 0.64 is less than six times PEr. This states that there does not exist a significant relationship between deposits and total investment.

The coefficient of correlation 'r' between deposits and total investment in case of SCBNL is 0.953 , which indicates a positive relationship between the two variables. The coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ is 0.908 . This indicates that $91 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable has been explained by
independent variable. Moreover ' r ' is greater than six times P.E.r, which further states that there is a significant relationship between deposits and total investment.

In conclusion, it can be said that both the banks show significant relationship between total deposits and total investment. However, the relationship is more significant in case of SCBNL.

## iii) Co-efficient of Correlation between Outside Assets and Net Profit.

Coefficient of correlation 'r' between outside asset and net profit measures the degree of relationship between these two variables. The main objective of calculating coefficient of correlation between outside asset and net profit is to justify whether the net profit is significantly correlated with total outside assets or not.

The following shows the value of 'r' 'r${ }^{2 \prime}$ PE.r. \& 6PEr. of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period.

Table No.4. 25
Correlation between Outside Assets and Net Profit

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | PEr | $\mathbf{6 P e r}$ | Remarks |
| NABIL | -0.67 | 0.44 | 0.17 | 1.01 | Insignificant |
| SCBNL | 0.89 | 0.78 | 0.065 | 0.39 | Significant |

Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

The coefficient of correlation ' $r$ ' between outside assets and net profit in case of NABIL is -0.67 , which indicates a negative relationship between these two variables. The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is 0.0117 . The value of 6 pEr i.e. 0.1 is greater than the value of ' r ' i.e. -0.67 . This states that there exists an insignificant relationship between outside assets and net profit of NABIL.

The coefficient of correlation between outside assets and net profit in case of SCBNL is 0.89 , which indicates a positive relationship between the two variables. The value of ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is 0.78 which highlights that $78 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable. Likewise when we compare 6PEr with the value of ' $r$ ' we can say that there exists a significant relationship between outside assets and net profit because ' r ' is greater than six times PE.r. i.e., $0.89>0.39$. Thus SCBNL statistics portray a significant correlation between mobilization of outside assets and net profit.

Thus, in view of above we can conclude that the relationship between outside assets and net profit incase of NABIL is insignificant and a highly significant relationship exists in case of SCBNL.In case of SCBNL high percentage of dependency as shown by ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) suggests that outside assets have a greater role to play in increase in net profit.

## iv) Coefficient of Correlation between Deposit and Net Profit.

The coefficient of correlation between deposit and net profit measures the degree of relationship between these two variables. Here, deposit is independent variable ( $x$ ) and net profit is dependent variable (y). The main purpose of calculating between these two variables is to justify whether net profit is significantly correlated with deposits or not.

The following table shows the value of $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}^{2}, \mathrm{PEr} \& 6 \mathrm{Er}$ of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period (for detail see Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ ).

$$
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$$

Correlation between Deposit and Net Profit

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P E r}$ | $\mathbf{6 P e r}$ |  |
| NABIL | -0.70 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.92 | Insignificant |
| SCBNL | 0.75 | 0.56 | 0.132 | 0.79 | Significant |

The coefficient of correlation between deposits and net profit in case of NABIL is -0.70 , which shows a negative relationship between deposits and net profit. It has been able to increase its net profit despite shedding of RS. 2 billion in deposits. The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ )
is 0.49 , which indicates $49 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable (net profit) has been explained by the independent variable (deposits). The value of 6 PEr is greater than 'r' i.e. 0.92>-0.70. This states that there exists an insignificant relationship between deposits and net profit.

The coefficient of correlation between deposits and net profit in case of SCBNL is 0.75 , which indicates a positive relationship between these variables. The value of (r2) is 0.56 indicates that $56 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable. The value of 'r' is less than 6 PEr i.e. $0.75<0.79$, which states that these exists a positive relationship between deposit and net profit though not highly significant.

From the above analysis, we can conclude that NABIL shows negative relationship or insignificant relationship and SCBNL shows positive relationship between deposit and net profit. The value of ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) in case of NABIL shows lower percentage of dependency and the same in case of SCBNL shows higher percentage of dependency. The increase in net profit in case of SCBNL is due to effective mobilization of deposits and other factors have a lesser role to play in increase in net profits. SCBNL has been more successful in mobilizing its deposits to yield higher profits year after year.

## V) Co-efficient of Correlation between Deposits and Interest Earned

The coefficient of correlation between deposits and interest earned measure the relationship between these two variables. Here deposit is independent variable ( $x$ ) and interest earned is dependent variable ( $y$ ). The main objective of calculating 'r' between these two variables is to justify whether deposit is significantly used to earn interest or not.

The following table sows the values of $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}^{2}, \mathrm{PEr} \& 6 \mathrm{PEr}$ of NABIL and SCBNL during the study period.

Table No.4.27
Correlation between Deposit and Interest earned

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P E r}$ | $\mathbf{6 P e r}$ |  |
| NABIL | 0.88 | 0.78 | 0.067 | 0.40 | Sign |
| SCBNL | -0.50 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 1.35 | Insignificant |

The coefficient of correlation 'r' between deposit and interest earned in case of NABIL is 0.88 , which indicates a positive relationship between these variables. When deposits increased, the interest income subsequently increased but when it fell the interest income also fell. The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is 0.78 , which shows that $78 \%$ of the variation of dependent variable has been explained by independent variable. The value of six times PEr is less than 'r' i.e. $0.40<0.0 .88$. This states that there exists significant relationship between deposit and interest earned.

The coefficient of correlation 'r' between deposit and interest earned in case of SCBNL is -0.50 , which projects a negative relationship between these variables. Its interest income has decreased despite an increase in total deposits. The coefficient of determination $\left(r^{2}\right)$ is 0.25 , which shows that $25 \%$ of the variation of dependent variable has been explained by the independent variable. The value of 'r' i.e. -0.50 is considerably less than six times PEr. This shows that there is insignificant relationship between interest earned and total deposits.

In conclusion, we can say that the relationship between deposit and interest earned in case of NABIL is highly significant with NABIL showing higher percentage of dependency and the relationship between the variables is insignificant in case of SCBNL. In case of NABIL effective mobilization of deposits has had a major role to play in its earnings, where as other factors are responsible in the earnings of SCBNL.

## vi) Coefficient of Correlation between Loan and Advances and Interest Paid

The coefficient of correlation between loan and advances and interest paid measures the relationship between these two variables. Here, loan and advances is independent variable ( $x$ ) and interest paid is dependent variable (y). The purpose of calculating 'r' between these variables is to establish whether increase in loan advances has any role to play in decrease in Interest expenses and vice-versa.

The following table shows the values of $r, r^{2}, \mathrm{PEr}$ and 6PEr of NABIL and SCBNL during the period of study.

Table No.4. 28
Correlation between Loan and Advances and Interest Paid

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P E r}$ | $\mathbf{6 P e r}$ | Remarks |
| NABIL | 0.57 | 0.33 | 0.203 | 1.22 | Significant |
| SCBNL | -0.50 | 0.25 | 0.225 | 1.35 | Insignificant |

The calculated values of ' $r$ ' of both the banks reflect a negative relationship between loan and advances and Interest paid.

The coefficient of determination $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}\right)$ in case of both the banks shows a lower degree of dependency.

The values of PEr is considerably greater than 'r' in both the cases, which states that there does not exist any significant relationship between loan and advances and interest paid during the study period for the above mentioned banks.

In conclusion no relationship could be established between the variables in case of both the banks.

## vii) Coefficient of Correlation between Total Working Fund and Net Profit

The coefficient of correlation between these variables measures the degree of relationship between them. In our analysis, total working fund is taken as independent variable ( x ) and net profit is taken as dependent variable (y). The main objective of calculating 'r' is to justify whether total working fund is significantly used to generate earnings or in other words whether total working fund and net profit are significantly correlated or not.

The following table shows the value of $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}^{2}, \mathrm{PEr}$, and 6PEr between these two variables of NABIL and SCBNL.

Table No.4.29
Correlation between Total Assets and Net Profit

| Bank | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{P e r}$ | $\mathbf{6 P E r}$ |  |
| NABIL | -0.643 | 0.413 | 0.177 | 1.06 | Insignificant |
| SCBNL | 0.84 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.54 | Significant |

The coefficient of correlation 'r' between total assets and net profit is case of NABIL is -0.643 which indicates a negative relationship between these variables. The coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ) is 0.413 , which shows that only $41.30 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable has been explained by independent variable. The value of 6PEr is greater than 'r' i.e. 1.06>0.643 . This further states that there exists an insignificant relation between the variables.

The coefficient of correlation ' $r$ ' between total assets and net profit in case of SCBNL is 0.84 , which shows a positive relationship between total working fund and net profit. The coefficient of determination (r2) is 0.71 , which indicates that $71 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable has been explained by the in dependent variable. The value of 6 PEr is less than 'r', which implies that there exists a significant relationship between these variables.

## B. Trend analysis and projection for next five years

The objectives of this analysis are to analyze the trend of deposit collection, its utilization and net profit of NABIL and SCBNL. This topic analyzes the trend of deposits, loan and advances, total investment and net profit and its projection for the next five years the basis of past performance and records available.

## i) Analysis of Trend value of Total Deposit

Under this topic, based on the trend values of deposit from F/Y 2002/2003 to 2006 /2007, an attempt has been made to forecast the projection for next five years, i.e. upto F/Y 2011/2012.

The following table shows the trend value of deposits from F/Y 2002/2003 to F/Y 2011/2012 (For detail refer Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{15}$ \& $\mathrm{A}_{16}$ )

Table No.4.30
Trend Values of Total Deposit of NABIL and SCBNL
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | Trend Value of NABIL | Trend Value of SCBNL |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 15478.10 | 15476.45 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 15088.96 | 16790.02 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 14699.82 | 18103.59 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 14310.68 | 19417.16 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 13921.54 | 20730.73 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 13532.40 | 22.44 .30 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 13143.26 | 23357.87 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 12754.12 | 24671.44 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 12364.98 | 25985.01 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 11975.84 | 27298.58 |

From the above comparative table it is clear that trend values of SCBNL is in an increasing trend. If other things remain unchanged the total deposit of NABIL is predicted to be Rs. 11975.84 million and that of SCBNL to be more than two times the deposit of NABIL by the end of F/Y 2011/2012 i.e. Rs. 27928.58 million.

From the above trend analysis, it is quite obvious that SCBNL's deposit collection is proportionately much better than NABIL. The trend values of total deposit of both NABIL and SCBNL are fitted in the trend lines given in figure 4.9 .

Figure No.4.9
Trend Values of Total Deposit of NABIL and SCBNL


## ii) Analysis of Trend Values of Loan and Advances

Here, the trend values of loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL have been calculated for five years from F/Y 2002/2003 to 2006/2007 and the forecast for next five years. i.e. from F/Y 2006/2007 to F/Y 2011/2012 has been made (for detail refer Appendix- $\mathrm{A}_{17}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{18}$ )

Table No. 4.31
Trend Values of Loan and Advances of NABIL and SCBNL
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | Trend Value of NABIL | Trend Value of SCBNL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 7698.10 | 5203.14 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 8222.61 | 5850.81 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8747.12 | 6498.48 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 9271.63 | 7146.15 |


| $2006 / 2007$ | 9796.14 | 7793.82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 10320.65 | 8441.49 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 10845.16 | 9081.16 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 11369.67 | 9736.83 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 11894.18 | 10384.50 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 12418.69 | 11032.17 |

The above table clearly shows that the loan and advance of both the banks are in an increasing trend. Assuming that other things will remain constant, the loan and advances of NABIL at the end of F/Y 2011/2012 is predicted to be Rs. 12418.69 million. Similarly, the projection for SCBNL at the end of F/Y 2011/2012 is Rs 11032.17 million.

From the above trend analysis, it is quite clear that NABIL's loan and advances in relation to SCBNL is comparatively higher through out the trend projection period. The above trend values of loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL are fitted in the trend line given in Figure No. 5.

Figure No. 5
Trend values of loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL


## iii) Analysis of Trend Values of Total Investment

Under this topic, based on the trend values of Investment from F/Y 2002/2003 to 2006/2007, an attempt has been made to forecast the projections for next five years i.e. upto F/Y 2011/2012.

The following table shows the trend value investment from $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2002/2003 to F/Y 2011/2012 (for detail refer Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{19}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{20}$ )

Table No. 4.32
Trend Values of Investment of NABIL and SCBNL
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | Trend Value of NABIL | Trend Value of SCBNL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 8255.77 | 9576.91 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7330.00 | 9814.01 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6404.23 | 10051.11 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5478.46 | 10288.21 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 4552.69 | 10525.31 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3626.92 | 10762.41 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 2701.15 | 10999.51 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 1775.38 | 11236.61 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 849.61 | 11473.71 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 0 | 11710.81 |

From the above table it is clear that the trend value of both the banks are in an increasing trend. If other things remain unchanged total investment of SCBNL to be Rs. 11710.81 million. Which is also the highest under the review period.

The above table reveals that SCBNL's total investment is higher than that of NABIL through out the trend projection period. It can be said that SCBNL has followed the policy of maximizing its investment. The above calculated trend values of NABIL and SCBNL are fitted in the trend line given in Fig No. 6.

Figure No. 4.11
Trend values of Investment of NABIL and SCBNL


## iv) Analysis of Trend Values of Net Profit

Under this topic, based on the trend values of net profit from $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ $2002 / 2003$ to $2006 / 2007$, an attempt has been made to forecast the projections for next five years i.e. upto F/Y 2011/2012.

The following table shows the trend value of net profit from $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{Y}$ 2002/2003 to F/Y 2011/2012 (for detail refer Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{21}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{22}$ )

Table No. 4.33
Trend Value of Net Profit of NABIL and SCBNL
Rs. in Million

| F/Y | Trend Value of NABIL | Trend Value of SCBNL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 262.87 | 443.73 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 326.79 | 471.26 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 390.71 | 498.79 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 454.63 | 526.32 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 518.55 | 553.85 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 582.47 | 581.38 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 646.39 | 608.91 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 710.31 | 636.44 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 774.23 | 663.97 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 838.15 | 691.50 |

From the above table it is clear that the trend value of both the banks are in increasing trend. The trend value of NABIL will be highest in F/Y 2011/2012 i.e. Rs. 838.15 million. In case of SCBNL net profit will be Rs
691.50 million in F/Y 2011/2012, which is the highest under the review period.

Looking at the trend values NABIL would surpass SCBNL in this fiscal itself. It can be said that both the banks have followed the policy of maximizing their net profit.

However, we can draw a conclusion that NABIL has utilized its fund better than SCBNL to earn higher amounts of profit. The above calculated trend values of net profit of NABIL and SCBNL are fitted in the trend live given in figure 7 .

Figure No. 4.12
Trend value of Net Profit of NABIL AND SCBNL


## C. Test of Hypothesis

Under this topic, an effort has been made to test the significance level regarding the parameter of the population on the basis of sample drawn from the population.
(a) Test of Hypothesis on Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.
Let loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL be denoted by X and Y respectively.

Calculated $\mathrm{S}^{2}=51.98$ (for detail see Appendix $\mathrm{A}_{23}$ )

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis $\left(H_{0}\right): \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is no significant difference between mean ratio of loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.
Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is significant difference between mean ratio of loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.

## Test Statistic

Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, the test statistic is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)}} \quad \text { (with .......... d.f. }=\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-2 \text { ) } \\
|\mathrm{t}|=8.32
\end{gathered}
$$

To identify whether there is significance different between mean ratio of loan and advance to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL or not, $t$-test has been conducted. The result of $t$-test has been presented as table given below:

Table No. 4.34
Test of Hypothesis on Loan and Advances to
Total Deposit Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

| d.f. | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {cal }}$ at 5\% | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {tab }}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 8.32 | 2.306 | Significant |

## Decision:

The tabulated value of $t$ for 8 d.f. at $5 \%$ level of significance is 2.306 . Since calculated ' t ' is much greater than tabulated ' t ' it is highly significant. Hence $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ is rejected at $5 \%$ level of significance and we can conclude that there is significant difference between mean ratios of loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.

## b) Test of Hypothesis on total Investment to Total Deposits

 Ratio NABIL and SCBNL.Let, the total investment to total deposit ratio of NABIL and SCBNL be denoted by X and Y .

Calculated $\mathrm{S}^{2}=50.72$ (for detail see Appendix A24)

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right): \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., There is no significant difference between the mean ratios of total investment to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \mu_{1} \# \mu_{2}$ i.e., There is significant difference between the mean ratio of total investment to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.

## Test Statistic

Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, the test statistic is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}=\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)}} \quad \text { (with .......... d.f. }=\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-2 \text { ) } \\
& |t|=4.41
\end{aligned}
$$

To identify whether there is significance different between mean ratio of total investment to total deposits of NABIL and SCBNL or not, t-test has been conducted. The result of $t$-test has been presented as table given below:

Table No. 4.35
Test of Hypothesis on total investment to total deposits ratio NABIL and SCBNL.

| d.f. | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {cal }}$ at 5\% | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {tab }}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4.41 | 2.306 | Significant |

## Decision:

The tabulated value of $t$ for 8 d . of at $5 \%$ level of significance is 2.306 . Since calculated is more than tabulated value of ' t ' it is highly significant. Hence H0: $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ is accepted at $5 \%$ level of significance and we may conclude that there exists a significant difference between mean ratios of total investment to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.

## c) Test Of Hypothesis On Investment in Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio Of NABIL AND SCBNL.

Let, the total Investment in Government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL be denoted by X and Y .

$$
\text { Calculated S² = } 14.52 \text { (for detail see Appendix A25) }
$$

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right): \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is no significant difference between the mean ratio of Investment in Government securities to current assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ i.e., there is significant difference between the man ratio of Investment in Government securities to current assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

## Test Statistic:

Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, the test statistic is

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)} \quad \text { (with .......... d.f. }=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}-2 \text { ) }
$$

$$
|t|=7.63
$$

To identify whether there is significance different between mean ratio of investment in government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL or not, $t$-test has been conducted. The result of $t$-test has been presented as table given below:

Table No. 4.36
Test of Hypothesis on Investment in Government Securities to Current Assets Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

| d.f. | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {cal }}$ at 5\% | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {tab }}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 7.63 | 2.306 | Significant |

## Decision:

The tabulated value of $t$ for 8 d.f. at $5 \%$ level of significance is 2.306 . Since calculated ' t ' is greater than tabulated ' t ' it is significant. Hence null Hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ is rejected at $5 \%$ level of significance and we may conclude that there is significant difference between the mean ratios of Investment in Government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

## d) Test of Hypothesis on Return on Loan and Advance Ratio

Let the return on loan and advance of NABIL and SCBNL be denoted by X and Y .

$$
\mathrm{S}^{2}=0.74\left(\text { for detail see Appendix }-\mathrm{A}_{26}\right)
$$

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right): \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is no significant difference between the mean ratio of return on loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$, i.e. there is significant difference between the mean ratio of return on loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL.

## Test Statistic

Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ the test statistic is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t} & =\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)} \quad\left(\text { with .......... d.f. }=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}-2\right) \\
|\mathrm{t}| & =8.84
\end{aligned}
$$

To identify whether there is significance different between mean ratio of return on loan and advance ratio of NABIL and SCBNL or not, t-test has been conducted. The result of t -test has been presented as table given below:

Table No. 4.37
Test of Hypothesis on Return on Loan and Advance Ratio

| d.f. | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\text {cal }}$ at 5\% | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\text {tab }}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 8.84 | 2.306 | Significant |

## Decision:

The tabulated value of ' t ' at $5 \%$ level of significance is 2.306 . Since calculated ' t ' is much greater than tabulated value of ' t ' it is highly significant. Hence Null Hypothesis Ho: $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., is rejected and Alternative Hypothesis $H_{1}: \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ is accepted at $5 \%$ level of significance and we can conclude that there is significant difference between the mean ratio of return on loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL.

## e) Test of Hypothesis on Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets:

Let, the total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL be denoted by X and Y respectively.

Calculated $\mathrm{S}^{2}=0.42$ (for detail see Appendix- $\mathrm{A}_{27}$ )

## Solution:

Null Hypothesis (Ho): $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ i.e., there is no significant difference between the mean ratio of total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

Alternative Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ i.e., there is significant difference between the mean ratio of total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

## Test Statistic

Under $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ the test statistic is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t} & =\frac{\bar{x}-\bar{y}}{\sqrt{S^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)} \quad\left(\text { with .......... d.f. }=\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}-2\right) \\
|\mathrm{t}| & =4.48
\end{aligned}
$$

To identify whether there is significance different between mean ratio of total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL or not, $t$-test has been conducted. The result of t -test has been presented as table given below:

Table No. 4.38
Test of Hypothesis on Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets

| d.f. | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {cal }}$ at 5\% | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {tab }}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4.48 | 2.306 | Significant |

## Decision:

The tabulated value of ' t ' at $5 \%$ level of significance is 2.306 . Since calculated value of ' t ' is much greater than tabulated value of ' t ' it is highly significant. Hence, Alternate hypothesis $\left(H_{o}\right): \mu_{1}=/ \mu_{2}$ is accepted at $5 \%$
level of significance and we can conclude that there is a significant difference between total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

### 4.2 Major findings of the Study

The liquidity position of NABIL and SCBNL reveals that:

1. From the analysis of current ratio it is found that the mean ratio of NABIL is slightly higher than SCBNL. The ratio of both the banks are highly consistent. The mean current ratio of both the banks is greater than 1.
2. The mean ratio of cash and bank balance to total deposits of SCBNL is slightly higher than NABIL. SCBNL has better liquidity position than NABIL because of high percentage of liquid assets. This shows SCBNL readiness to meet its customer requirement. On the contrary, a high liquidity also indicates the inability of the bank to mobilize its current assets. The ratios of NABIL are more consistent than SCBNL.
3. The mean ratio of cash and bank balance to current assets of SCBNL is slightly higher than NABIL. This shows SCBNL's greater capacity to meet its customer's daily cash requirement than NABIL. The ratios of NABIL are less variable and more consistent than SCBNL.
4. The mean ratio of investment in Government securities to current assets of SCBNL is higher than NABIL. This shows that SCBNL has invested more of its fund in Government securities than NABIL. The ratios of SCBNL are less variable and more consistent than NABIL.
5. The mean ratio of loan and advances to current assets of NABIL is comparatively higher than SCBNL. The variability of ratios of NABIL ratios are more variable than SCBNL.
6. The mean ratio of loan and advances to total deposit ratio of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. In terms of consistency both have been stable in their ratios.
7. The mean ratio of total investment to total deposits of SCBNL is higher than NABIL. The ratios of SCBNL are more consistent and less variable than NABIL.
8. The mean ratio of loan and advances to total working fund of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. The ratios of NABIL and SCBNL are more or less consistent.
9. The mean ratio of Investment in Government securities to total working fund ratio of SCBNL is higher than NABIL. The ratios of SCBNL are less variable and more consistent than NABIL.
10. The mean ratio of Investment in shares and debentures to total working fund ratio of NABIL is slightly higher than SCBNL. NABIL ratios are more variable than that of SCBNL.
11. The mean ratio of return on total loan and advances of SCBNL has been found to be significantly greater than NABIL. The ratios of SCBNL are less variable and more consistent than NABIL.
12. The mean ratio o return on total working fund of SCBNL is slightly greater than NABIL. The ratios of NABIL are less consistent and more variable than SCBNL.
13. The mean ratio of total interest earned to total working fund of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. NABIL's ratios are more stable and less variable than SCBNL.
14. The mean ratio of total interest earned to total operating income of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. Both the banks have been fairly consistent in their ratios.
15. The mean ratio of total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. The ratios of NABIL are more consistent than SCBNL.
16. The mean ratio of total interest paid to total working fund ratio of SCBNL is lower than NABIL. SCBNL ratios are less consistent than NABIL ratios.
17. The mean liquidity risk ratio of SCBNL is lower than NABIL.
18. The mean credit risk ratio of SCBNL is lower than NABIL. Both the banks have been fairly consistent in their ratios.
19. The mean growth rate of total loan and advances of SCBNL is higher than NABIL.
20. The mean growth rate of total investment of NABIL is significantly higher than SCBNL.
21. The mean growth rate of net profit of NABIL is higher than SCBNL.
22. SCBNL has a higher value of coefficient of correlation between deposits and loan and advances than NABIL.
23. The co-efficient of correlation between deposits and total investment of SCBNL is slightly higher than NABIL.
24. The co-efficient of correlation between outside assets and net profit in case of NABIL is negative, whereas the same variables in case of SCBNL are highly correlated.
25. The co-efficient of correlation between deposit and net profit in case of NABIL to negative, where as SCBNL has a higher value of coefficient of correlation.
26. The coefficient of correlation between deposits and interest earned in case of SCBNL is negative, whereas NABIL has a higher value of coefficient of correlation.
27. The coefficient of correlation between total working fund and net profit in case of NABIL is negative, whereas the same variables are highly correlated in case of SCBNL.
28. The deposits of SCBNL has an increasing trend while NABIL'S trend values have a fluctuating trend. The total deposit of NABIL is predicted to be 11975.84 million and that of SCBNL to be 27298.58
million at the end of F/Y 2011/2012. The deposit collection of SCBNL is much better than NABIL.
29. The loan and advance of both the banks have an increasing trend. The total loan and advance of NABIL is predicted to be 12418.69 million and that of SCBNL to be 11032.17 million at the end of F/Y 2011/2012. The trend of loan and advances of NABIL is much better compared to SCBNL.
30. The total investments of SCBNL has an increasing trend while it is exactly the opposite in case of NABIL. SCBNL seems to have a muchfocused policy with regards to total investment than NABIL.
31. The net profits of both the banks are in an increasing trend. The net profit of NABIL and SCBNL is predicted at 838.15 million and 691.50 million respectively by the end of F/Y 2011/2012. The position of NABIL with regard to utilization of the fund to earn profit is better than SCBNL.
32. There is significant difference between mean ratio of loan and advances to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.
33. There is no significant difference between mean ratio of total investment to total deposit of NABIL and SCBNL.
34. There is significant difference between the mean ratio of investment in government securities to current assets ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.
35. There is significant difference between mean ratio of return on loan and advances of NABIL \& SCBNL.
36. There lies an insignificant difference between mean ratio of total interest earned to total outside assets of NABIL and SCBNL.

## CHAPTER - V SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1. Summary

The economic development of a country depends upon the development of commerce and industry and there is no doubt that banking promotes the development of commerce because banking itself is the part of commerce. The process of economic development depends upon various factors, however economists are now convinced that capital formation and its proper utilization plays a paramount role for rapid economic development.

The economic growth was very slow in earlier years. It has caught its full swing with the restoration democracy in the country. At present, overall economic growth rate still decline year by year. Reasons behind this decline are insecure situation faced by industry, decrease in the tourist arrival, drop in the production and export of carpet, garment and pashmina industry and political situation and Maoists insurgency.

The evolution of the organized financial system in Nepal has more recent history than in other countries of the world. In Nepalese context, the history of banking is not more than six decades. After the announcement of liberal and free market economic based policy, Nepalese banks and financial sectors have greater network and access to national and international markets. Commercial banks play a vital role which deals with other people's money and stimulate saving by mobilizing idle resources to those sectors having investment opportunities. Modern bank provides various services to their customers in view of facilitating their economic and social life.

In the study, the word 'investment' covers a wide range of activities like investment of income, savings or other collected fund. If there is no savings, there is no existence of investment therefore, savings and investment are interrelated. Investment policy is a one facet of the overall spectrum of policies that guide banks' investment operations and it ensures efficient allocation of funds to achieve the sustainable economic development of the nation. A sound and viable investment policy attracts both borrowers and lenders, which helps to increase the volumes and quality of deposits, loan and investment. Therefore, the investment policy should be carefully analyzed.

In this study, for the analysis and interpretation of the data different financial \& statistical tools are used. In the financial tools liquidity ratios, assets management ratios, profitability ratios, risk ratios and growth ratio have been used. Where as in statistical tools mean, standard deviation, coefficient of variation, trend analysis, coefficient of correlation and test of hypothesis have been used. Only the secondary data have been used for the analysis in this research. The data are obtained from annual reports of concerned banks, likewise, the financial statement of five years i.e. 2002/2003 to 2006/2007 was selected for the purpose evaluation.

### 5.2. Conclusion

This study reveals that the current ratio of both the banks is greater than 1, which should be considered satisfactory. The liquidity position of SCBNL is better than NABIL. The cash and bank balance of SCBNL with respect to deposits is greater than NABIL. This puts, SCBNL in a better position with respect to meeting customer requirement than NABIL. In contrast, a high ratio of non-earning cash and bank balance is an indication of bank's inbility to invest its fund in income generation areas. The cash and bank balance of SCBNL with respect to current assets is higher than NABIL. This shows greater capacity of SCBNL to meet its customer's cash requirement but that does not mean NABIL cannot meet its daily customer
cash requirement. SCBNL needs to invest its funds in more productive sectors.

SCBNL has invested more portions of its current assets and total working fund in government securities than NABIL. This is due to lack of other secured and profitable investment sector, whereas NABIL has invested more of its fund in other productive sectors.

NABIL has been more successful in mobilization of its total deposits and working fund as loan and advances and achieving higher profits in comparison to SCBNL. In contrast, a high ratio is not better from the point of view of liquidity, since loan and advances are less liquid than cash and bank balance.

NABIL has invested more of its funds in purchasing shares and debentures of other companies than SCBNL.

From the point of view of profitability, NABIL seems to be more successful than SCBNL with respect to Profit earning capacity by utilizing available resources. NABIL has also been more successful in terms of interest earning power. It has been more successful in mobilizing its funds in interest bearing assets to earn higher interest income. SCBNL is in a better position to meet its interest expenses as it has collected its fund from cheaper sources than NABIL.

The liquidity risk and credit risk of SCBNL is comparatively lower than NABIL. NABIL has more exposure to risk than SCBNL.

SCBNL has been successful in maintaining a steady growth rate on deposits, investments and loan and advances year after year. The average growth rate of net profit of NABIL is higher than SCBNL. SCBNL's growth in deposits can be accounted to its credibility, image and high quality service.

From the analysis of coefficient of correlation, we can say that both the banks show positive relationship between deposit and loan and advances, deposits and total investment. There exists a positive relationship between
deposits and net profit, outside assets and net profit in case of SCBNL and also between deposits and interest earned in case of NABIL.

SCBNL has insignificant relationship between deposits and interest earned, loan and advances and interest paid.

There is an insignificant relationship between deposits and net profit in case of NABIL.

The trend value of loan and advances, net profits of NABIL and SCBNL are in an increasing trend. The trend values of deposits and investment of SCBNL are proportionately higher than NABIL in all the years. The trend value of loan and advances of NABIL is proportionately better than SCBNL in all the years.

From the test of hypothesis, we can say that there exists a significant difference between the mean ratios of loan and advance to total deposit, investment in government securities to current assets, return on loan and advances of NABIL and SCBNL.

The test of hypothesis on mean ratios of total investment to total deposit, total interest earned to total outside assets show there is no significant difference in the ratios of NABIL and SCBNL except for Total Interest Earned to Total Outside assets.

### 5.3. Recommendations

On the basis of analysis, findings, following recommendations are made. The banks can make use of these recommendations to overcome their weakness, inefficiency and improve their present fund mobilization and their overall investment policy.

1. SCBNL has given more priority to invest its fund in government securities and depositors' money has been less utilized as loan and advances. Though securities issued by government are risk free but such instruments yield lower interest rate. SCBNL should identify less risky and profitable investment sectors and invest in them.
2. Both NABIL and SCBNL are recommended to increase their investment in consumer loan sector by offering competitive interest rates.
3. Both the banks have invested nominal percentage of its funds in shares and debentures of other companies. They are recommended to invest more in shares and debentures of financial and nonfinancial companies across different sectors including government corporations. This will encourage overall economic development of the country.
4. Both the banks are recommended to adhere to the directives issued by NRB and invest more in these sectors. NRB should also speed up its supervision and monitoring in this regard. It should ensure that such directives are put into practice in letter and spirit.
5. The introduction of margin lending by NBL at $6.5 \%$ per annum against shares of selected companies can be viewed as a new opportunity for investment. Bank sources claim to have already disbursed over Rupees 2.5 billion in a one and a half year period to margin customers. Since NABIL and SCBNL have sound liquidity position and also as their cost of fund is lower, the banks could embark on margin lending after conducting appropriate feasibility study.
6. Portfolio management refers to the allocation of funds into different components of its assets, having different degree of risk and varying rate of return in such a manner that the conflicting goals of maximum yield and minimum risk can be achieved. The portfolio condition of the banks should be regularly revised from time to time. Appointing an investment specialist as a portfolio manager or assigning the task of portfolio management to Manager Finance and Planning could prove beneficial.
7. The fee-based activities include commission, discount and fees. They yield high return to the bank. NABIL is not in a better position
with regard to income from off-balance sheet activities. It is recommended to enhance off-balance sheet operations as well.
8. Integrated and speedy development of the country is possible only when competitive banking services reaches nooks and corners of the country. NABIL and SCBNL have shown no interest to open branches in rural areas. Both the banks are recommended to expand their branches and banking services and facilities in rural areas and communities to accelerate their economic development. NRB sould implement policies to encourage banks, which provide extensive services while penalizing those who are not responsive to the banking needs of the community, including the underprivileged.
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## Appendix -1

NABIL BANK LTD
(Rs in million)

| S.N. | F/Y | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Current <br> Assets | 18098.96 | 17732.35 | 16644.97 | 16742.67 | 17027.75 |
| 2 | Current <br> Liabilities | 17226.20 | 16482.82 | 15248.44 | 15263.80 | 15528.69 |
| 3 | Cash and Bank Balance | 812.91 | 1051.82 | 1144.77 | 970.49 | 559.38 |
| 4 | Total Investment | 7704.31 | 8199.51 | 6031.18 | 5835.95 | 4267.23 |
| 5 | Total Deposit | 15839 | 15506.43 | 13447.66 | 14119.03 | 14587 |
| 6 | Loan and Advances | 8324.44 | 7801.85 | 8113.68 | 8548.66 | 10947 |
| 7 | Investment in Government Securities | 2732.96 | 4120.30 | 3588.77 | 3672.63 | 2413 |
| 8 | Investment on Shares and Debn. | 18.82 | 22.22 | 22.22 | 22.22 | 27.36 |
| 9 | Total Working Fund | 18367.15 | 17993.20 | 16668.44 | 17104.27 | 17546.89 |
| 10 | Total Interest Earned | 1266.70 | 1120.18 | 1017.87 | 1001.62 | 1068.74 |
| 11 | Total Interest Paid | 578.36 | 462.08 | 317.35 | 282.95 | 244 |
| 12 | Net Profit | 291.38 | 271.64 | 416.24 | 455.32 | 519 |
| 13 | Operating Income | 1573.33 | 1639.12 | 1340.50 | 1333.65 | 1438.44 |
| 14 | Total Outside Assets | 16028.75 | 16001.36 | 14144.26 | 14384.61 | 15213.97 |

## Appendix -2

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD.
(Rs. in million)

| S.N | F/Y | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Current <br> Assets | 19224.20 | 18663.02 | 21101.94 | 23778.25 | 22086.48 |
| 2 | Current <br> Liabilities | 18245.18 | 17207.63 | 19631.60 | 22146.32 | 20311.16 |
| 3 | Cash and <br> Bank Balance | 961.05 | 825.26 | 1512.30 | 2023.16 | 1111.11 |
| 4 | Total <br> Investment | 9559.18 | 9275.88 | 10357.68 | 11360.33 | 9702.5 |
| 5 | Total Deposit | 15430.05 | 15835.75 | 18755.63 | 21161.44 | 19335.09 |
| 6 | Loan and <br> Advances | 5681.35 | 5696.18 | 60001.16 | 6693.86 | 8420.86 |
| 7 | Investment in <br> Government <br> Securities | 4811.01 | 5784.72 | 6722.83 | 7948.22 | 7203.06 |
| 8 | Investment on <br> Share and <br> Debn. | 11.195 | 11.195 | 11.195 | 11.195 | 13.348 |
| 9 | Total Working <br> Fund | 19357.2 | 18775.27 | 21304.84 | 23925.68 | 22171.24 |
| 10 | Total Interest <br> earned | 1242.92 | 1013.64 | 1001.36 | 1042.18 | 1058.67 |
| 11 | Total Interest <br> paid | 474.4 | 299.86 | 255.15 | 275.81 | 254.13 |
| 12 | Net profit | 430.83 | 479.21 | 506.93 | 537.8 | 539.20 |
| 13 | Operating <br> Income | 1640.26 | 1446.81 | 1503.60 | 1521.16 | 1573.32 |
| 14 | Total Outside <br> Assets | 15240.53 | 14974.06 | 16357.84 | 18054.19 | 18123.42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix -3

NABIL BANK LTD

Current Ratio

| F/X | Current assets | Current Liabilities | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 18098.96 | 17226.20 | 1.051 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 17732.35 | 16482.82 | 1.076 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 16644.97 | 15248.44 | 1.092 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 16742.67 | 15263.80 | 1.097 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 17072.75 | 15528.69 | 1.097 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Current Ratio

| F/X | Current assets | Current Liabilities | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 19924.2 | 18196.01 | 1.054 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 18663.02 | 17150.05 | 1.085 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 21101.94 | 19569.39 | 1.075 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 23778.25 | 22086.20 | 1.074 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 22086.48 | 20311.16 | 1.087 |

## Appendix -4

NABIL BANK LTD
Cash and Bank Balance to Total Deposit Ratio

| F/Y | Cash \& Bank Balance | Total Deposit | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 812.91 | 15839.00 | 5.13 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1051.82 | 15506.43 | 6.78 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1144.77 | 13447.66 | 8.51 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 970.49 | 14119.03 | 6.87 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 559.38 | 14587.00 | 3.83 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Cash and Bank Balance to Total Deposit Ratio

| F/X | Cash \& Bank Balance | Total Deposit | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 961.05 | 15430.05 | 6.23 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 825.26 | 15835.75 | 5.21 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1512.30 | 18755.63 | 8.06 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 2023.13 | 21161.44 | 9.56 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1111.11 | $19,335.09$ | 5.75 |

## Appendix -5

NABIL BANK LTD

Cash and Bank Balance to Current Asset

| F/Y | Cash \& Bank Balance | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 812.91 | 18098.96 | 4.49 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1051.82 | 17732.35 | 5.93 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1144.77 | 16644.97 | 6.88 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 970.49 | 16742.67 | 5.80 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 559.38 | 17027.75 | 3.29 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Cash and Bank Balance to Current Asset

| F/X | Cash E Bank Balance | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 961.05 | 19224.20 | 5.00 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 825.26 | 18663.20 | 4.42 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1512.30 | 21101.94 | 7.17 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 2023.16 | 23778.25 | 8.51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1111.11 | 22086.48 | 5.03 |

## Appendix -6

NABIL BANK LTD
Investment in Government Securities to Current Asset Ratio

| F/Y | Investment in Government <br> Securities | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 2732.96 | 18098.96 | 15.10 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 4120.30 | 17732.35 | 23.24 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 3588.77 | 16644.97 | 21.56 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 3672.63 | 16742.67 | 21.94 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 2413.00 | 17027.75 | 14.17 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Investment in Government securities to Current Asset Ratio

| F/Y | Investment in Government <br> Securities | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 4811.01 | 19224.20 | 25.03 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5784.72 | 18663.02 | 31.00 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6722.83 | 21101.94 | 31.86 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 7948.22 | 23778.25 | 33.43 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 7203.06 | 22086.48 | 32.61 |

Appendix -7<br>NABIL BANK LTD

Loan and Advances to Current Asset Ratio

| F/N | Loan and Advance | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 8324.44 | 18098.96 | 45.99 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7801.85 | 17732.35 | 44.00 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8113.68 | 16644.97 | 48.75 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 8548.66 | 16742.67 | 51.06 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 10947.00 | 17027.75 | 64.29 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Loan and Advances to Current Asset Ratio

| $F / \boldsymbol{}$ | Loan and Advances | Current Asset | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 5681.35 | 19224.20 | 29.55 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5676.18 | 18663.02 | 30.52 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6000.16 | 21101.94 | 28.44 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6693.86 | 23778.25 | 28.15 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 8420.86 | 22086.48 | 38.13 |

Appendix -8
NABIL BANK LTD

Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio

| F/N | Loan and Advance | Total Deposit | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 8324.44 | 15839.00 | 52.56 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7801.85 | 15506.43 | 50.31 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8113.68 | 13447.66 | 60.34 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 8548.66 | 14119.03 | 60.55 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 10947.00 | 14587.00 | 75.05 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Loan and Advances to Total Deposit Ratio

| $F /$ Loan and Advances | Total Deposit | Percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 5681.35 | 15430.05 | 36.82 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5696.18 | 15835.75 | 35.97 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6000.16 | 18755.63 | 32.00 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6693.86 | 21161.44 | 31.63 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 8420.86 | 19335.09 | 43.55 |

## Appendix -9

NABIL BANK LTD
Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio

| F/Y | Total Investment | Total Deposit | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 7704.31 | 15839 | 48.64 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 8199.51 | 15506.43 | 52.88 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6031.18 | 13447.66 | 44.85 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 5835.95 | 14119.03 | 41.33 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 4267.23 | 14587.00 | 29.25 |

## STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio

| F/X | Total Investment | Total Deposit | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 9559.18 | 15430.05 | 61.95 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 9275.88 | 15835.05 | 58.58 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 10357.68 | 18755.63 | 55.22 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 11360.33 | 21161.44 | 53.68 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 9702.55 | 19335.09 | 50.18 |

Appendix - 10
NABIL BANK LTD
Loan and Advance to Total Working Fund Ratio

| $F /$ ( | Loan and advance | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 8324.44 | 18367.15 | 45.32 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 7801.85 | 17993.20 | 43.36 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 8113.68 | 16668.44 | 48.68 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 8548.66 | 17104.27 | 49.98 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 10947.00 | 17546.89 | 62.39 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Loan and Advance to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | Loan and Advance | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 5681.35 | 19357.2 | 29.35 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5696.18 | 18775.27 | 30.34 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6000.16 | 21304.84 | 28.17 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 6693.86 | 23925.68 | 27.98 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 8420.86 | 22171.24 | 37.98 |

Appendix $\mathbf{- 1 1}$
NABIL BANK LTD

Investment in Government Securities to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | Investment in Government <br> Securities | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 2732.96 | 18367.15 | 14.88 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 4120.30 | 17993.20 | 22.90 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 3588.77 | 16668.44 | 21.53 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 3672.63 | 17104.27 | 21.47 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 2413.00 | 17546.89 | 13.75 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Investment in Government Securities to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | Investment in Government <br> Securities | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 4811.01 | 19357.2 | 24.85 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 5784.72 | 18775.27 | 30.81 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 6722.83 | 21304.84 | 31.56 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 7948.22 | 23925.68 | 33.22 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 7203.06 | 22171.24 | 32.49 |

> Appendix -12
> NABIL BANK LTD

Investment in Share $\mathcal{E}$ Debentures to Total Working Fund Ratio

| $F /$ M | Investment in Share $\mathcal{E}$ <br> Debenture | Total Working Fund | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 18.82 | 18367.15 | 0.102 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 22.22 | 17993.20 | 0.124 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 22.22 | 16668.44 | 0.134 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 22.22 | 17104.27 | 0.130 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 27.36 | 17546.89 | 0.156 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Investment in Share \& Debentures to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/X | Investment in Share $\mathcal{E}$ <br> Debenture | Total Working Fund | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 11.195 | 19357.2 | 0.058 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 11.195 | 18775.27 | 0.060 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 11.195 | 21304.84 | 0.053 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 11.195 | 23925.68 | 0.047 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 13.348 | 22171.24 | 0.060 |

Appendix - 13
NABIL BANK LTD
Return on Loan and Advances Ratio

| F/X | Net Profit | Loan and Advances | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 291.38 | 8324.44 | 3.50 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 271.64 | 7801.85 | 3.48 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 416.24 | 8113.68 | 5.13 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 455.32 | 8548.66 | 5.33 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 519.00 | 10947.00 | 4.74 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Return on Loan and Advances Ratio

| F/X | Net Profit | Loan and Advances | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 430.83 | 5681.18 | 7.58 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 479.21 | 5696.18 | 8.41 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 506.93 | 6000.16 | 8.45 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 537.80 | 6693.86 | 8.03 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 539.20 | 8420.86 | 6.40 |

Appendix - 14
NABIL BANK LTD

Return on Total Assets Ratio

| F/X | Net profit | Total Working Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 291.38 | 18367.15 | 1.59 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 271.64 | 17993.20 | 1.51 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 416.24 | 16668.44 | 2.50 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 455.32 | 17104.27 | 2.66 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 519.00 | 17546.89 | 2.96 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Return on Total Assets Ratio

| F/X | Net profit | Total Working Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 430.83 | 19357.2 | 2.23 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 479.21 | 18775.27 | 2.55 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 506.93 | 21304.84 | 2.38 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 537.8 | 23925.68 | 2.25 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 539.20 | 22171.24 | 2.43 |

## Appendix -15

NABIL BANK LTD

Total Interest Earned to Total Assets Ratio

| $F /$ ( | Total Interest Earned | Total Assets | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1266.70 | 18367.15 | 6.90 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1120.18 | 17993.20 | 6.23 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1017.87 | 16668.44 | 6.11 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1001.62 | 17104.27 | 5.86 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1068.74 | 17546.89 | 6.09 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD

Total Interest Earned to Total Assets Ratio

| $\boldsymbol{F} / \boldsymbol{Y}$ | Total Interest Earned | Total Assets | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1224.92 | 19357.2 | 6.42 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1013.64 | 18775.27 | 5.40 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1001.36 | 21304.84 | 4.70 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1042.18 | 23925.68 | 4.36 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1058.67 | 22171.24 | 4.77 |

## Appendix -16

NABIL BANK LTD
Total Interest Earned to Total Operating Income Ratio

| $F / \Upsilon$ | Total Interest <br> Earned | Total Operating <br> Income | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2003 / 2003$ | 1266.70 | 1573.33 | 80.51 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1120.18 | 1639.12 | 68.34 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1017.87 | 1340.50 | 75.93 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1001.62 | 1333.65 | 75.10 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1068.74 | 1438.44 | 74.30 |

Total Interest Earned to Total Operating Income Ratio

| F/Y | Total Interest <br> Earned | Total Operating <br> Income | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1242.92 | 1640.26 | 75.78 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1013.64 | 1446.81 | 70.06 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1001.36 | 1503.60 | 66.60 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1042.18 | 1521.16 | 68.51 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1058.67 | 1573.32 | 67.29 |

Appendix - 17
NABIL BANK LTD
Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets Ratio

| $F / \Upsilon$ | Total Interest Earned | Total Outside <br> Assets | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1266.70 | 16028.75 | 7.90 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1120.18 | 16001.36 | 7.00 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1017.87 | 14144.896 | 7.20 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1001.62 | 14384.69 | 6.96 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1068.74 | 15213.97 | 7.02 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets Ratio

| F/Y | Total Interest Earned | Total Outside <br> Assets | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 1242.92 | 15240.53 | 8.16 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 1013.64 | 14974.06 | 6.77 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 1001.36 | 16357.84 | 6.12 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1042.18 | 18054.19 | 5.77 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1058.67 | 18123.42 | 5.84 |

## Appendix -18

NABIL BANK LTD
Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio

| F/Y | Total Interest Paid | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 578.36 | 18367.15 | 3.15 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 462.08 | 17993.20 | 2.57 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 317.35 | 16668.44 | 1.90 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 282.95 | 17104.27 | 1.65 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 244.00 | 17546.89 | 1.39 |

STANDARD CHARTERED BANK NEPAL LTD
Total Interest Paid to Total Working Fund Ratio

| $F /$ ( | Total Interest Paid | Total Working <br> Fund | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2002 / 2003$ | 474.4 | 19357.20 | 2.45 |
| $2003 / 2004$ | 299.86 | 18775.27 | 1.60 |
| $2004 / 2005$ | 255.15 | 21304.84 | 1.20 |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 275.81 | 23925.68 | 1.15 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 254.13 | 22171.24 | 1.15 |

## Appendix A-1 <br> NABIL

## Correlation between Total Deposit and Loan and Advances.

| F/Y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deposit } \\ & (\mathrm{X}) \end{aligned}$ | Loan and Advance (Y) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ (\mathrm{x}-14699 . \\ 83 \end{gathered}$ | X ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}- \\ \bar{y}) \\ (\mathrm{y}-) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 15839.00 | 8324.44 | 1139.17 | 1297708.3 | -422.69 | 178666.84 | -481515.77 |
| 03/04 | 15506.43 | 7801.85 | 806.6 | 650603.56 | -945.28 | 893554.28 | -762462.85 |
| 04/05 | 13447.66 | 8113.68 | -1252.17 | 1567929.71 | -633.45 | 401258.90 | 793187.09 |
| 05/06 | 14119.03 | 8548.66 | -580.8 | 337328.64 | -198.47 | 39390.34 | 115271.38 |
| 06/07 | 14587.00 | 10947.00 | -112.83 | 12730.61 | 2199.87 | 4839428.02 | -248211.33 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x= \\ 73499.12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma y= \\ 43735.63 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 3866300.82 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma y 2= \\ 6352298.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x y= \\ 583731.48 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\chi^{-}=\Sigma x / N=73499.12 / 5=14699.83
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=43735.63 / 5=8747.13
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=3866300.82 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=6352298.38 \\
& \Sigma x y=583731.48
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& r=583731.48 / 4955794.23 \quad=0.1177 \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{r}=0.1177 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.0138
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.745 \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}=0.2975$
Or P. Er= 0.2975
6. P. Er. $=1.7849$

## Appendix A - 2

SCBNL
Correlation between Total Deposit and Loan and Advances.

| F/Y | Deposit <br> (X) | Loan and <br> Advance <br> $\mathbf{( Y )}$ | $\mathbf{X = ( x - \overline { x } )}$ <br> $(\mathbf{x - 1 8 1 0 3 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{y =}=(\mathbf{y}-\bar{y})$ <br> $(\mathbf{y - 6 4 9 8 . 6 8})$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15430.05 | 5681.35 | -2673.54 | 7147816.13 | -817.33 | 668028.33 | 2185164.45 |
| $03 / 04$ | 15835.75 | 5696.18 | -2267.84 | 5143098.27 | -802.50 | 644006.25 | 1819941.60 |
| $04 / 05$ | 18755.63 | 6001.16 | 652.04 | 425156.16 | -497.52 | 247526.15 | -324402.94 |
| $05 / 06$ | 21161.44 | 6693.86 | 3057.85 | 9350446.62 | 195.18 | 38095.23 | 596831.16 |
| $06 / 07$ | 19335.09 | 8420.86 | 1231.5 | 1516592.25 | 1922.18 | 3694775.95 | 2367164.67 |
|  | $\Sigma x=$ <br> 90517.96 | $\Sigma \mathrm{Y}=$ <br> 32493.41 |  | $\Sigma x^{2}=$ <br> 23583109.44 |  | $\Sigma y^{2}=$ <br> 1597655.96 | $\Sigma x y=$ <br> 6644698.94 |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / \mathrm{N}=90517.96 / 5=18103.59 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / \mathrm{N}=32493.41 / 5=6498.68
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=23583109.44 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=5292431.68 \\
& \Sigma x y=6644698.94
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} & \\
\mathrm{r}=6644698.94 / 11171928.91 & =0.595 \\
\text { or } \mathrm{r}=0.595 & \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.353
\end{array}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$

Or, P. Er. $=0.1948 \quad 6$ P. Er. $=1.17$

## Appendix A - 3

NABIL

## Correlation between Total Deposit and Total Investment.

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { F/Y } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Deposit } \\ \mathbf{( X )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Investme } \\ \mathbf{n t ( \mathbf { Y } )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathbf{X = ( \mathbf { x } - \overline { x } )} \\ \mathbf{( x -} \\ \mathbf{1 4 6 9 9 . 8 2 )}\end{array} & \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{y = ( \mathbf { y } - \overline { y } )} & \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{X Y} \\ \mathbf{( \mathbf { y } -} \\ \mathbf{6 4 0 7 . 6 4 )}\end{array}\right)$

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / N=73499.12 / 5=14699.82 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=14699.82 / 5=6407.64
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=6887773.39 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=30132632.46 \\
& \Sigma x y=12537985.38
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} & =\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
\mathrm{r} & =3967381.82 / 6199918.22 \quad=0.64 \\
\text { or, } \mathrm{r} & =0.64 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.409
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.6745 \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$
Or, P. Er. $=0.1$
6 P. Er. $=0.107$

## Appendix A-4

SCBNL

## Correlation between Total Deposit and Total Investment.

| F/Y | Deposit <br> (X) | Total Investme nt (Y) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ & (\mathrm{x}- \\ & \mathbf{1 8 1 0 3 . 5 9 )} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y} \\ & \mathrm{y}- \\ & \mathbf{1 0 0 5 1 . 1 1} \end{aligned}$ |  | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 15430.05 | 9559.18 | -2673.54 | 7147816.13 | -491.93 | 241995.13 | 1315194.53 |
| 03/04 | 15835.75 | 9275.88 | -2267.84 | 5143098.30 | -775.23 | 600981.55 | 1758097.60 |
| 04/05 | 18755.63 | 10357.68 | 652.04 | 425156.16 | 306.57 | 93985.16 | 199895.90 |
| 05/06 | 21161.44 | 11360.33 | 3057.85 | 9350446.62 | 1309.22 | 1714057.01 | 4003398.37 |
| 06/07 | 19335.09 | 9702.5 | 1231.5 | 1516592.25 | -348.61 | 121528.93 | 429313.21 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum x= \\ & 90517.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \mathrm{Y}= \\ & 50255.57 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum x^{2}= \\ & 23583109.46 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum y^{2}= \\ & 2772547.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \sum x y= \\ 7705899.61 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
X^{-}=\Sigma x / N=90517.96 / 5=18103.59
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=50255.57 / 5=10051.11
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=23583109.46 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=2772547.78 \\
& \Sigma x y=7705899.61
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=7705899.61 / 8086117.60 \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{r}=0.953 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.908
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,

$$
\text { P. Er. }=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

$$
\text { Or, P. Er. }=0.028 \quad 6 \text { P. Er. }=0.167
$$

## Appendix A - 5

NABIL

## Correlation between Outside Assets and Net Profit

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { F/Y } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Outside } \\ \text { Assets } \\ \mathbf{( X )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Net } \\ \text { Profit } \\ \mathbf{( Y )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathbf{X = ( \mathbf { x } - \overline { x } )} \\ \mathbf{( x -} \\ \mathbf{1 5 1 5 4 . 5 9})\end{array} & \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{y = ( \mathbf { y } - \overline { y } )} & \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{X Y} \\ (\mathbf{y - 3 9 0 . 6 6})\end{array}\right]$

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / \mathrm{N}=75772.95 / 5=15154.59
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=1953.22 / 5=390.66
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=3098337.03 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=45390.64 \\
& \Sigma x y=-249799.64
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\Sigma} x^{2} \sqrt{\Sigma} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=-249799.64 / 375014.00
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or } \mathrm{r}=-0.67
$$

$$
\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.44
$$

Calculation of Probable error,

$$
\text { P. Er. }=0.6745 \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

Or, P. Er. $=0.17$
6 P. Er. $=1.01$

## Appendix A - 6

SCBNL

## Correlation between Outside Assets and Net Profit

| F/Y | Outside <br> Assets <br> $\mathbf{( X )}$ | Net <br> Profit <br> $\mathbf{( Y )}$ | $\mathbf{X = ( \mathbf { x } - \overline { x } )}$ <br> $(\mathbf{x - 1 6 5 5 0 . 0 0})$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{y =}=(\mathbf{y}-\bar{y})$ <br> $(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{4 9 8} .79)$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15240.53 | 430.83 | -1309.47 | $\mathbf{1 7 1 4 7 1 1 . 6 8}$ | -67.96 | 4618.56 | 88991.58 |
| $03 / 04$ | 14974.06 | 479.21 | -1575.94 | 2483586.89 | -19.58 | 383.38 | 30856.90 |
| $04 / 05$ | 16357.84 | 506.93 | -192.16 | 36925.47 | 8.14 | 66.26 | -1564.18 |
| $05 / 06$ | 18054.19 | 537.80 | 1504.19 | 2262587.56 | 39.01 | 1521.78 | 58678.45 |
| $06 / 07$ | 18123.42 | 539.20 | 1573.42 | 2475650.50 | 40.41 | 1632.68 | 63581.90 |
|  | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}=$ <br> 82750.04 | $\Sigma \mathrm{Y}=$ <br> 2493.97 |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=$ <br> 897362.08 |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}=$ <br> 8222.66 | $\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=$ <br> 240544.65 |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / N=82750.04 / 5=16550.00 \\
& \mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=2493.97 / 5=498.79
\end{aligned}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=240544.65 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=8973462.08 \\
& \Sigma y 2=8222.66
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=240544.65 / 271635.28 \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{r}=0.89
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$

Or, P. Er. $=0.065$
6 P. Er. $=0.39$

## Appendix A - 7

NABIL

## Correlation between Total Deposits and Net Profit

| F/Y | Total <br> Deposits <br> $\mathbf{( X )}$ | Net <br> Profit <br> $\mathbf{( Y )}$ | $\mathbf{X = ( \mathbf { x } - \overline { x } )}$ <br> $(\mathbf{x - 1 4 6 7 0})$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{y = ( \mathbf { y } - \overline { y } )}$ <br> $(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{3 9 0 . 7 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15839.00 | 291.38 | 1169 | 1366561 | -99.34 | 9868.44 | -116128.46 |
| $03 / 04$ | 15506.43 | 271.64 | 836.43 | 699615.15 | -119.08 | 14180.05 | -99602.08 |
| $04 / 05$ | 13447.66 | 416.24 | -1222.34 | 1494115.08 | 25.52 | 651.27 | -31194.11 |
| $05 / 06$ | 14119.03 | 455.32 | -550.97 | 303567.94 | 64.6 | 4173.16 | 35592.66 |
| $06 / 07$ | 14587.00 | 519.00 | -83 | 6889.00 | 128.28 | 16455.76 | 10647.24 |
|  | $\Sigma x=$ <br> 73499.12 | $\Sigma \mathrm{Y}=$ <br> 1953.58 |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=$ <br> 3870748.16 |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}=$ <br> 45328.67 | $\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=$ <br> -293164.55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{-}=\Sigma x / N=73499.12 / 5=14670.00 \\
& y^{-}=\Sigma y / N=1953.58 / 5=390.72
\end{aligned}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=-293164.55 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=3870748.16 \\
& \Sigma y 2=45328.67
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=-293164.55 / 418874.52
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or } r=-0.70 \quad r^{2}=0.49
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.6745 \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$

Or, P. Er. $=0.15$
6 P. Er. $=0.92$

## Appendix A - 8

SCBNL

## Correlation between Total Deposits and Net Profit

| F/Y | Total <br> Deposits <br> $\mathbf{( X )}$ | Net <br> Profit <br> $\mathbf{( Y )}$ | $\mathbf{X = ( \mathbf { x } - \overline { x } )}$ <br> $\mathbf{x - 1 8 1 0 3 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{y =}(\mathbf{y}-\bar{y})$ <br> $(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{4 9 8 . 8 0})$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15430.05 | 430.83 | -2673.54 | 7147816.13 | -67.97 | 4619.92 | 181720.51 |
| $03 / 04$ | 15835.75 | 479.21 | -2267.84 | 5143098.27 | -19.59 | 383.77 | 44426.98 |
| $04 / 05$ | 18755.63 | 506.93 | 652.04 | 425156.16 | 8.13 | 66.10 | 43099.84 |
| $05 / 06$ | 21161.44 | 537.80 | 3057.85 | 9350446.62 | 39 | 1521 | 119256.15 |
| $06 / 07$ | 19335.09 | 539.20 | 1231.5 | 1516592.25 | 40.4 | 1632.16 | 49752.60 |
|  | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}=$ <br> 90517.96 | $\Sigma \mathrm{Y}=$ <br> 2493.97 |  | $\Sigma x^{2}=$ <br> 22066517.18 |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}=$ <br> 822.95 | $\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=$ <br> 318999.93 |

Here, N = 5

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / \mathrm{N}=90517.96 / 5=18103.59 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / \mathrm{N}=2493.97 / 5=498.80
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma \mathrm{xy}=318999.93 \\
& \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=22066517.18 \\
& \Sigma \mathrm{y} 2=8222.95
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=318999.93 / 425971.67 \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{r}=0.75 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.56
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable error,
P. Er. $=0.6745 \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$

Or, P. Er. $=0.132$
6 P. Er. $=0.79$

## Appendix A -9

NABIL

## Correlation between Total Deposits and Interest Earned

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { F/Y } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Deposits } \\ \mathbf{( X )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Interest } \\ \text { Earned } \\ \mathbf{( Y )}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{x -}-\bar{x}) \\ \mathbf{( x -} \\ \mathbf{1 4 6 9 9 . 8 2 )}\end{array} & \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{y = ( \mathbf { y - } \overline { y } )} & \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}} & \mathbf{X Y} \\ \mathbf{( \mathbf { y } -} \\ \mathbf{1 0 9 5 . 0 2 )}\end{array}\right)$

Here, N = 5

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / \mathrm{N}=318999.93 / 5=14699.82 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / \mathrm{N}=5475.11 / 5=1095.02
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=369478.49 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=3866300.81 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=45411.60
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=369478.49 / 419016.59
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or, } \quad r=0.882
$$

$$
\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.78
$$

Calculation of Probable Error
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$
P. E.r. $=0.067$

6 P.E.r. $=0.40$

## Appendix A-10

SCBNL
Correlation between Total Deposits and Interest Earned

| F/Y | Total Deposits (X) | Interest Earned (Y) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ (\mathrm{x}- \\ 18103.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}) \\ (\mathrm{y}- \\ 1071.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 15430.05 | 1242.92 | -2673.54 | 7147816.13 | 171.17 | 29299.17 | -457629.84 |
| 03/04 | 15835.75 | 1013.64 | -2267.84 | 5143098.27 | -58.11 | 3376.77 | 131784.18 |
| 04/05 | 18755.63 | 1001.36 | 652.04 | 425156.16 | -70.39 | 4954.75 | -45897.09 |
| 05/06 | 21161.44 | 1042.18 | 3057.85 | 9350446.62 | -29.57 | 874.38 | -90420.62 |
| 06/07 | 19335.09 | 1058.67 | 1231.5 | 1516592.25 | -13.08 | 171.09 | -16108.02 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{x}= \\ 90517.96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{Y}= \\ 5358.77 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 23583109.43 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma y^{2}= \\ 38676.16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x y= \\ -478271.39 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / N=90517.96 / 5=18103.59 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=5358.77 / 5=1071.75
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=-478271.39 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=23583109.43 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=38676.16
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=-478271.39 / 955041.42
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { or, } r=-0.50 \quad r^{2}=0.25
$$

Calculation of P. Er.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { P. Er. }=0.6745 & \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \\
\text { P. E.r. }=0.23 & 6 \text { P.E.r. }=1.35
\end{array}
$$

## Appendix A-11

NABIL

## Correlation between Loan and advances and Interest Paid

| F/Y | Outsides Assets (X) | Interest paid (Y) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ (\mathrm{x}- \\ 8747.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}) \\ (\mathrm{y}- \\ 376.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 8324.44 | 578.36 | -422.68 | 178658.38 | 201.42 | 40570.02 | -85136.2 |
| 03/04 | 7801.85 | 462.08 | -945.27 | 893535.37 | 85.14 | 7248.82 | -80480.28 |
| 04/05 | 8113.68 | 317.35 | -633.44 | 401246.23 | -59.59 | 3550.97 | 37746.68 |
| 05/06 | 8548.66 | 282.95 | -198.46 | 39386.37 | -93.99 | 8834.12 | 18653.25 |
| 06/07 | 10947.00 | 244.00 | 2199.88 | 4839472.01 | -132.94 | 17673.04 | -292452.04 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x= \\ 43735.63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{Y}= \\ 1884.74 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 6352298.38 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}= \\ 77876.97 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x y= \\ -401668.59 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, N = 5

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / N=43735.63 / 5=8747.12 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / N=1884.74 / 5=376.94
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=-401668.59 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=6352298.38 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=77876.97
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=-401668.59 / 703347.53 \\
& \mathrm{r}=-0.57 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.33
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable Error
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$
P. Er. $=0.203$
6 P. Er. $=1.22$

## Appendix A-12

SCBNL

## Correlation between Loan and advances and interest paid.

| F/Y | Loan \& Advance (X) | Interest Paid (Y) |  | X ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}) \\ (\mathrm{y}-312) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 5681.35 | 474.4 | -817.13 | 667701.44 | 162.4 | 26373.76 | -132701.91 |
| 03/04 | 5696.18 | 299.86 | -802.3 | 643685.29 | -12.14 | 147.38 | 9739.92 |
| 04/05 | 6000.16 | 255.86 | -498.32 | 248322.82 | -56.14 | 3151.70 | 27975.68 |
| 05/06 | 6693.86 | 275.81 | 195.38 | 38173.34 | -36.19 | 1309.72 | -7070.80 |
| 06/07 | 8420.86 | 254.13 | 1922.38 | 3695544.86 | -57.87 | 3348.94 | -111248.13 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{x}= \\ 32492.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma Y= \\ 1560.00 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 5293427.76 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma y^{2}= \\ 34331.49 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x y= \\ -213305.24 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{-}=\Sigma x / N=32492.41 / 5=6498.48 \\
& y^{-}=\Sigma y / N=1560 / 5=312.00
\end{aligned}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x y=-213305.24 \\
& \Sigma x^{2}=5293427.76 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=34331.49
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\Sigma} x^{2} \sqrt{\Sigma} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=-213305.24 / 426299.50
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Or, } \mathrm{r}=-0.50 \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.25
$$

Calculation of Probable Error

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { P. Er. }=0.6745 & \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}} \\
\text { P. E.r. }=0.225 & 6 \text { P.E.r. }=1.35
\end{array}
$$

## Appendix A-13

NABIL

## Correlation between Total Working Fund and Net Profit

| F/Y | Total Working fund (X) | Net Profit (Y) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ & (\mathrm{x}-17536) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}) \\ & (\mathrm{y}-390.71) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 18367.15 | 291.38 | 831.15 | 690810.33 | -99.83 | 9866.45 | -82558.13 |
| 03/04 | 17993.2 | 271.64 | 457.20 | 209031.84 | -119.07 | 14177.66 | -54438.80 |
| 04/05 | 16668.44 | 416.24 | -867.56 | 752660.35 | 25.53 | 651.78 | -22148.81 |
| 05/06 | 17104.27 | 455.32 | -431.73 | 186390.79 | 64.61 | 4174.45 | -27894.07 |
| 06/07 | 17546.89 | 519.00 | 10.89 | 118.59 | 128.29 | 16458.32 | 1397.08 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \sum x= \\ 87679.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{Y}= \\ 1953.58 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 1839011.90 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}= \\ 45328.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sum x y= \\ -185642.74 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, N = 5

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}^{-}=\Sigma x / \mathrm{N}=87679.95 / 5=17536.00 \\
\mathrm{y}^{-}=\Sigma y / \mathrm{N}=1953.58 / 5=390.71
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma x^{2}=-185642.74 \\
& \Sigma y^{2}=1839011.90 \\
& \Sigma x y=-185642.74
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& r=-185642.74 / 288721.26 \\
& r=-0.643
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
r^{2}=0.413
$$

Calculation of Probable Error
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$
P. Er. $=0.177$
6 P. Er. $=1.06$

## Appendix A - 14

SCBNL

## Correlation between Total Working Fund and Net Profit

| F/Y | Total Working fund (X) | Net Profit (Y) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}) \\ (\mathrm{x}- \\ 21142.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}- \\ \bar{y}) \\ (\mathrm{y}- \\ 498.79) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | XY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/03 | 19357.20 | 430.83 | -1785.65 | 3188545.92 | -67.96 | 4618.56 | 121352.77 |
| 03/04 | 18775.27 | 479.21 | -2367.58 | 5605435.06 | -19.58 | 383.38 | 46357.22 |
| 04/05 | 21304.84 | 506.93 | 161.99 | 26240.76 | 8.14 | 66.26 | 1318.60 |
| 05/06 | 23925.68 | 537.80 | 2782.83 | 7744142.81 | 39.01 | 1521.78 | 108558.20 |
| 06/07 | 22171.24 | 539.20 | 1028.39 | 1057586.00 | 40.41 | 1632.97 | 41557.24 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x= \\ 105714.23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{Y}= \\ 2493.97 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma x^{2}= \\ 17621950.54 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{y}^{2}= \\ 8222.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sum x y= \\ 319144.03 \end{gathered}$ |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{-}=\Sigma x / N=105714.23 / 5=21142.85 \\
y^{-}=\Sigma y / N=2347.80 / 5=498.79
\end{gathered}
$$

We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma \mathrm{xy}=17621950.54 \\
& \Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=29053806.76 \\
& \Sigma \mathrm{y} 2=8222.95
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of correlation coefficient (r):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sqrt{\sum} x^{2} \sqrt{\sum} y^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{r}=319144.03 / 380663.13 \\
& \mathrm{r}=0.84 \\
& \mathrm{r}{ }^{2}=0.71
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculation of Probable Error
P. Er. $=0.6745 \quad \frac{1-r^{2}}{\sqrt{N}}$
P. Er. $=0.09$
6 P. Er. $=0.54$

## Appendix A-15

NABIL
The Trend value of Total Deposits of NABIL

| F/Y | Total Deposits (y) | $\mathbf{x = T - 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y = a + \mathbf { b x }}$ <br> Trend Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15839.00 | -2 | 4 | -31678.00 | 15478.10 |
| $03 / 04$ | 15506.43 | -1 | 1 | -15506.43 | 15088.96 |
| $04 / 05$ | 13447.66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14699.82 |
| $05 / 06$ | 14119.03 | 1 | 1 | 14119.03 | 14310.68 |
| $06 / 07$ | 14587.00 | 2 | 4 | 29174.00 | 13921.54 |
|  | $\Sigma y=73499.12$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=-3891.40$ |  |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

| or, $\quad$$=$ $=73499.12 / 5$ | or, $\mathrm{a}=14699.82$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~b}=\Sigma \mathrm{xy} / \Sigma \mathrm{x} 2$ | $=-3891 / 10$ | or , $\mathrm{b}=-389.14$ |

Let the trend line be,
$y=a+b x$
The two normal equation are
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{na}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$ $\qquad$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,
$y=a+b x \rightarrow 14699.82+(-) 389.14 x$
For year 2005/2006, $\quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx} \rightarrow 14699.82-389.14 \times 3$

$$
x=3
$$

$\mathrm{y}=$ Rs. 13532.40 million

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in Million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) = a+bx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 13532.40 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 13143.26 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 12754.12 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 12364.98 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 11975.84 |

## Appendix A - 16

SCBNL
The Trend value of Total Deposits of SCBNL

> (Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Total Deposits <br> (y) | $\mathbf{x = t}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 15430.05 | -2 | 4 | -30860.10 | 15476.45 |
| $03 / 04$ | 15835.75 | -1 | 1 | -15835.75 | 16790.02 |
| $04 / 05$ | 18755.63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18103.59 |
| $05 / 06$ | 21161.44 | 1 | 1 | 21161.44 | 19417.16 |
| $06 / 07$ | 19335.09 | 2 | 4 | 38670.18 | 20730.73 |
|  | $\Sigma y=90517.96$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=13125.77$ |  |

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { or, } \quad a=\Sigma y / N & =90517.96 / 5 & \text { or, } a=1810 \\
b=\Sigma x y / E x 2 & =13125.77 / 10 & \text { or , } b=1313.57
\end{array}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two normal equation are
$\Sigma y=n a+b \Sigma x$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) $b=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,

$$
y=a+b x \rightarrow 18103.59+1313.57 X x
$$

For year 2005/2006, $y=a+b x \rightarrow 18103.59+1313.57 X 3$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=3 \\
y=\text { Rs. } 22044.30 \text { million }
\end{gathered}
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) = a+bx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 22044.3 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 23357.87 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 24671.44 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 25985.01 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 27298.58 |

## Appendix A-17

NABIL
The Trend value of Loan and Advances of NABIL
(Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Loan and <br> Advances <br> $\mathbf{( y )}$ | $\mathbf{x = t}-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 8324.44 | -2 | 4 | -16648.88 | 7698.10 |
| $03 / 04$ | 7801.85 | -1 | 1 | -7801.85 | 8222.61 |
| $04 / 05$ | 8113.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8747.12 |
| $05 / 06$ | 8548.66 | 1 | 1 | 8548.66 | 9271.63 |
| $06 / 07$ | 10947.00 | 2 | 4 | 21894.00 | 9796.14 |
|  | $\Sigma \mathrm{y}=43735.63$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}=0$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=5245.12$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\text { or, } \quad \text { a }=\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} & =43735.63 / 5 & \text { or, } \mathrm{a}=8747.12 \\
\mathrm{~b}=\Sigma \times y / \Sigma \times 2 & =5245.12 / 10 & \text { or , b }=524.51
\end{array}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
The two normal equation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma y=n a+b \Sigma x \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) $b=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,
$y=a+b x \rightarrow 8747.12+524.51 \times x$
For year 2005/2006, $y=a+b x \rightarrow 8747.12+524.51 \times 3$

$$
x=3
$$

$y=$ Rs 10320.65million
Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) $=\mathbf{a + b x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 10320.65 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 10845.16 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 11369.67 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 11894.18 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 12418.69 |

## Appendix A-18

SCBNL
The Trend value of Loan and Advances of SCBNL
(Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Loan and <br> Advances <br> $(\mathbf{y})$ | $\mathbf{x = t}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 5681.35 | -2 | 4 | -11362.70 | 5203.14 |
| $03 / 04$ | 5696.18 | -1 | 1 | -5696.18 | 5850.81 |
| $04 / 05$ | 6000.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6498.48 |
| $05 / 06$ | 6693.86 | 1 | 1 | 6693.86 | 7146.15 |
| $06 / 07$ | 8420.86 | 2 | 4 | 16841.72 | 7793.82 |
|  | $\Sigma y=32492.41$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=6476.70$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { or, } \quad \begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{a} & =\Sigma y / \mathrm{N} & =32492.41 / 5
\end{array} & \text { or, } \mathrm{a}=6498.48 \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\Sigma x y / \mathrm{Ex} 2 & =6476.67 / 10 & \text { or }, \quad \mathrm{b}=647.67
\end{array}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two normal equation are
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{na}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
Here, N = 5
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,
$y=a+b x \rightarrow 6498.48+647.67 \times x$
For year 2005/2006, $\quad y=a+b x \rightarrow 6498.48+647.63 \times 3$

$$
x=3 \quad y=\text { Rs. } 8441.49 \text { million }
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) = a+bx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 8441.49 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 9081.16 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 9736.83 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 10384.50 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 11032.17 |

## Appendix A-19

NABIL
The Trend value of Investment of NABIL
(Rs. in million)

| $\mathbf{F} / \mathbf{Y}$ | Investment <br> $\mathbf{( y )}$ | $\mathbf{x = t}-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 7704.31 | -2 | 4 | -15408.62 | 8255.77 |
| $1 / 2$ | 8199.51 | -1 | 1 | -8199.51 | 7330.00 |
| $04 / 05$ | 6031.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6404.23 |
| $3 / 4$ | 5835.95 | 1 | 1 | 5825.95 | 5478.46 |
| $06 / 07$ | 4267.23 | 2 | 4 | 8524.46 | 4552.69 |
|  | $\Sigma \mathrm{y}=32021.18$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}=0$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{x}^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{xy}=-9257.72$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { or, } \begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{a} & =\Sigma y / \mathrm{N} & =32021.18 / 5
\end{array} & \text { or, } \mathrm{a}=6404.23 \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\Sigma x y / \Sigma \mathrm{x} 2 & =-9257.72 / 10 & \text { or , } b=-925.77
\end{array}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
The two normal equation are
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{na}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$ $\qquad$

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,

$$
y=a+b x \rightarrow 6404.23+(-) x
$$

For year 2005/2006, $\quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx} \rightarrow 6404.23(-) 925.77 \times 3$

$$
x=3 \quad y=\text { Rs. } 3626.92 \text { million }
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{Y}($ Projected deposit) $=\mathbf{a + b x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 3626.92 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 2701.15 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 1775.38 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 849.61 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 0 |

## Appendix A-20

SCBNL
The Trend value of Investment of SCBNL
(Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Investment <br> $\mathbf{( y )}$ | $\mathbf{x = t}-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 9559.18 | -2 | 4 | -19118.36 | 9576.91 |
| $03 / 04$ | 9275.88 | -1 | 1 | -9275.88 | 9814.01 |
| $04 / 05$ | 10357.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10051.11 |
| $05 / 06$ | 11360.33 | 1 | 1 | 11360.33 | 10288.21 |
| $06 / 07$ | 9702.50 | 2 | 4 | 19405.00 | 10525.31 |
|  | $\Sigma y=50255.57$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=2371.10$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { or, } \mathrm{a} & =\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} & =50255.57 / 5 & \text { or , } \mathrm{a}=10051.11 \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\Sigma \mathrm{xy} / \Sigma \mathrm{x} 2 & =2371.10 / 10 & \text { or }, \mathrm{b}=237.10
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two normal equation are
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{na}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) $\mathrm{a}=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$
$\therefore$ The straight-line trend for total deposits is,
$y=a+b x \rightarrow 10051.11+237.10 x$
For year 2005/2006,

$$
y=a+b x \rightarrow 10051.11+237.10 \times 3
$$

$$
x=3
$$

$$
\mathrm{y}=\text { Rs.10762.41million }
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) = a+bx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 10762.41 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 10999.51 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 11236.61 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 11473.71 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 11710.81 |

## Appendix A-21

NABIL
The Trend value of Net Profit of NABIL
(Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Net profit <br> $\mathbf{( y )}$ | $\mathbf{x = t}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b} \mathbf{b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 291.38 | -2 | 4 | -582.76 | 262.87 |
| $03 / 04$ | 271.64 | -1 | 1 | -271.64 | 326.79 |
| $04 / 05$ | 416.24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390.71 |
| $05 / 06$ | 455.32 | 1 | 1 | 455.32 | 454.63 |
| $06 / 07$ | 519.00 | 2 | 4 | 1038.00 | 518.55 |
|  | $\Sigma y=1953.58$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=638.92$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { or, } \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a} & =\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N}
\end{array} & =1953.58 / 5 & \text { or }, \quad \mathrm{a}=390.71 \\
\mathrm{~b} & =\Sigma \mathrm{xy} / \Sigma \mathrm{x} 2 & =638.92 / 10 & \text { or }, b=63.92
\end{array}
$$

Let the trend line be,
$y=a+b x$
The two normal equation are
$\Sigma y=n a+b \Sigma x$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$

Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,
$y=a+b x \rightarrow 390.71+63.92 X$
For year 2005/2006, $\quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx} \rightarrow 390.71+3.92 \times 3$

$$
x=3 \quad y=\text { Rs. } 582.47 \text { million }
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) $=\mathbf{a + b x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 582.47 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 646.39 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 710.31 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 774.23 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 838.15 |

## Appendix A-22

SCBNL
The Trend value of Net Profit of SCBNL
(Rs. in million)

| F/Y | Net profit <br> $\mathbf{( y )}$ | $\mathbf{x = t}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{x y}$ | $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a + b x}$ <br> Trend <br> Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02 / 03$ | 430.83 | -2 | 4 | -861.66 | 443.73 |
| $03 / 04$ | 479.21 | -1 | 1 | -479.21 | 471.26 |
| $04 / 05$ | 506.93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 498.79 |
| $05 / 06$ | 537.80 | 1 | 1 | 537.80 | 526.32 |
| $06 / 07$ | 539.20 | 2 | 4 | 1078.40 | 553.85 |
|  | $\Sigma y=2493.97$ | $\Sigma x=0$ | $\Sigma x^{2}=10$ | $\Sigma x y=276.33$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or, } \mathrm{a}=\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N}=2493.97 / 5 \quad \text { or , } \mathrm{a}=498.79 \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\Sigma \mathrm{xy} / \Sigma \mathrm{x} 2=276.33 / 10 \quad \text { or }, \mathrm{b}=27.53
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the trend line be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two normal equation are
$\Sigma \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{na}+\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}$ $\qquad$
$\Sigma x y=a \Sigma x+b \Sigma x^{2}$ $\qquad$
$\therefore$ From (ii) a $=\frac{\sum y}{N}$ $\qquad$
From (iii) b $=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}$
Here, $\mathrm{N}=5$
$\therefore$ The straight line trend for total deposits is,

$$
y=a+b x \rightarrow 498.79+27.53 x
$$

For year 2005/2006, $y=a+b x \rightarrow 498.79+27.53 \times 3$

$$
x=3 \quad y=\text { Rs. } 581.38 \text { million }
$$

Other trend values have been calculated accordingly.
(Rs. in million)

| Year (t) | $\mathbf{x = t}-\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ (Projected deposit) $=\mathbf{a + b x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 3 | 581.38 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 4 | 608.91 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 5 | 636.44 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 6 | 663.97 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 7 | 691.50 |

## Appendix A-23

Test of Hypothesis on Loan and Advances to total deposit ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Calculation of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, Assuming $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}$

| NABIL |  |  | SCBNL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x})$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | Y | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$ | $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ |
| 52.56 | -7.19 | 51.69 | 36.82 | 0.82 | 0.67 |
| 50.30 | -9.45 | 89.30 | 35.97 | -0.03 | 0 |
| 60.34 | 0.59 | 0.34 | 32.00 | -4.00 | 16 |
| 60.55 | 0.80 | 0.64 | 31.63 | -4.37 | 19.09 |
| 75.04 | 15.25 | 233.17 | 43.55 | 2.45 | 6.00 |
| 298.79 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \mathrm{X}^{2} \\ =375.14 \end{gathered}$ | 178.97 | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma Y \\ =5.13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma Y^{2} \\ =41.76 \end{gathered}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=\Sigma \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{N} \\
& \bar{y}=\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} \quad=298.79 / 5 \quad=59.75 \\
& \mathrm{~S}^{2}=\frac{1}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \quad\left[\left\{\sum 9.97 / 5 \quad=36.00\right.\right. \\
& \mathrm{S}^{2} \quad=\frac{1}{8} \quad(375.14-0+41.76-1.02) \\
&=51.98 \\
& \text { or, } \mathrm{S}^{2} \quad=51.98
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix A-24

## Test of Hypothesis Total Investment to Total Deposit Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Calculation of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, Assuming $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}$

| NABIL |  |  | SCBNL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x})$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | Y | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$ | $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ |
| 48.64 | 5.25 | 27.56 | 61.95 | 6.03 | 36.36 |
| 52.88 | 9.49 | 90.06 | 58.58 | 2.66 | 7.07 |
| 44.85 | 1.46 | 2.13 | 55.22 | -0.70 | 0.49 |
| 41.33 | -2.06 | 4.24 | 53.68 | -2.24 | 5.01 |
| 29.25 | -14.14 | 199.93 | 50.18 | -5.74 | 32.94 |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\sum \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}$ <br> $\mathbf{= 3 2 3 . 9 2}$ | $\sum \mathbf{y}$ <br> $\mathbf{= 2 7 9 . 6 1}$ | $\sum \mathbf{Y}$ <br> $\mathbf{= 0 . 0 1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\mathbf { Y }}$ <br> $=\mathbf{8 1 . 8 7}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x} \quad=\Sigma \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{N} & =216.95 / 5 \quad=43.39 \\
\bar{y} \quad=\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} & =279.61 / 5 \quad=55.92 \\
\mathrm{~S}^{2} \quad=\frac{1}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \quad\left[\left\{\sum X 2-\frac{\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}{n}+\sum y^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}\right\}\right] \\
\mathrm{S}^{2}=\frac{1}{8} \quad(323.92-0+81.87-0) \\
\text { or, } & =50.72 \quad \mathrm{~S}^{2}=50.72
\end{array}
$$

## Appendix A-25

## Test of Hypothesis on Investment in Government Securities to Current Ratio of NABIL and SCBNL.

Calculation of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and S2, Assuming $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}$

| NABIL |  |  | SCBNL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\mathrm{X}=(\mathrm{x}-\overline{\boldsymbol{x}})$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | Y | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$ | $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ |
| 15.10 | -4.10 | 16.81 | 25.03 | -5.77 | 33.29 |
| 23.24 | 4.04 | 16.32 | 31.10 | 0.30 | 0.09 |
| 21.56 | 2.36 | 5.56 | 31.86 | 1.06 | 1.12 |
| 21.94 | 2.74 | 7.50 | 33.43 | 2.63 | 6.91 |
| 14.17 | -5.03 | 25.03 | 32.62 | 1.82 | 3.31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{9 6 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{7 1 . 4 9}}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1 5 4 . 0 4}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{4 4 . 7 2}}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\Sigma \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{N} \\
\bar{y} & =\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} \quad=96.01 / 5 \quad=19.20 \\
\mathrm{~S}^{2} & =\frac{1}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \quad\left[\left\{\sum X 2-\frac{\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}{n}+\sum y^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}\right\}\right] \\
\mathrm{S}^{2} & =\frac{1}{8} \quad(71.49-0+44.72-0) \\
& =14.52 \\
\text { or, } & \mathrm{S}^{2}=30.80
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix A-26

## Test of Hypothesis on Return on Loan and Advance Ratio.

Calculation of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, Assuming $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}$

| NABIL |  |  | SCBNL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x})$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | Y | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$ | $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ |
| 3.50 | -0.93 | 0.86 | 7.58 | 0.19 | 0.03 |
| 3.48 | -0.95 | 0.90 | 8.41 | 0.64 | 0.40 |
| 5.13 | 0.70 | 0.49 | 8.45 | 0.68 | 0.46 |
| 5.33 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 8.03 | 0.26 | 0.06 |
| 4.74 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 6.40 | -1.37 | 1.87 |
| $\Sigma \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{2 2 . 1 8}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{3 8 . 8 7}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{0 . 4 0}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{2 . 8 2}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{x} & =\Sigma \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{N} \\
\bar{y} & =\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} \quad=22.18 / 5 \quad=4.43 \\
\mathrm{~S}^{2} & =\frac{1}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \quad\left[\left\{\sum 8.87 / 5 \quad=7.77\right.\right. \\
\mathrm{S}^{2} \quad=\frac{1}{8} \quad(3.15+2.82-0.03) \\
\text { or, } \quad \mathrm{S}^{2} \quad=0.74
\end{array}
$$

## Appendix A-27

## Test of Hypothesis on Total Interest Earned to Total Outside Assets.

Calculation of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, Assuming $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}-\bar{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}-\bar{y}$

| NABIL |  |  | SCBNL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x})$ | $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | Y | $\mathrm{y}=(\mathrm{y}-\bar{y})$ | $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ |
| 6.90 | 0.65 | 0.42 | 6.42 | 1.29 | 1.66 |
| 6.25 | 0 | 0 | 5.40 | 0.27 | 0.07 |
| 6.15 | -0.10 | 0.01 | 4.70 | -0.43 | 0.18 |
| 5.86 | -0.39 | 0.15 | 4.36 | -0.77 | 0.59 |
| 6.09 | -0.16 | 0.02 | 4.77 | -0.59 | 0.34 |
| $\Sigma \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{3 1 . 2 5}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{0 . 6 0}}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{2 5 . 6 3}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}=-\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\Sigma \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{2}=2.84}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x} & =\Sigma x / \mathrm{N} \quad=22.18 / 5 \quad=4.43 \\
\bar{y} & =\Sigma \mathrm{y} / \mathrm{N} \quad=38.87 / 5 \quad=7.77 \\
\mathrm{~S}^{2} & =\frac{1}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \quad\left[\left\{\sum X 2-\frac{\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}{n}+\sum y^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum y\right)^{2}}{n}\right\}\right] \\
\mathrm{S}^{2} \quad & =\frac{1}{8} \quad(0.60-0+2.84-0.05) \\
& =0.42
\end{aligned}
$$

Or, $\mathrm{S}^{2} \quad=0.42$


[^0]:    Total Interest Earned to Total Operating Income Ratio $=\frac{\text { Total Interest Earned }}{\text { Total Operating Income }}$

[^1]:    Source: Appendix-8

[^2]:    Source: Annual Report of SCBNL and NABIL.

